Bulk Queueing Analysis with Removable Server and Working Vacation

Shaweta Sharma,

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak, email:vashishthshaweta@gmail.com

Prof. Naveen Kumar,

Department of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak, email: naveenkapilrtk@gmail.com.

Abstract

In this paper we will study about Queueing model where service are given to the customers in bulk as arrival pattern of the customer is one by one and there is single service point. Service time distribution is exponential with two rates. and queue discipline is first come first serve basis. We will find out expected queue length when server will be busy, empty and will be in working state on removable server.

Keywords: First come first serve, removable server, single server, Vacation, queue length.

INTODUCTION In this paper, the arrival of the customer is occurring one by one and service provide by the single server. If the queue is empty then server goes on vacation, when queue size is less than 'a' then server serve the customer with rate μ_1 and if the queue size is greater than 'a' then server serve the customer with a batch size 'd' of rate μ_2 . The assumptiondescribe the system are , arrivals of the customers arrive for the service according to poisson law with parameter λ , the service time distribution follows exponentially with two different rates μ_1 and μ_2 , server serve the customer of batch size if server is busy when batch size is less than 'a', the Queue discipline follows first come first serve and the server is removed from its service as soon as the Queue is empty.

Notations

 $P_{00}(t)$: Probability that there are no arrival and server is on vacation.

P_{i1}(t) : Probability that there are exactly 'i' arrival and server is an working vocation



 $\begin{array}{ll} P_{i2}(t) & : & \text{Probability that there are exactly 'i' arrivals and server is busy} \\ X(t) = 0 & y(t) = 0 \quad \text{removal state} \\ x(t) < ay(t) = 1 \quad \text{working vacation, } xt \ge a & y(t) = 2 \quad \text{busy.} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll} \text{The difference-differential equations governing the mode are} \\ P_{00}'(t) = -\lambda P_{00}(t) + \mu_1 P_{0,1}(t) + \mu_2 P_{02}(t) & (1) \\ P_{01}'(t) = -(\lambda + \mu_1) P_{0,1}(t) + \lambda P_{00}(t) + \mu_1 P_{11}(t) + \mu_2 d P_{12} & (2) \end{array}$

$$P'_{n1}(t) = -(\lambda + \mu_1) P_{n,1}(t) + \lambda P_{n-1}(t) + \mu_1 P_{n+1,1}(t) \quad n \le a$$
(3)

$$P_{0,2}'(t) = (\lambda + d \mu_2) P_{0,2}(t) + \lambda P_{a+1,1}(t) + d\mu_2 P_{n,2}(t)$$
(4)

$$P'_{n,2}(t) = (\lambda + d \mu_2) P_{n,2}(t) + \lambda P_{n,2}(t) + d \mu_2 P_{n+a,2}(t) n > a$$
(5)

Taking Laplace Transformation of Equation (1) - (5) we get

$$S \overline{P}_{00}(s) - P_{00}(s) = -\lambda \overline{P}_{00}(s) + \mu_1 \overline{P}_{01}(s) + \mu_2 \overline{P}_{02}(s)$$
(6)

$$\overline{P}_{00}(s) = \frac{1}{S+\lambda} (1 - \mu_1 \,\overline{P}_{01}(s) + \mu_2 \,\overline{P}_{02}(s)) \tag{7}$$

$$S \overline{P}_{01}(s) - P_{01}(s) = -(\lambda + \mu_1) \overline{P}_{01}(s) + \lambda \overline{P}_{00}(s) + \mu_1 \overline{P}_{11}(s) + \mu_2 d \overline{P}_{12}(s)$$
(8)

$$\overline{P}_{01}(s) = \frac{1}{(S+\lambda+\mu_1)} [\lambda \overline{P}_{00}(s) + \mu_1 \overline{P}_{11}(s) + \mu_2 d \overline{P}_{12}(s)]$$
(9)

$$\overline{P}_{n1}(s) = \frac{1}{S + \lambda + \mu_1} [\lambda \overline{P}_{n-1,1}(s) + \mu_1 \overline{P}_{n+1,1}(s) + \mu_2 d \overline{P}_{n+1,2}(s)]$$
(10)

$$S\overline{P}_{02}(s) - P_{0,2}(0) = -(\lambda + d\mu_2)\overline{P}_{02}(s) + \lambda\overline{P}_{a+1,1}(s) + d\mu_2\overline{P}_{n,2}(s)$$
(11)

