Holographic Image Compression using New Biorthogonal Wavelets

N. Hyndavi¹, T. Aruna²

¹PG Scholar, Dept. of ECE, GKCE, Sullurpet ²Assistant Professor, Dept. of ECE, GKCE, Sullurpet

ABSTRACT

Digital holography refers to the acquisition and processing of holographs. Image rendering, or reconstruction of object data is performed numerically from digitized interferograms. Digital holography offers a means of measuring optical phase data and typically delivers three-dimensional surface or optical thickness images. Several recording and processing schemes have been developed to assess optical wave characteristics such as amplitude, phase, and polarization state, which make digital holography a very powerful method for metrology applications. With the invent of wavelet based coding schemes like Embedded Zerotree Wavelet and Set Partitioning in Hierarchical Trees, the image compression and image processing community as a whole has taken a turn to the study of wavelet analysis. Defining a suitable wavelet involves the shape, size and also the number of the basis functions. The important features of basis functions are vanishing moments, size of support, regularity and etc. Towards this end in this research work, the features of basis functions will be explored to find an optimal mother wavelet to be used in digital holography. In this paper, biorthogonal wavelets are explored and new variations are built and proved that the performance is superior to many existing ones.

Keywords:Spline function, image compression, biorthogonal wavelet, basis functions, holographic images

I.INTRODUCTION

Consider wavelet transformation of an image for compression. Discrete wavelet transform will be applied to the image; the result of this transformation is wavelet coefficients or what is called wavelet domain. The transformation actually transforms the less correlated data to highly correlated data. When the inverse transform is applied directly on the transformed coefficients the original signal should be restored. Otherwise the transformation should not be used. This condition is called perfect reconstruction. All well-known wavelet transforms satisfy this condition [1][2].

In transform coding schemes like Embedded zero tree wavelet (EZW) or Set partitioning in hierarchical trees (SPIHT), the coding scheme will be applied on wavelet domain or wavelet coefficients. Actually these coding schemes are formulated based on the structure of wavelet decomposition (coefficients) only. After performing the coding of these coefficients in a systematic way (called encoding) the compressed data is obtained. Here whenever we want to view the image the decoding and then inverse wavelet transform is applied [3]. Then

spatial domain image (generally deteriorated) is obtained. The aim of compression is to get a close reconstructed image (with original image) using few wavelet coefficients (or very less amount of memory). But this is very tough to maintain two things at a time because fundamentally these are inversely related [4].

All well-known wavelets satisfy perfect reconstruction condition, i.e., when we apply inverse wavelet transform directly on wavelet domain of the image we get exact original image. But when coding is applied on the wavelet domain the reconstructed image is different from original image and also it is different for different wavelet bases. So, the error between original and reconstructed image and size of coded wavelet coefficients depends on the wavelet bases used [5][6]. The wavelet bases are characterized by using parameters like vanishing moments, compact support, regularity, etc. Also compared to the orthogonal wavelets the biorthongoal wavelet system is flexible with more design options. Hence in this research new biorthogonal bases will be designed with the optimal wavelet bases for image compression in mind.

II.DESIGN OF BIORTHOGONAL WAVELETS

The design of biorthogonal wavelet is concerned in generating two sets of functions with certain properties. The two sets are supposed to be used one in decomposition and other in reconstruction phase of wavelet transform [7].

$$\int \phi(t)\widetilde{\phi}(t-k) dt = \delta_{k,0}$$

$$\sum_{n} h(n) \widetilde{h}(n-2k) = \delta_{k,0}$$
(1)

i.e., h(n) is orthogonal to even translates of itself. Here \tilde{h} is orthogonal to h. Now let the positioning of $\phi(t)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(t)$ is from N_1 to N_2 and \tilde{N}_1 to \tilde{N}_2 respectively. The positioning of the scaling function coefficients is very important. This decides the constraints on coefficients. Now consider the following positioning [8].

$$N_1 = 1$$
, $N_2 = 5$ and $\tilde{N}_1 = 0$, $\tilde{N}_2 = 6$
(2)

Many applications require symmetric scaling function coefficients. So let us put this constraint first. Hence,

$$\widetilde{h}(0) = \widetilde{h}(6), \widetilde{h}(1) = \widetilde{h}(5) \text{ and } \widetilde{h}(2) = \widetilde{h}(4)$$
(3)

