# OPTIMAL LOCATION OF SVC, TCSC AND UPFC DEVICES FORVOLTAGE STABILITY IMPROVEMENT AND REDUCTION OF POWERLOSS USING GENETIC ALGORITHM

Naveen Nellikoppad, Smt. Rekha G Padaki

<sup>1</sup>M.Tech in Engineering Power Systems ,Dept. of E&E, UBDT College of Engineering Davanagere, Karnataka, India <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of E&E, UBDT College of Engineering Davanagere, Karnataka, India

## ABSTRACT

Improving of ATC is an important issue in the current de-regulated environment of power systems. The Available Transfer Capability (ATC) of a transmission network is the unutilized transfer capabilities of a transmission network for the transfer of power for further commercial activity, over and above already committed usage. Power transactions between a specific seller bus/area and a buyer bus/area can be committed only when sufficient ATC is available. Transmission system operators (TSOs) are encouraged to use the existing facilities more effectively to enhance the ATC margin. In this paper, the use of TCSC and SVC to maximize Available Transfer Capability (ATC) generally defined as the maximum power transfer transaction between a specific power-seller and a power-buyer in a network during normal and contingency cases. In this thesis, ATC is computed using Continuous Power Flow (CPF) method considering both line thermal limit as well as bus voltage limits. Real-code Genetic Algorithm is used as the optimization tool to determine the location as well as the controlling parameter of TCSC or SVC simultaneously. The performance of the Real-code Genetic Algorithm has been tested on IEEE 14-Bus System and IEEE 24-Bus Reliability Test System.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The focus of electric industry reorganize is to encourage vying electric power markets merchandise. Under the new domain, main outcome of the open-access need is the considerable growth in the transfer. The ATC (A - Available, T - Transfer, and C -Capability) of transference network is unused transfer abilities of a network dispatch for further commercial business power transference, in addition to pledged use. Adequate ATC is required to protect all cost effective undertakings, while adequate ATC is desired to smoothen availability of market for electricity. It is essential to sustain inexpensive and reliable performance over a broad spectrum of

the system working condition and restrictions. Though, limitations in building latest amenities due to the inexpensive, nature and societal issues, lowers the action possibilities. It may occasionally lead to a state that the prevailing transmission provisions are extremely used [8]. According to NERCs interpretation of ATCs calculations [6], conveyance network can be limited by voltage, stability and thermal controls. In contrast, it is thoroughly altered that, with the ability of pliable power flow [9], FACTS knowledge has established acute influence to the conveyance system using effectively with considering those 3 limitations. From stable flow of state point of view, network does not usually power share in relative amount to its rating, where in majority circumstances, voltage profiles could not be even. Hence, ATC merits are always constrained by extremely loaded bus with comparatively small voltage. Idea of FACTS makes it feasible to utilize reactance of circuit, phase angle as sways and voltage magnitude to reorganize flow of line and profile of voltage are managed. Conceptually, devices of FACTS could offer an efficacious and assured substitute to traditional ATC methods intensification. These devices will give latest authority provisions; in active stability sway and stable flow of power sway. Maintain flow of power in systems of electricity in the absence of production postpones or topology can enhance network achievement considerable. With acceptable position, the impact of SVC and TCSC on ATC improvement is considered and illustrated via case studies. Lodging SVC in actual position would enhance potential profile additionally TCSC and ATC will enhance ATC.

## **II. OBJECTIVE OF PAPER**

The foremost objective is augmenting the ATC from source (generating) zone to sink (accepting) zone in an uncontrolled abode system utilizing uninterrupted Flow of Power technique in usual and unpredictable occasions with flawless position and regulate parameters of Devices of FACTS of a kind that SVC or TCSC on IEEE14-bus and IEEE 24-bus reliability check system. TCSC or SVC Regulating and Location parameter is measured via the utilization of RGA. ATC is reliant on numerous factors for example system working base case, network configurations, system working limits, description of contingency etc. Due to restrict on ATC, it has lesser influence on transmission system uses when compared to FACTS technology. Therefore, extreme prevailing transmission resource use would be extra worth for operators of transmission system (TSO) and consumers will get best assistance with minimized expenditure.