Consider $|z| = 1 - \delta$

|g(z)| < |f(z)|

then f(z) and $\{f(z) + g(z)\}$ will have same number of zero as inside $z = 1 - \delta$ roots of h(z) = 0 is real and unique iff

$$P = \frac{\lambda}{\mu_{1}} < 1$$

$$Z = \frac{(S + \lambda + d\mu_{2}) \pm \sqrt{(S + \lambda + d\mu_{2})^{2}} - 4\mu_{2}\lambda d}{2d\mu_{2}} \quad (12)$$

$$\alpha = (S + \lambda + d\mu_{2}) + \sqrt{(S + \lambda + d\mu_{2})^{2} - 4\mu_{2}d\lambda} \quad (13)$$

$$\beta = (S + \lambda + d\mu_{2}) - \sqrt{(S + \lambda + d\mu_{2})^{2} - 4\mu_{2}d\lambda} \quad (14)$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is the unique positive real part

_

$$\overline{P}_{n1}(s) = \overline{P}_{01}(s)\alpha - \overline{P}_{02}(s) \ d\mu_2 R^n$$

$$\overline{P}_{02}(s) = \frac{\overline{P}_{01}(s)\alpha \left(\frac{\lambda}{S+\lambda+\mu_1}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{(S+\lambda+d\mu_2)}\right)}{\left[1+d\mu_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{S+\lambda+\mu_1}\right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{S+\lambda+\mu_2}\right) < R^n\right]}$$
(15)
$$\overline{P}_{n1}(s) = \frac{\mu_1}{P} \left[\frac{\overline{P}_{n1}(s) + \frac{d\mu_2}{S+\lambda+\mu_1}}{\overline{P}_{n1}(s) + \frac{d\mu_2}{S+\lambda+\mu_2}}\right]$$

$$P_{00}(s) = \frac{1}{S+\lambda} P_{01}(s) + \frac{1}{S+\lambda} P_{01}(s) D_1 + \frac{1}{S+\lambda} + d \mu_2 \lambda^2 \alpha R^n(16)$$

$$\overline{P}_{00}(s) = \frac{1}{(S+\lambda)} [(\mu_1 + d\mu_2 D_1) \overline{P}_{01}(s) + 1]$$
(17)

$$\overline{P}_{n1}(s) = [\alpha - d \mu_2 D_1 R^{n+1}] \overline{P}_{01}(s) \quad (18)$$

$$\overline{P}_{n,2}(s) = \frac{\alpha \lambda^2 R^n}{(S + \lambda + \mu_1)(S + \lambda + d\mu_2) + d\mu_2 \lambda^2 \alpha R^n} \overline{P}_{01}(s) \quad (19)$$

According to normalizing condition

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \overline{P}_{i0}(s) + \overline{P}_{i1}(s) + \overline{P}_{i2}(s) = \frac{1}{S}$$
(20)

Steady state probabilities

_

$$P_{in} = \lim_{s \to 0} S \overline{P}_{1n}(s)$$

$$P_{00} = \left[\frac{\mu_1}{\lambda} + \frac{d\mu_2}{\lambda}k\right] P_{01}(22)$$

$$P_{n1} = \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu_1}\right)^n - k\mu^2 R^n\right] P_{01}(23)$$

$$P_{n2} = P_{01}\left[\frac{\lambda^2 \alpha R^n}{(\lambda + \mu_1)(\lambda + \mu_2 d) + d\mu_2 a \lambda^2 \alpha R^n}\right] (24)$$

Expected Queue Length if server is removed

$$L_{q_{\rm R}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} n P_{00} = 0 \,(25)$$

Hence it verify that server is on removed state of these is no customer is the queue.