Now apply the conditions on coefficients. The equation $\int \tilde{\phi}(t) dt = 1$ results

$$2\widetilde{h}(0) + 2\widetilde{h}(1) + 2\widetilde{h}(2) + \widetilde{h}(3) = \sqrt{2}$$

Now use equation (1), i.e.,

$$\sum_{n} h(n) \,\widetilde{h}(n-2k) = \delta_{k,0}$$

Substituting k = 0 in

$$\sum_n h(n) \, \widetilde{h}(n-2k) = \delta_{k,0} \, ,$$



$$h(2)\tilde{h}(0) + h(3)\tilde{h}(1) + h(4)\tilde{h}(2) + h(5)\tilde{h}(3) = 0$$
Substituting k = 2 in $\sum_{n} h(n) \tilde{h}(n-2k) = \delta_{k,0}$, (6)

354 | Page

-0



$$h(4)\widetilde{h}(0) + h(5)\widetilde{h}(1) = 0$$

The general form of Spline of order k is given by

$$N_{k}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_{i} N_{k} (2t - i)$$

Where $p_{i} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \binom{k}{i}$

Consider Spline of order 4 which is given below.

$$N_4(t) = \frac{1}{8}N_4(2t) + \frac{4}{8}N_4(2t-1) + \frac{6}{8}N_4(2t-2) + \frac{4}{8}N_4(2t-3) + \frac{1}{8}N_4(2t-4)$$

The above spline is considered as one of the scaling function.

Hence the un-normalized coefficients becomes, $\frac{1}{8}, \frac{4}{8}, \frac{6}{8}, \frac{4}{8}$ and $\frac{1}{8}$.

The sum of normalized coefficients must be equal to $\sqrt{2}$ this follows from the requirement $\int \phi(t) dt = 1$.

Therefore
$$a\left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{4}{8} + \frac{6}{8} + \frac{4}{8} + \frac{1}{8}\right) = \sqrt{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Hence the normalized coefficients of $\phi(t)$ are:

$$\frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}, \frac{4}{8\sqrt{2}}, \frac{6}{8\sqrt{2}}, \frac{4}{8\sqrt{2}} and \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}.$$

Using Eqn. (2), the scaling coefficients becomes

(7)

$$h(1) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}, h(2) = \frac{4}{8\sqrt{2}}, h(3) = \frac{6}{8\sqrt{2}}, h(4) = \frac{4}{8\sqrt{2}} \text{ and } h(5) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}$$
(8)

Rewriting the above equations using Eqn. (8) becomes

Eqn. (4)
$$\rightarrow 2\tilde{h}(0) + 2\tilde{h}(1) + 2\tilde{h}(2) + \tilde{h}(3) = \sqrt{2}$$
 (9)

Eqn. (5)
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \tilde{h}(1) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{h}(2) + \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}} \tilde{h}(3) = 1$$
 (10)

Eqn. (6)
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\tilde{h}(0) + \frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}}\tilde{h}(1) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\tilde{h}(2) + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}\tilde{h}(3) = 0$$
 (11)

Eqn. (7)
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \tilde{h}(0) + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} \tilde{h}(1) = 0$$
 (12)

For a total of 4 variables, four equations are formed but in these equations only the equations (9), (10) and (12) are independent. Hence another equation is formed below using vanishing moments. From vanishing moments condition,

$$\tilde{h}(6) - \tilde{h}(5) + \tilde{h}(4) - \tilde{h}(3) + \tilde{h}(2) - \tilde{h}(1) + \tilde{h}(0) = 0$$

which implies $2\tilde{h}(0) - 2\tilde{h}(1) + 2\tilde{h}(2) - \tilde{h}(3) = 0$ (13)

Solving (9), (10), (12) and (13) yields

$$\tilde{h}(0) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{32}, \tilde{h}(1) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{8}, \tilde{h}(2) = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32} \text{ and } \tilde{h}(3) = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{4}.$$

By symmetry

$$\tilde{h}(4) = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}, \tilde{h}(5) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{8} \text{ and } \tilde{h}(6) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{32}.$$

Since $\tilde{\phi}(t) \perp \psi(t)$, $g(k) = (-1)^k \tilde{h}(N-k-1)$. Therefore

$$g(-1) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{32}, g(0) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{8}, g(1) = -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}, g(2) = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{4}, g(3) = -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}, g(4) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{8} \text{ and } g(5) = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{32}$$

Similarly using $\widetilde{g}(k) = (-1)^k h(M-k-1)$

$$\tilde{g}(0) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}, \tilde{g}(1) = -\frac{4}{8\sqrt{2}}, \tilde{g}(2) = \frac{6}{8\sqrt{2}}, g(3) = -\frac{4}{8\sqrt{2}}, and g(4) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}.$$

The coefficients of newly designed biorthogonal wavelet are given in the table below.