## **III. STRUCTURE OF REAL GENETIC ALGORITHM**

In genetic algorithm, individuals are simplified to a chromosome that includes control variables of the problem. The value of an individual is called fitness which is corresponding to the objective function value that should be optimized. Figure. 5.2 shows the flow chart of the proposed algorithm that is implemented in this research. As it is mentioned RGA is selected for optimization process to improve the ATC including TCSC/SVC.



## **IV.CASE STUDIES AND DISCUSSION**

The Available Transfer Capability (ATC) are computed for a set of source/sink transfers on IEEE 14-bus system and IEEE 24 reliability test system. The ATC margin can be further increased by proper location and control parameter of FACTS devices. In this thesis, TCSC and SVC are used as FACTS devices. Real-code Genetic Algorithm is used to find optimal location and control parameter of TCSC and SVC for maximizing of ATC. In this thesis, the total study is divided into two cases as:

- 1. ATC calculation without line outage.
- 2. ATC calculation with line outage.

The ATC margin is limited by bus voltage magnitude and line flow rating. The voltage magnitude limits of all buses are set to  $V_{min}$ =0.95 (p.u) and  $V_{max}$ =1.15 (p.u). The line ratings of IEEE 14-bus system and IEEE 24-bus system are given in appendix A and B respectively.

IV.1 IEEE 14-bus system

Without line outage case

| Source/Sink | ATC   | Violation Constraint |
|-------------|-------|----------------------|
| bus no.     | (M.W) | (line flow/voltage)  |
| 1/9         | 53.0  | Line-8 overflow      |
| 1/10        | 44.0  | Line-8 overflow      |
| 1/12        | 30.0  | Line-8 overflow      |
| 1/13        | 31.5  | Line-8 overflow      |
| 1/14        | 42.0  | Line-8 overflow      |
| 1/4         | 222.0 | Line-1 overflow      |
| 1/3         | 157.5 | Line-2 overflow      |

Table.-1: ATC without FACTS Device

The Available Transfer Capability (ATC) are computed for a set of source/sink transfers using Continuous Power Flow (CPF). Table-1 shows the ATCs for IEEE 14-bus system without FACTS device.

#### **Incorporation of TCSC**

When TCSC is incorporated in the system, if we consider all lines of system, there are 20 possible locations for the TCSC. The location code region are set as 20 integers as 1 to 20. The amount of compensation offered by TCSC is 0 to 40% ( $K_d$ ). After using Real Genetic Algorithm proposed in this work, the results obtained are shown in Table-2. It shows that with the flow control function TCSC increased the ATC significantly.

| Source/Sink | ATC without | ATC with   | TCSC     | Compensation |
|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| bus no.     | TCSC (M.W)  | TCSC (M.W) | Location | (p.u)        |
| 1/9         | 53.0        | 68.5       | Line-9   | -0.088       |
| 1/10        | 44.0        | 62.0       | Line-12  | -0.075       |
| 1/12        | 30.0        | 47.0       | Line -9  | -0.130       |
| 1/13        | 31.5        | 48.5       | Line -9  | -0.128       |
| 1/14        | 42.0        | 57.0       | Line -12 | -0.110       |
| 1/4         | 222.0       | 250.0      | Line -3  | -0.070       |
| 1/3         | 157.5       | 210.5      | Line -6  | -0.081       |

Table - 2: ATCs after incorporating TCSC

Figure-1 is the convergence characteristic of Real-code Genetic Algorithm and it shows a graph between generation and fitness function i.e., ATC (M.W) when source/sink transfer is between bus 1 and bus 9. After 89 generations, the optimal value of TCSC location and compensation value are found. It shows a goodconvergence of this algorithm.



