International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering
Volume No. 11, Issue No. 07, July 2022
www.ijarse.com
IJARSE
ISSN 2319 - 8354

THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT POSITION OF SHEAR WALL STRUCTURE ON SYMMETRIC AND UNSYMMETRIC TALL BUILDINGS

Mr. Shubham Shinde[1], Dr Shrikant Shinde. [2]

[1]*PG student, Department of Civil Engineering, Vishwakarma Institute of Information Technology, Pune*

[2]*Prof, Department of Civil Engineering, Vishwakarma Institute of Information Technology, Pune*

ABSTRACT:

The shear wall is a structural component used to withstand lateral stresses. These walls will absorb shear stresses and avoid construction site relocation and subsequently devastation. For instance, if the shear walls are not constructed, we cannot expect the structure to exhibit acceptable tensional behavior. The contribution of the remaining structural elements to the bending moment, shear force, torsion, and axial force, as well as the final design of all structural components, are also impacted by shear wall. Over the last two decades, there has been an almost exponential increase in the building of towering skyscrapers above 150 meters in height. Numerous identical buildings have been constructed across the Middle East and Asia, and many more are now being planned or constructed. Buildings taller than 300 meters provide significant engineering challenges, particularly in terms of structural and geotechnical design. Wind analysis is crucial for tall constructions. Numerous studies have explored the structural behavior of tall buildings with SSI by considering a range of criteria, including foundation type, soil conditions, lateral loads. The current study presents G+18-story rectangular building and a asymmetric building with a 3 m floor-to-floor height was evaluated in ETABS in zone III. The structure's resistance to static and dynamic wind and seismic forces has been studied using shear walls in various locations, such as without shear walls, shear walls in the outer center, and shear walls at the corners. The results obtained are compared in the form of storey drift, joint displacement and base shear. The research indicates that the shear wall at outer Centre with firm soil has the best response compared to without shear wall and shear wall at corner conditionfor symmetrical building. And for asymmetrical building shear wall at corner condition has best response compared to without shear wall and shear wall at outer centre condition.

Keywords:ETABS, Tall buildings, shear wall, lateral loads.

International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering
Volume No. 11, Issue No. 07, July 2022
www.ijarse.com
IJARSE
ISSN 2319 - 8354

I. INTRODUCTION

TALL BUILDINGS

The last two decades have seen a remarkable increase in construction of tall buildings in excess of 150m in height, and an almost exponential rate of growth. A significant number of these buildings have been constructed in the Middle East and Asia, and many more are either planned or already under construction. "Super-tall" buildings in excess of 300m in height are presenting new challenges to engineers, particularly in relation to structural and geotechnical design. Wind analysis is important in case of tall buildings. Figure 1 shows the significant growth in the number of such buildings either constructed. Many of the traditional design methods cannot be applied with any confidence since they require extrapolation well beyond the realms of prior experience, and accordingly, structural and geotechnical designers are being forced to utilize more sophisticated methods of analysis and design. In particular, geotechnical engineers involved in the design of foundations for super-tall buildings are increasingly leaving behind empirical methods and are employing state-of-the art methods.

The investigations have been carried out by many researchers on the structural behaviour of tall buildings with SSI by considering many parameters like foundation type, soil conditions, lateral forces, ratio of flexural stiffness of beam and column etc. Very few investigations have been carried out on soil-structure interaction of tall buildings under clayey soil conditions, particularly in Indian seismic zones.



Fig 1: Total number of buildings in excess of 300 m tall

There are a number of characteristics of tall buildings that can have a significant influence on foundation design, including the following:

1. The building weight increases non-linearly with increasing height, and thus the vertical load to be supported by the foundation, can be substantial.

2. High-rise buildings are often surrounded by low-rise podium structures which are subjected to much smaller loadings. Thus, differential settlements between the high and low-rise portions need to be controlled.

3. The lateral forces imposed by wind loading, and the consequent moments on the foundation system, can be very high. These moments can impose increased vertical loads on the foundation, especially on the outer piles within the foundation system.

4. The wind-induced lateral loads and moments are cyclic in nature. Thus, consideration needs to be given to the influence of cyclic vertical and lateral loading on the foundation system, as cyclic loading has the potential to degrade foundation capacity and cause increased settlements.