Table 1. Wavelet and scaling function coefficients

| K | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| \widetilde{h} | | 0.1326 | -0.5303 | 0.2210 | 1.7678 | 0.2210 | -0.5303 | 0.1326 |
| ĝ | | 0.0884 | -0.3536 | 0.5303 | -0.3536 | 0.0884 | | |
| h | | | 0.0884 | 0.3536 | 0.5303 | 0.3536 | 0.0884 | |
| 8 | -0.1326 | -0.5303 | -0.2210 | 1.7678 | -0.2210 | -0.5303 | -0.1326 | |

The above wavelet is denoted by 'biors23' since if we take the reference as zero, the coefficients spreads from -2 to 2 and -3 to 3. Similarly two more wavelets using spline functions with different positioning are designed. These are 'biors12' and 'biors34' where the coefficients spreads from -1 to 1 and -2 to 2 and -3 to 3 and -4 to 4.

III.NEW BASIS FUNCTION BASED BIORTHOGONAL WAVELETS

The standard Spline function is defined as follows.

$$N_{k}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_{i} N_{k} (2t - i)$$

where $p_{i} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \binom{k}{i}$.

Here the p_i is the scaling value of dilated and translated spline function. The scaling value is directly proportional to binomial coefficients [9][10]. Hence symmetry is guaranteed. The coefficients are linearly distributed. Now a modified Spline is proposed. The new spline-like function is supposed to have symmetry but the coefficient values are modified to have more weight at center and gradually approaches standard spline function. The p_i is modified and given below.

For
$$i \le (k/2)$$
, $p_i = \frac{i+1}{2^{k-1}} \binom{k}{i}$ and
fori> (k/2), $p_i = \frac{k-i+1}{2^{k-1}} \binom{k}{i}$.

The coefficients of the standard Spline and proposed Spline-like functions are given in Fig. 1.



Figure 1. Coefficients of standard Spline function and proposed Spline-like function

In Fig.1, the coefficients are given by ignoring the division by 2^{k-1} . By using the above spline-like function with different lengths three wavelets are designed. These are denoted by 'biorsl12', 'biorsl23' and 'biorsl34' respectively. The spline-like functions of length 3, 5 and 7 are utilized for 'biorsl12', 'biorsl23' and 'biorsl34' respectively. The normalized coefficients of the spline-like function used for the wavelet 'biorsl12' (k=2) are given below.

$$h(-1) = p_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}, \quad h(0) = p_1 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}, \quad h(1) = p_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}$$

Similarly the coefficients for 'biorsl23' (k=4) and 'biorsl34' (k=6) are given below.

$$\begin{split} h(-2) &= p_0 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{72}, \quad h(-1) = p_1 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9}, \quad h(0) = p_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \quad h(1) = p_3 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9}, \quad h(2) = p_4 = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{72}, \\ h(-3) &= p_0 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{196}, \quad h(-2) = p_1 = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{49}, \quad h(-1) = p_2 = \frac{45\sqrt{2}}{196}, \quad h(0) = p_3 = \frac{20\sqrt{2}}{49}, \\ h(1) &= p_4 \frac{45\sqrt{2}}{196}, \quad h(2) = p_5 = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{49}, \quad h(-3) = p_6 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{196} \end{split}$$

Using the property of double shift biorthogonality, normality, symmetry and vanishing moments the following equations are derived for 'biors1'.

$$2\tilde{h}(-2) + 2\tilde{h}(-1) + \tilde{h}(0) = \sqrt{2}$$

$$2\tilde{h}(-2) - 2\tilde{h}(-1) + \tilde{h}(0) = 0$$

$$\tilde{h}(-1) + 2\tilde{h}(0) = 3/\sqrt{2}$$

$$\tilde{h}(-1) + 4\tilde{h}(-2) = 0$$

The unique solution to the above system of equations is given below.

$$\tilde{h}(-2) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}, \quad \tilde{h}(-1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}, \quad \tilde{h}(0) = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{8}, \quad \tilde{h}(1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}, \quad \tilde{h}(2) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}.$$

Similarly the coefficients for 'biors1' and 'biors2' are calculated and the coefficients of 'coifs2' are given below.