Fig -1: No. of Generations Vs Fitness profile of ATC

#### **Incorporation of SVC**

When one SVC is incorporated in the system, if we consider all buses of system, there are 14 possible locations for the SVC. The location code region are set as 14 integers as 1 to 14. The amount of compensation offered by SVC is 0 to 0.1 (p.u) i.e.,  $B_{svc}$ . After using Real Genetic Algorithm, the results obtained are shown in Table-3. It shows that with the flow control function SVC increased the ATC significantly. Figure - 2 shows the voltage profile for IEEE 14-bus system without and with SVC at bus-9, when ATC is computed for a transaction 1/9.

Table -3: ATCs after incorporating SVC

| Source/Sink<br>bus no. | ATC without<br>SVC (M.W) | ATC with<br>SVC (M.W) | SVC<br>Location | Compensation<br>(p.u) |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| 1/9                    | 53.0                     | 61                    | Bus-10          | 0.081                 |
| 1/10                   | 44.0                     | 49                    | Bus-10          | 0.081                 |
| 1/12                   | 30.0                     | 40.5                  | Bus-12          | 0.097                 |
| 1/13                   | 31.5                     | 42                    | Bus-12          | 0.091                 |
| 1/14                   | 42.0                     | 57                    | Bus-12          | 0.097                 |
| 1/4                    | 222.0                    | 228                   | Bus-9           | 0.091                 |
| 1/3                    | 157.5                    | 160.5                 | Bus-13          | 0.075                 |



Fig -2: Bus voltage profile for without and with SVC at bus-9

#### With line outage

The Available Transfer Capability (ATC) are computed for a set of source/sink transfers using Continuous Power Flow (CPF), when line-16 is physically removed from the system that is connected between bus-13 and bus-14. Table-4 shows the ATCs for IEEE 14-bus system without FACTS device, when line-16 is outage. Figure-3: Shows a graph voltage profile for the IEEE 14-bus system with and without outage cases.

Table-4: ATCs without FACTS Device during Line-16 outage

| Source/Sink | ATC   | Violation Constraint |
|-------------|-------|----------------------|
| bus no.     | (M.W) | (line flow/voltage)  |
| 1/9         | 45.0  | Bus-14 voltage limit |
| 1/10        | 44.0  | Bus-10 voltage limit |
| 1/12        | 34.5  | Line-8 overflow      |
| 1/13        | 22.5  | Bus-13 voltage limit |
| 1/14        | 36.0  | Bus-14 voltage limit |
| 1/4         | 217.0 | Line-7 overflow      |
| 1/3         | 157.5 | Line-2 overflow      |



Fig -3: Bus voltage profile for without and with line outage cases

#### **Incorporation of TCSC**

When one TCSC is incorporated in the system, if we consider all lines of system, there are 19 possible locations for the TCSC. The location code region are set as 20 integers as 1 to 20 except line 16. The amount of compensation offered by TCSC is 0 to 40% ( $K_d$ ). After using Real Genetic Algorithm proposed in this work, the results obtained are shown in Table-5. It shows that with the flow control function TCSC increased the ATC significantly even under line outage.

| Source/Sink<br>bus no. | ATC without<br>TCSC (M.W) | ATC with<br>TCSC (M.W) | TCSC<br>Location | Compensation<br>(p.u) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 1/9                    | 45.0                      | 61.0                   | Line-6           | -0.089                |
| 1/10                   | 44.0                      | 56.5                   | Line-6           | -0.100                |
| 1/12                   | 34.5                      | 46.0                   | Line -12         | -0.055                |
| 1/13                   | 22.5                      | 39.0                   | Line -9          | -0.084                |
| 1/14                   | 36.0                      | 51.0                   | Line -12         | -0.066                |
| 1/4                    | 217.0                     | 230.0                  | Line -8          | -0.100                |
| 1/3                    | 157.5                     | 187.5                  | Line -6          | -0.102                |

Table-5: ATCs after incorporating TCSC during line-16 outage

#### **Incorporation of SVC**

When one SVC is incorporated in the system, if we consider all buses of system, there are 14 possible locations for the SVC. The location code region are set as 14 integers as 1 to 14. The amount of compensation offered by SVC is 0 to 0.1 (p.u) i.e.,  $B_{svc}$ . After using Real Genetic Algorithm, the results obtained are shown in Table-6. It shows that with the voltage control function SVC increased the ATC significantly during line-16 outage. Figure-4 shows the voltage profile for IEEE 14-bus system without and with SVC at bus-13, when ATC is computed for a transaction 1/13.