Fig 2: Development of Tall buildings

SHEAR WALL

Thelateral forcesdue to windand earthquakeare generally resisted by the use of shear wall system, which is one of the most efficientmethods of maintaining the lateral stability of tall buildings. In practice, shear walls areprovided in most of the commercial and residential buildings up to thirty storeys beyondwhichtubularstructuresarerecommended.Shearwallsmaybeprovidedinoneplane orin both planes. The typical shear wall system with shearwalls located in both the planesand subjected to lateral loads.

Theshearwallsareexpectedtoresistlargelateralloads(duetoearthquakeorwind) that may strike "in-plane" and "out-of-plane" to the wall. The in-plane shear resistance of the shear wallcanbeestimated by subjecting the wall to the lateral loads.

Sometimes, shear walls are pierced with openings to fulfill the functional as well asarchitectural requirements of buildings. The structural response of shear wall may beinfluenced by the

IJARSE
ISSN 2319 - 8354

presence of openings, depending upon their sizes and their positions.The present study aims to accomplish this task by investigating the different position of shearwalls.

Storey drift:

Storey drift is the difference of displacements between two consecutive storey's divided by the height of that story.

Joint Displacement:

Joint displacement is the displacement of the point on each storey with respect to the base storey or basement

Base Shear:

Base shear is an estimate of the maximum expected lateral force on the base of the structure due to seismic activity.

The extensive literature review was carried out by referring standard journals, reference books, I.S. codes and conference proceeding. The major work carried out by different researchers is summarized below.

Dr.P.A.Krishnan, Anjaly Francis, V.N.Pradeep, In this study, an analysis of a twenty story building, irregular in plan, in zone IV is performed by changing the location of the shear wall and the effects of the parameters like story drift and displacement are determined using standard package ETABS. Four different models have been considered and analysis is performed using time history analysis method, by considering different earthquakes.

P. Mary Williams* and R. K. Tripathi, The study concludes that provision of a box type shear wall at the core gives the best behavior but it is not desired from architectural point of view. Hence shear wall on the outer edges is more advisable to improve the behavior of asymmetric buildings. The location of shear wall do not have significant effect on the nonlinear behavior except that the position of hinges vary. Novelty: The study of effect of shear wall location in eccentrically loaded structures, especially its nonlinear behaviour gives a more precise idea on provision of shear wall.

K. Vishnu Haritha, Dr.I. Yamini Srivalli , Effect of Wind on Tall Building Frames-Influence of Aspect Ratio In this paper equivalent static method is used for analysis of wind loads on buildings with different aspect ratios. The aspect ratio can be varied by changing number of bays. Aspect ratio 1, 2, 3 were considered for present study. The analysis is carried out using ETAB

B. Dean Kumar and B.L.P. Swami Wind effects on tall building frames-influence of dynamic parameters In this paper the present work, the Gust Effectiveness Factor Method is used, which is more realistic particularly for computing the wind loads on flexible tall slender structures and tall building towers. In this paper frames of different heights are analyzed and studied.

SangtianiSuraj, Simon Modeling of spray droplets deformation and breakupIn this paper an attempt was made to compare the Performance of the three Structural Systems in all four earthquake zones Base shear, time period, top story displacement, story Drift, seismic weight of structure, and results were compared to arrive the foremost economical structure in a specific Earthquake Zone for a particular plan.

Jadhav A. A., dr. Kulkarni, S. K. Galatage A. A. Comparison of effect of Earthquake and Wind loads on performance of RC framed shear wall building with its different orientation Jadhav A. A., dr. Kulkarni, S. K. Galatage A. A. [10] In this paper a studytherefore main objective is to determine the position of shear walls in multi-storey building. An earthquake load is applied to a building of twenty sixth storied located in zone iii. The analysis is performed using etabs software.

II. METHODOLOGY

Following is flowchart of work for Project: -



Fig 3: Flowchart

The aim is to investigate behavior of tall buildings of rectangular form and asymmetrical type for different position of shear wall subjected to wind force and seismic forces.

A study involving dynamic effect of wind load on RC buildings and study the behavior of the buildings.

.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this project, a G+18-storey structure of a rectangular building and a asymmetrical building with 3 m floor to floor height has been analysed Non-Linear Dynamic Analysis of Multi-storey R.C.C Buildings using Etabs software in zones III. The structure has been analysed for both static and dynamic wind and earthquake forces. The building has been studied for without shear wall, shear wall at corner and shear wall at outer centre condition.