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{h}(-3) &= -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{256}, \, \widetilde{h}(-2) = -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}, \, \widetilde{h}(-1) = \frac{59\sqrt{2}}{256}, \, \widetilde{h}(0) = \frac{13\sqrt{2}}{16}, \\ \widetilde{h}(1) &= \frac{59\sqrt{2}}{256}, \, \widetilde{h}(2) = -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}, \, \widetilde{h}(3) = -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{256} \end{split}$$

The coefficients of 'coifsl34' are given below.

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{h}(-4) &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{128}, \widetilde{h}(-3) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{32}, \widetilde{h}(-2) = -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{16}, \widetilde{h}(-1) = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}, \widetilde{h}(0) = \frac{73\sqrt{2}}{64}, \\ \widetilde{h}(1) &= \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{32}, \widetilde{h}(2) = -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{16}, \widetilde{h}(3) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{32}, \widetilde{h}(4) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{128}. \end{split}$$

The above are scaling function coefficients at decomposition and reconstruction side. The wavelet function coefficients are calculated from these values using expressions presented in previous sections.

III.SIMULATION RESULTS

This section is concerned with the simulation results of proposed wavelets used in image compression. The image compression schemes considered are EZW [11], SPIHT [12]-[15], spatial oriented tree (STW), Wavelet difference reduction (WDR) and adaptively selected wavelet difference reduction schemes (ASWDR). The test images considered are holographic images. The simulation was carried on large number of holographic images, and results on 6 images are presented in this section. Compression ratio (CR), Peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) and Structural similarity (SSIM) are evaluated. These values are given in Tables 2 to 6, each using different coding scheme.

Table 2. Compression results with EZW

| Image | Parameter | BIORS – 1 | BIORS - 2 | NBIOR - 1 | NBIOR – 2 |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | CR | 5.57 | 3.86 | 9.97 | 7.19 |
| 1 | PSNR | 26.95 | 19.76 | 28.74 | 22.40 |
| | SSIM | 0.93 | 0.76 | 0.95 | 0.83 |
| 2 | CR | 11.90 | 2.82 | 22.75 | 16.19 |
| | PSNR | 29.15 | 15.77 | 33.24 | 27.24 |

| | SSIM | 0.92 | 0.44 | 0.95 | 0.83 |
|---|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | CR | 9.47 | 13.45 | 20.71 | 11.07 |
| 3 | PSNR | 22.37 | 18.18 | 26.29 | 20.22 |
| | SSIM | 0.79 | 0.59 | 0.90 | 0.66 |
| | CR | 6.58 | 5.43 | 12.70 | 8.26 |
| 4 | PSNR | 31.06 | 23.97 | 33.66 | 28.26 |
| | SSIM | 0.88 | 0.65 | 0.91 | 0.77 |
| | CR | 7.94 | 1.39 | 13.55 | 17.73 |
| 5 | PSNR | 38.08 | 20.17 | 41.58 | 39.91 |
| | SSIM | 0.97 | 0.55 | 0.98 | 0.96 |
| | CR | 26.42 | 4.45 | 37.62 | 47.15 |
| 6 | PSNR | 47.34 | 26.42 | 49.50 | 44.95 |
| | SSIM | 0.99 | 0.51 | 0.99 | 0.97 |