| Source/Sink                         | ATC without | ATC with           | SVC      | Compensation                |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| bus no.                             | SVC (M.W)   | SVC (M.W)          | Location | (p.u)                       |
| 1/9                                 | 45.0        | 51.0               | Bus-14   | 0.0984                      |
| 1/10                                | 44.0        | 46.0               | Bus-10   | 0.0781                      |
| 1/12                                | 34.5        | 45.0               | Bus-12   | 0.0940                      |
| 1/13                                | 22.5        | 31.5               | Bus-13   | 0.0890                      |
| 1/14                                | 36.0        | 45.0               | Bus-14   | 0.0970                      |
| 1/4                                 | 217.0       | 226.5              | Bus-9    | 0.0940                      |
| 1/3                                 | 157.5       | 160.5              | Bus-10   | 0.0960                      |
| 1.15<br>1.1<br>0.95<br>0.95<br>0.95 |             | 5 6 7 8<br>Bus No. |          | bout SVC<br>h SVC at Bus-13 |

Table-6: ATCs after incorporating SVC during line-16 outage

#### Fig -4: Bus voltage profile for without and with SVC at bus-13

#### **IV. II IEEE 24-bus Reliability Test System**

#### Without line outage case

The Available Transfer Capability (ATC) are computed for a set of source/sink transfers using Continuous Power Flow (CPF). Table-7 shows the ATCs for IEEE 24-bus system without FACTS device.

| Source/Sink<br>bus no. | ATC<br>(M.W) | Violation Constraint<br>(Line flow/Voltage) |
|------------------------|--------------|---|
| 23/15                  | 770.0        | Line-24 overflow                            |
| 22/9                   | 395.0        | Line-38 overflow                            |
| 22/5                   | 260.0        | Line-38 overflow                            |
| 21/6                   | 105.0        | Line-10 overflow                            |
| 18/5                   | 260.0        | Line-38 overflow                            |

| Table -7: ATC w | vithout FACTS | Device |
|-----------------|---------------|--------|
|-----------------|---------------|--------|

### **Incorporation of TCSC**

#### Table-8: ATCs after incorporating TCSC

| Source/Sink<br>bus no. | ATC without<br>TCSC (M.W) | ATC with<br>TCSC (M.W) | TCSC<br>Location | Compensation<br>(p.u) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 23/15                  | 770.0                     | 810.0                  | Line-28          | -0.0103               |
| 22/9                   | 395.0                     | 420.0                  | Line-12          | -0.0635               |
| 22/5                   | 260.0                     | 270.0                  | Line -15         | -0.0239               |
| 21/6                   | 105.0                     | 120.0                  | Line -5          | -0.0669               |
| 18/5                   | 260.0                     | 270.0                  | Line -15         | -0.0283               |

When one TCSC is incorporated in the system, if we consider all lines of system, there are 38 possible locations for the TCSC. The location code region are set as 38 integers as 1 to 38. The amount of compensation offered by TCSC is 0 to 40% (Kd). After using Real Genetic Algorithm proposed in this work, the results obtained are shown in Table-8. It shows that with the flow control function TCSC increased the ATC significantly

## **Incorporation of SVC**

When one SVC is incorporated in the system, if we consider all buses of system, there are 24 possible locations for the SVC. The location code region are set as 24 integers as 1 to 24. The amount of compensation offered by SVC is 0 to 0.1 (p.u) i.e.,  $B_{svc}$ . After using Real Genetic Algorithm, the results obtained are shown in Table-9. It shows that with the flow control function SVC increased the ATC significantly.