3.1 MODEL DESCRIPTION FOR ANALYSIS:

Preliminary data required for Analysis

Table 1: Parameters to be consider for rectangular geometry analysis

SR NO	Parameters	Values
1.	No of stories	G+18
2.	Base to plinth	1.5m
3.	Grade of concrete	M30
4.	Grade of steel	Fe 500
5.	Floor to floor height	3m
6.	Total height of building	58m
7.	Dead load	1.5 kn/m^2
8.	Imposed load	4 kn/m^2
9.	Assumed city	Pune
10.	Basic wind speed	39 m/s^2

IJARSE
ISSN 2319 - 8354

11.	Terrain category	Type 2
12.	Size of column	500mm*500mm
13.	Size of beam	300mm*500mm
14.	Depth of slab	125mm

Table 2: Models

MODEL 1	G+18 Rectangular building without shear wall
MODEL 2	G+18 Rectangular building with shear wall at corner
MODEL 3	G+18 Rectangular building with shear wall at outer centre
MODEL 4	G+18 Unsymmetrical building without shear wall
MODEL 5	G+18 Unsymmetrical building with shear wall at outer centre
MODEL 6	G+18 Unsymmetical building with shear wall at corner



Fig 4.Rectangular building without shear wall



Fig 5. Rectangular building with shear wall at corner

IJARSE
ISSN 2319 - 8354



Fig 6. Rectangular building with shear wall at outer centre



Fig 8. Unsymmetical building with shear wall at outer centre



Fig 7. Unsymmetrical building without shear wall



Fig 9. Unsymmetrical building with shear wall at corner

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In this project, a G+18-storey structure of a rectangular building and a asymmetrical building with 3 m floor to floor height has been analysed Non-Linear Dynamic Analysis of Multi-storey R.C.C Buildings using Etabs software in zones III. The structure has been analysed for both static and dynamic wind and earthquake forces.

Results are given below:

RESULTS FOR RECTANGULAR BUILDING:

1) Storey drift

STOREY	without SW	SW at corner	SW at outer centre
18	1.285	2.134	2.055
17	1.704	2.312	2.223
16	2.13	2.522	2.411
15	2.477	2.702	2.558
14	2.747	2.861	2.691
13	2.97	2.999	2.807
12	3.164	3.101	2.879
11	3.338	3.199	2.947
10	3.492	3.28	2.999
9	3.631	3.34	3.033
8	3.756	3.375	3.046
7	3.865	3.377	3.034
6	3.956	3.336	2.984
5	4.035	3.232	2.882
4	4.1	3.041	2.705
3	4.098	2.727	2.425
2	3.85	2.238	2.007
1	2.865	1.492	1.389
G	0.962	0.546	0.542
BASE	0	0	0



Above graph shows comparison of storey drift for rectangular structure between without shear wall ,shear wall at cornerand shear wall at middle of outer.It has been observed that there is 18.49%

decrement in average storey drift when shear wall is provided at outer of middle and 11.35 % at shear wall at corner

2) Joint displacement

STOREY	without SW	SW at corner	SW at outer centre
18	190.506	172.614	158.234
17	186.638	165.874	151.939
16	181.785	158.741	144.96
15	175.802	151.036	137.514
14	168.758	142.739	129.597
13	160.74	133.852	121.206
12	151.824	124.383	112.367
11	142.076	114.374	103.108
10	131.562	103.866	93.469
9	120.336	92.911	83.49
8	108.449	81.58	73.229
7	95.947	69.968	62.766
6	82.872	58.204	52.209
5	69.253	46.467	41.715
4	55.114	35	31.496
3	40.54	24.144	21.847
2	25.847	14.368	13.16
1	11.998	6.325	5.949
G	1.683	0.956	0.949



Above graph shows comparison of joint displacement for rectangular structure between without shear wall ,shear wall at cornerand shear wall at middle of outer.It has been observed that there is 26.76%

decrement in average joint displacement when shear wall is provided at outer of middle and 19.23 % at shear wall at corner