Table 3. Compression results with SPIHT

| Image | Parameter | BIORS - 1 | BIORS - 2 | NBIOR - 1 | NBIOR – 2 |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | CR | 3.6087 | 2.4404 | 3.2873 | 4.7567 |
| 1 | PSNR | 26.1213 | 19.0541 | 24.1373 | 21.7224 |
| | SSIM | 0.92216 | 0.73768 | 0.88011 | 0.80482 |
| | CR | 7.9386 | 1.7466 | 7.9539 | 10.643 |
| 2 | PSNR | 28.3256 | 15.5077 | 27.6953 | 26.2684 |
| | SSIM | 0.9102 | 0.41597 | 0.88143 | 0.80803 |
| | CR | 6.7296 | 5.2261 | 5.6112 | 8.3216 |
| 3 | PSNR | 22.0015 | 15.1597 | 21.1496 | 19.8948 |
| | SSIM | 0.77251 | 0.40269 | 0.71882 | 0.63342 |
| | CR | 4.5664 | 1.9557 | 4.0095 | 5.5267 |
| 4 | PSNR | 30.502 | 20.2151 | 29.4054 | 27.5773 |
| | SSIM | 0.87192 | 0.48083 | 0.82902 | 0.74209 |
| | CR | 5.013 | 0.49591 | 8.6217 | 10.9985 |
| 5 | PSNR | 37.1212 | 16.9451 | 40.3732 | 38.6803 |
| | SSIM | 0.96775 | 0.40436 | 0.97251 | 0.95226 |
| 6 | CR | 11.7966 | 1.624 | 17.9693 | 21.9955 |

| | PSNR | 41.5796 | 23.7292 | 45.6326 | 43.0904 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | SSIM | 0.96395 | 0.39073 | 0.97201 | 0.94831 | | | |
| Table 4. Compression results with STW | | | | | | | | |
| Image | Parameter | BIORS - 1 | BIORS - 2 | NBIOR - 1 | NBIOR – 2 | | | |
| | CR | 5.1325 | 3.539 | 4.5776 | 6.7078 | | | |
| 1 | PSNR | 27.019 | 19.7979 | 25.0451 | 22.4944 | | | |
| | SSIM | 0.93389 | 0.76529 | 0.89598 | 0.82735 | | | |
| | CR | 11.8474 | 2.5152 | 11.9654 | 16.0436 | | | |
| 2 | PSNR | 29.2839 | 15.7668 | 28.8566 | 27.5245 | | | |
| | SSIM | 0.92252 | 0.43953 | 0.89834 | 0.83928 | | | |
| _ | CR | 9.785 | 7.7449 | 7.9707 | 11.6272 | | | |
| 3 | PSNR | 22.4244 | 15.4869 | 21.6035 | 20.2766 | | | |
| | SSIM | 0.79177 | 0.4317 | 0.74118 | 0.65956 | | | |
| _ | CR | 6.4997 | 2.8392 | 5.5842 | 7.7993 | | | |
| 4 | PSNR | 31.1967 | 20.6166 | 30.3031 | 28.6499 | | | |
| | SSIM | 0.8825 | 0.51031 | 0.84624 | 0.77924 | | | |
| | CR | 7.4443 | 0.68715 | 13.3372 | 17.249 | | | |
| 5 | PSNR | 38.4664 | 17.1371 | 43.1523 | 42.296 | | | |
| | SSIM | 0.97358 | 0.41315 | 0.98101 | 0.97146 | | | |
| | CR | 17.3925 | 2.3371 | 26.6846 | 33.9111 | | | |
| 6 | PSNR | 43.9615 | 24.0129 | 50.1329 | 48.9213 | | | |
| | SSIM | 0.97599 | 0.42525 | 0.98848 | 0.98061 | | | |

Table 5. Compression results with WDR

| Image | Parameter | BIORS - 1 | BIORS - 2 | NBIOR - 1 | NBIOR – 2 |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | CR | 5.6824 | 4.0548 | 10.6979 | 7.7103 |
| 1 | PSNR | 26.954 | 19.7575 | 28.7433 | 22.4007 |
| | SSIM | 0.93311 | 0.76418 | 0.95089 | 0.8251 |
| | CR | 13.5417 | 2.9231 | 26.5121 | 19.042 |
| 2 | PSNR | 29.1492 | 15.7688 | 33.2401 | 27.2354 |
| | SSIM | 0.92086 | 0.43959 | 0.9479 | 0.83108 |
| 3 | CR | 10.5509 | 14.7349 | 24.4863 | 13.1343 |