| Source/Sink<br>bus no. | ATC without<br>SVC (M.W) | ATC with<br>SVC (M.W) | SVC<br>Location | Compensation<br>(p.u) |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| 23/15                  | 770.0                    | 790.0                 | Bus-20          | 0.099                 |
| 22/9                   | 395.0                    | 405.0                 | Bus-5           | 0.086                 |
| 22/5                   | 260.0                    | 265.0                 | Bus-11          | 0.081                 |
| 21/6                   | 105.0                    | 110.0                 | Bus-11          | 0.082                 |
| 18/5                   | 260.0                    | 262.0                 | Bus-5           | 0.091                 |

Table-9: ATCs after incorporating SVC

#### With line outage

The Available Transfer Capability (ATC) are computed for a set of source/sink transfers using Continuous Power Flow (CPF), when line-8 is physically removed from the system that is connected between bus-4 and bus-9. Figure.-5 shows a graph voltage profile for the IEEE 24-bus system with and without outage cases.





Table - 10 shows the ATCs for IEEE 24-bus system without FACTS device, when line-8 is physically removed.

| Source/Sink<br>bus no. | ATC<br>(M.W) | Violation Constraint<br>(Line flow/Voltage) |
|------------------------|--------------|---|
| 23/15                  | 765.00       | Line-24 overflow                            |
| 22/9                   | 385.00       | Bus-9 voltage limit                         |
| 22/5                   | 214.20       | Line-9 overflow                             |
| 21/6                   | 86.70        | Line-10 overflow                            |
| 18/5                   | 214.20       | Line-9 overflow                             |

Table-10: ATCs without FACTS Device during Line-8 outage

#### **Incorporation of TCSC**

When one TCSC is incorporated in the system, if we consider all lines of system, there are 19 possible locations for the TCSC. The location code region are set as 20 integers as 1 to 20 except line-8. The amount of

compensation offered by TCSC is 0 to 40% (Kd). After using Real Genetic Algorithm proposed in this work, the results obtained are shown in Table-11. It shows that with the flow control function TCSC increased the ATC significantly even under line outage

| Source/Sink<br>bus no. | ATC without<br>TCSC (M.W) | ATC with<br>TCSC (M.W) | TCSC<br>Location | Compensation<br>(p.u) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 23/15                  | 765.00                    | 801.20                 | Line-25          | -0.0101               |
| 22/9                   | 385.00                    | 413.10                 | Line-14          | -0.0652               |
| 22/5                   | 214.20                    | 229.50                 | Line -2          | -0.0304               |
| 21/6                   | 86.70                     | 91.80                  | Line -7          | -0.0730               |
| 18/5                   | 214.20                    | 229.50                 | Line -2          | -0.0328               |

Table-11: ATCs after incorporating TCSC during line-8 outage

## **Incorporation of SVC**

When one SVC is incorporated in the system, if we consider all buses of system, there are 24 possible locations for the SVC. The location code region are set as 24 integers as 1 to 24. The amount of compensation offered by SVC is 0 to 0.1 (p.u) i.e.,  $B_{svc}$ . After using Real Genetic Algorithm, the results obtained are shown in Table-12. It shows that with the flow control function SVC increased the ATC significantly during line-8 outage.

| Table-12: ATCs aft | ter incorporating | SVC during | line-8 outage |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|
|                    | 1 0               | <i>U</i>   | <i>u</i>      |

| Source/Sink<br>bus no. | ATC without<br>SVC (M.W) | ATC with<br>SVC (M.W) | SVC<br>Location | Compensation<br>(p.u) |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| 23/15                  | 765.00                   | 785.40                | Bus-10          | 0.084                 |
| 22/9                   | 385.00                   | 392.70                | Bus-23          | 0.099                 |
| 22/5                   | 214.20                   | 219.30                | Bus-14          | 0.092                 |
| 21/6                   | 86.70                    | 88.20                 | Bus-6           | 0.081                 |
| 18/5                   | 214.20                   | 224.40                | Bus-16          | 0.098                 |