3)Base shear

STOREY	without SW	SW at corner	SW at outer centre
18	1800.7282	2838.9208	3033.1344
17	3902.2814	5810.1537	6302.6077
16	5473.5115	7732.7963	8498.2071
15	6551.1643	8966.665	9942.3165
14	7318.5726	9856.4101	10957.2771
13	7977.9282	10554.8005	11694.1765
12	8619.7062	11129.9169	12239.6095
11	9228.7953	11675.0271	12722.5025
10	9787.0953	12235.2137	13234.2724
9	10319.4464	12793.9317	13792.4995
8	10845.8288	13360.3078	14420.12
7	11341.6273	13992.0212	15176.104
6	11783.6045	14718.6013	16075.8448
5	12218.9638	15524.4002	17066.5708
4	12739.6303	16409.3093	18103.9349
3	13357.1085	17354.4511	19151.7528
2	13930.1871	18207.6427	20075.4245
1	14264.7449	18727.5393	20647.8514
G	14308.9432	18810.3708	20743.6346



Above graph shows comparison of base shear for rectangular structure between without shear wall ,shear wall at corne rand shear wall at middle of outer.It has been observed that there is 42.04% increment in average base shear when shear wall is provided at outer of middle and 29.56 % at shear wall at corner

International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering
Volume No. 11, Issue No. 07, July 2022
www.ijarse.com
IJARSE
ISSN 2319 - 8354

RESULTS FOR ASYMMETRICAL BUILDING

1) Storey drift

STOREY	without SW	SW at corner	SW at outer centre
18	0.927	1.283	1.541
17	1.198	1.372	1.635
16	1.473	1.467	1.751
15	1.696	1.553	1.84
14	1.867	1.626	1.91
13	2.006	1.685	1.962
12	2.126	1.726	1.978
11	2.232	1.761	2.002
10	2.325	1.786	2.015
9	2.407	1.803	2.016
8	2.48	1.808	2.005
7	2.542	1.8	1.978
6	2.593	1.77	1.928
5	2.636	1.711	1.845
4	2.67	1.608	1.715
3	2.66	1.441	1.522
2	2.49	1.182	1.244
1	1.843	0.788	0.849
G	0.616	0.294	0.334



Above graph shows comparison of storey drift for asymmetrical structure between without shear wall ,shear wall at cornerand shear wall at middle of outer.It has been observed that there is 17.31 %

International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering
Volume No. 11, Issue No. 07, July 2022
www.ijarse.com
IJARSE
ISSN 2319 - 8354

decrement in average storey drift when shear wall is provided at outer of middle and 26.61 % at shear wall at corner

2) **Joint displacement**.

STOREY	without SW	SW at corner	SW at middle
18	126.296	93.708	106.662
17	123.477	89.893	102.026
16	120.008	85.689	96.823
15	115.825	81.219	91.316
14	110.971	76.482	85.556
13	105.505	71.479	79.545
12	99.478	66.219	73.292
11	92.937	60.741	66.893
10	85.922	55.052	60.324
9	78.471	49.171	53.607
8	70.616	43.129	46.778
7	62.388	36.966	39.887
6	53.813	30.742	33.002
5	44.909	24.544	26.221
4	35.689	18.491	19.679
3	26.206	12.759	13.56
2	16.67	7.596	8.108
1	7.713	3.35	3.639
G	1.079	0.515	0.585
BASE	0	0	0



Above graph shows comparison of joint displacement for asymmetrical structure between without shear wall ,shear wall at cornerand shear wall at middle of outer.It has been observed that there is

26.88% decrement in average joint displacement when shear wall is provided at outer of middle and 34.12 % at shear wall at corner

3) Base shear.

STOREY	without SW	SW at corner	SW at outer centre
18	965.1636	1455.7167	1684.6466
17	2092.2578	3025.1913	3560.7589
16	2922.9587	4038.8038	4835.4029
15	3479.739	4629.1753	5637.726
14	3866.3054	4991.4164	6147.3756
13	4196.6885	5276.1279	6497.3006
12	4521.478	5528.2626	6754.0001
11	4831.1743	5744.0763	6970.7092
10	5114.8595	5942.0949	7196.0582
9	5386.3771	6169.5037	7479.9297
8	5656.8552	6454.2393	7856.8432
7	5912.0907	6784.7431	8328.0583
6	6139.0898	7151.8221	8874.0614
5	6365.4837	7583.376	9480.2968
4	6644.3028	8105.3562	10131.5729
3	6981.9951	8669.2293	10773.8834
2	7297.9758	9147.8135	11300.8838
1	7482.6469	9419.9967	11604.9606
G	7506.9193	9461.9952	11654.3203