Expected Queue length if server is on working vacation

$$L_{q_{wv}} = \sum_{n=1}^{a} n P_{n1}$$

(21)

IJARSE

$$L_{q_{WV}} = P_{01}a \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{a-1} n \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu_1} \right)^n - d\mu_2 R^n \frac{\lambda^2 \alpha}{(\lambda + \mu_1)(\lambda + d\mu_2) + d\mu_2 \lambda^2 \alpha R^n} \right\}$$
(26)

Expected Queue length at busy period

$$L_{qB} = \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} n P_{n2}$$

$$L_{qB} = P_{01} \sum_{n=a}^{\infty} n \frac{\lambda^2 \alpha R^n}{(\lambda + \mu_1)(\lambda + d\mu_2) + d\mu_2 \lambda^2 \alpha R^n}$$
(27)

FIGURES AND TABLE InTable - I, value of working vacation queue length or busy period queue length at different arrival rates and service rates.. Fig. I shows the behavior of working vacation queue length. It is clear from the graph that if arrival rate λ is increases then queue length is also increases. **Table I**

P ₀₁	D	λ	μ1	μ2	а	L_{qwv}
0.06	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.125	0.005584592
0.06	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.125	0.005099943
0.06	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.125	0.004430666
0.06	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.125	0.003576759





Conclusion: It is clear from the Fig. - I that as batch size increases queue length in working vacation is also increases.

IJARSE

REFERENCES

[1] Upadhyaya, S. (2016). Queueing systems with vacation: an overview. International journal of mathematics in operational research, 9(2), 167-213.

[2] Niranjan, S. P., Chandrasekaran, V. M., & Indhira, K. (2017). Queue size dependent service in bulk arrival queueing system with server loss and vacation break-off. International journal of Knowledge Management in Tourism and Hospitality, 1(2), 176-207.

[3] Ayyappan, G., & Deepa, T. (2018). Analysis of batch arrival bulk service queue with multiple vacation closedown essential and optional repair. Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM), 13(2), 2.

[4] Niranjan, S. P., Chandrasekaran, V. M., & Indhira, K. (2020). Phase dependent breakdown in bulk arrival queueing system with vacation break-off. International Journal of Data Analysis Techniques and Strategies, 12(2), 127-154.

[5] Thangaraj, M., & Rajendran, P. (2017, November). Analysis of bulk queueing system with single service and single vacation. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering (Vol. 263, No. 4, p. 042106). IOP Publishing.

[6] Singh, C. J., Jain, M., & Kumar, B. (2014). Analysis of MX/G/1 queueing model with balking and vacation. International Journal of Operational Research, 19(2), 154-173.

[7] Sharma, R. (2017). Optimal N-policy for unreliable server queue with impatient customer and vacation interruption. Journal of Reliability and Statistical Studies, 83-96.

[8] Jain, M., & Chauhan, D. (2012). Working vacation queue with second optional service and unreliable server.

[9] Sridhar, A., & Allahpitchai, R. (2016). A Controllable Markovian Accessible Batch Service Queue with Two Removable Servers System Involving Vacation. International Journal of Applied, 6(1), 51-64.

[10] Singh, C. J., & Kumar, B. (2013). Analysis of state dependent bulk arrival unreliable queueing model. International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering, 15(3), 329-355.

[11] Jain, M., Sharma, G. C., & Sharma, R. (2012). Maximum entropy approach for discrete-time unreliable server GeoX/Geo/1 queue with working vacation. International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research, 4(1), 56-77.

[12] Tadj, L., Choudhury, G., & Rekab, K. (2012). A two-phase quorum queueing system with Bernoulli vacation schedule, setup, and N-policy for an unreliable server with delaying repair. International Journal of Services and Operations Management, 12(2), 139-164.

[13] Jain, M., Sharma, G. C., & Sharma, R. (2011). Working vacation queue with service interruption and multi optional repair. International journal of information and management sciences, 22(2), 157-175.

[14] Jain, M., Sharma, R., & Sharma, G. C. (2013). Multiple vacation policy for MX/H k/1 queue with un-reliable server. Journal of Industrial Engineering International, 9, 1-11.

[15] Jain, M., Sharma, G. C., & Sharma, R. (2013). Unreliable server M/G/1 queue with multioptional services and multi-optional vacations. International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research, 5(2), 145-169.