### V.CONCLUSION

In unregulated power systems, available transfer capability (ATC) analysis is currently a critical issue either in the operating or planning because of raised area interchanges among utilities. Adequate ATC should be guaranteed to support free market trading and maintain a cost efficient and secure operation over a wide range of system conditions. Nevertheless, tight restrictions on the construction of new facilities due to the rising difficult economic, environmental, and social problems, have led to a much more rigorous shared use of the existing transmission facilities by utilities and independent power producers (IPPs). Based on operating drawbacks of the transmission system and control capabilities of FACTS technology, technical feasibility of applying FACTS devices to boost ATCs are analysed and identified. The ATC is computed for various transactions using Continuous Power Flow method on IEEE 14-bus test system and IEEE 24-reliability test system during normal and contingency cases considering line thermal limit as well as bus voltage limit. The enhancement of ATC using TCSC or SVC is studied and demonstrated with IEEE14-bus test system and

IEEE24 reliability test system during normal as well as contingency cases. The location and control parameter of TCSC and SVC in the system also affects the enhancement of ATC. Implementation of the proposed Real code Genetic Algorithm has performed well when it is used to determine the location and compensation level of TCSC or SVC with the aim of maximizing the Available Transfer Capability. From the results, it is shown that installing SVC as FACTS device will improve voltage profile as well as resulting ATC enhancement, whereas TCSC can improve ATC in both thermal dominant case and voltage dominant case. Finally, it is clearly shows from the results that TCSC is more effective than SVC in improving ATC under both normal and contingency conditions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] IEEE Reliability Test System, IEEE transactions on power apparatus and systems, Vol.PAS-98, No.6, Nov/Dec. 1979
- [2] Power Electronics in Electric Utilities: Static Var Compensators Proceedings of the IEEE, VOL. 76, NO. 4, APRIL 1988.
- [3] V. Ajarapu, and C. Christie, "The continuation power flow: a practical tool for tracing power system steady state stationary behavior due to the load and generation variations," IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 7, No. 1, Feb. 1992,416-423.
- [4] M.Noroozian, G.Anderson, "Power Flow Control by Use of Controllable Series Components", IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, VO1.8, No.3, July 1993, 1420-1428.
- [5] Vladimiro Miranda, J.V. Ranito, L. M. Proenca, "Genetic Algorithms in Optimal Multistage Distribution Network Planning", IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 9, o. 4, Nov. 1994, 1927-1933.
- [6] Transmission Transfer Capability Task Force, "Available transfer capability Definitions and determination," North American Electric Reliability Council, NJ, June 1996.
- [7] G. C. Ejebe, J. Tong, J. G. Waight, J. G. Frame, X. Wang, and W. F. Tinney, "Available transfer capability calculations," IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 13, No.4, Nov.1998, 1521-1527.
- [8] Y. Dai, J. D. McCalley, V. Vittal, "Simplification, expansion and enhancement of direct interior point algorithm for power system maximum loadability", Proc. 21st Int. Conf.Power Ind. Comput. Applicat, pp. 170-179, 1999.
- [9] Richard D. Christie, Bruce F. Wollenberg and Ivar Wangensteen, "Transmission Management in the Deregulated Environment", Proceedings of the IEEE, Vol.88, No.2, February 2000.
- [10] Y. Xiao, Y. H. Song, Y. Z. Sun, "Available Transfer Capability Enhancement Using FACTS Devices", Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE/PWS Summer Meeting, Seattle, vol.1, pp. 508-515, July 2000.
- [11] Wang Feng, G.B.Shrestha "Allocation of TCSC Devices to Optimize Total Market" IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Feb 2001, 587-592.
- [12] Stephane Gerbex, Rachid Cherkaoui, and Alain J. Germond, "Optimal Location of Multi-Type FACTS Devices in a Power System by Means of Genetic Algorithms," IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, vol. 16, no. 3, August 2001.
- [13] Dr. Tapan Kumar Saha "Required Shunt Compensation for an EHV Transmission Line" The University of Queensland, Australia, May 2003.
- [14] R.C. Bansal, A.F.Zobaa, K.A.Nigim "Technology of VAr Compensators for Conversion Systems" Journal of Electrical System, 2006, 172-184.
  Induction Generator Applications in Wind Energy