Above graph shows comparison of base shear for asymmetrical structure between without shear wall ,shear wall at cornerand shear wall at middle of outer.It has been observed that there is 50.74%

increment in average base shear when shear wall is provided at outer of middle and 22.81% at shear wall at corner

V. Conclusion

In the present study G+18-storey structure of a rectangular building and a asymmetrical building with shear wall provided at different locations at corner, at outer centre and without shear wall analysed. Based on this study following conclusion can be drawn

- **For rectangular building**
- The presence of shear wall can impact the seismic behavior of a rectangular structure to a large extent, and shear wall increases the strength and stiffness of the structure
- When shear wall is provided at middle of outer, the joint displacement reduce by larger value for rectangular buildings
- The utilization of shear wall significantly reduces the inter storey drift as per model 3. So shear wall at middle of outer centre should be optimum location.
- Base shear increases when shear wall is provided and it is maximum when shear wall is provided at middle of outer.
- **For asymmetrical building**
- The provision of shear wall enhance the lateral stability of the building specially for asymmetrical structure.
- The joint displacement , inter storey drift reduces by greater value when shear wall provided at corner of structure.
- In view of asymmetrical structure and eccentricity base shear increases at middle of the outer structure due to increases in mass and stiffness
- By considering all the parameters shear wall at corner should be better position due to immense decrement in interstorey drift and joint displacement.

REFERENCES

1. K. Vishnu Haritha ,Dr.I. Yamini Srivalli (2013)“ Effect of Wind on Tall Building Frames Influence of Aspect Ratio”
2. B. Dean Kumar and B.L.P. Swami (2010) “Wind effects on tall building frames-influence of dynamic parameters”

3. Yin Zhou and Ahsan Kareem (1999) "Gust loading factors for design applications"
4. Wakchaure M. R., GawaliSayali (2015)"Effects of Shape on Wind Forces of High Rise Buildings Using Gust Factor Approach"
5. Mohammed Asim Ahmed, Moid Amir, SavitaKomur, VaijainathHalhalli (2015) "Effect of wind load on tall buildings in different terrain category"
6. Pahwa Sumit P, Devkinandan Prajapati, Utkarsh Jain (2017) "A Study of 30-Storey Dual System Building with Different Soil Conditions"
7. SangtianiSuraj, Simon J (2017) "Performance of tall buildings under Lateral loads with different type of Structural systems"
8. Umamaheshwara. B, Nagarajan.P (2016) "Design Optimization and Analysis of Shear Wall in High Rise Buildings Using ETABS"
9. Susheel S M, Sanjith J, Vidyashree B S , Ranjith A (2016) "Analysis of tall building in chikkamagaluru region"
10. M.Mallikarjun, Dr P V Surya Prakash (2016) "Analysis and design of a multi storied residential building of by using most economical column method"
11. Jadhav A. A., dr. Kulkarni, S. K. Galatage A. A. (2016) "Comparison of the effect of earthquake and wind loads on the performance of rc framed shear wall building with its different orientation"
12. K. Rama Raju, M.I. Shereef, Nagesh R Iyer, S. Gopalakrishnan (2013) "Analysis and design of rc tall building subjected to Wind and earthquake loads"
13. SinglaSarita, KaurTaranjeet, KalraMegha and Sharma Sanket (2012) "Behaviour of R.C.C. Tall Buildings Having Different Shapes Subjected to Wind Load"
14. Mohammad Jafari, Alice Alipour, (2021) "Methodologies to mitigate wind-induced vibration of tall buildings: A state-of-the-art review"
15. VahidMohseniana, Ali Nikkhooa, FarzadHejazi, (2019) "An investigation into the effect of soil-foundation interaction on the seismic performance of tunnel-form buildings"
16. Mohammed Elwi, BassmanMuhammed and Nada Alhussiny, (2018) "Evaluation of soil-structure interaction for structures subjected to earthquake loading with different types of foundation"
17. Ketan Bajaj, Jitesh T Chavda, Bhavik M Vyas (2013) "Seismic Behaviour Of Buildings On Different Types Of Soil"
18. Amer Hassan, Shilpa Pal, (2018) "Effect of soil condition on seismic response of isolated base buildings"
19. M Roopa, H. G. Naikar, Dr. D. S. Prakash, (2015) "Soil Structure Interaction Analysis on a RC Building with Raft foundation under Clayey Soil Condition"