361 | Page

| | PSNR | 22.3746 | 18.1767 | 26.2868 | 20.2228 |
|---|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | SSIM | 0.78952 | 0.58852 | 0.89718 | 0.65623 |
| | CR | 7.1452 | 5.7958 | 14.0335 | 9.1283 |
| 4 | PSNR | 31.0639 | 23.9727 | 33.6597 | 28.2584 |
| | SSIM | 0.87954 | 0.64747 | 0.91447 | 0.7697 |
| | CR | 9.0083 | 1.413 | 16.2094 | 21.4671 |
| 5 | PSNR | 38.0803 | 20.1726 | 41.5846 | 39.9106 |
| | SSIM | 0.97231 | 0.54858 | 0.97712 | 0.96322 |
| | CR | 28.3427 | 4.6178 | 40.7277 | 52.3137 |
| 6 | PSNR | 47.3422 | 26.4183 | 49.5037 | 44.9486 |
| | SSIM | 0.98946 | 0.50767 | 0.99114 | 0.97426 |

Table 6. Compression results with ASWDR

| Image | Parameter | BIORS - 1 | BIORS - 2 | NBIOR - 1 | NBIOR – 2 |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | CR | 5.5934 | 3.9708 | 10.5164 | 7.6538 |
| 1 | PSNR | 26.954 | 19.7575 | 28.7433 | 22.4007 |
| | SSIM | 0.93311 | 0.76418 | 0.95089 | 0.8251 |
| | CR | 13.2884 | 2.887 | 25.8657 | 18.7602 |
| 2 | PSNR | 29.1492 | 15.7688 | 33.2401 | 27.2354 |
| | SSIM | 0.92086 | 0.43959 | 0.9479 | 0.83108 |
| | CR | 10.4401 | 14.3611 | 24.0875 | 13.1195 |
| 3 | PSNR | 22.3746 | 18.1767 | 26.2868 | 20.2228 |
| | SSIM | 0.78952 | 0.58852 | 0.89718 | 0.65623 |
| | CR | 7.1025 | 5.6524 | 13.9277 | 9.1537 |
| 4 | PSNR | 31.0639 | 23.9727 | 33.6597 | 28.2584 |
| | SSIM | 0.87954 | 0.64747 | 0.91447 | 0.7697 |
| | CR | 8.5012 | 1.357 | 15.4043 | 20.3359 |
| 5 | PSNR | 38.0803 | 20.1726 | 41.5846 | 39.9106 |
| | SSIM | 0.97231 | 0.54858 | 0.97712 | 0.96322 |
| | CR | 27.1311 | 4.4769 | 39.0961 | 50.2151 |
| 6 | PSNR | 47.3422 | 26.4183 | 49.5037 | 44.9486 |
| | SSIM | 0.98946 | 0.50767 | 0.99114 | 0.97426 |

IV.CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, new biorthogonal wavelets are proposed. The newly designed wavelets are used for image compression. Five different wavelet based image compression techniques are considered. They are EZW, SPIHT, STW, WDR, and ASWDR. Simulations are performed on Holographic images. The main observation from the simulation results is that the compression ratio using proposed wavelets is extremely high in comparison with that of in existing wavelets. In most of the cases the compression ratio using proposed wavelets is more than twice that of the existing wavelets. In addition to achieving high compression ratio a tolerable PSNR was maintain. The spline function when changed by considering a criterion and also when the input images classified based on their characteristics, a more generalized and optimum mother wavelet function can be devised with the analysis present in this paper.

REFERENCES

- Ronald A. DeVore, "Adaptive Wavelet Bases for Image Compression", A K Peters Wavelets, Images and Surface Fitting, pp. 197-219, 1994.
- [2] Yan Zhuang, John S. Baras, "Image compression using optimal wavelet basis", Proc. of SPIE, 17-21 April 1995.
- [3] Michael G. Strintzis, "Optimal Biorthongoal Wavelet Bases for Signal Decomposition", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1406-1417, June 1996.
- [4] Aleksandra Mojsilovic, Miodrag V. Popovic and Dejan M. Rackov, "On the Selection of an Optimal Wavelet Basis for Texture Characterization", IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 2043-2050, Dec 2000.
- [5] Nasir M. Rajpoot, Roland G. Wilson, Francois G. Meyer, Ronald R. Coifman, "Adaptive Wavelet Packet Basis Selection for Zerotree Image Coding", IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 12, no. 12, Dec 2003.
- [6] M. K. Mandal, S. Panchanathan and T. Aboulnasr, "Choice of Wavelets for Image Compression", Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1133, pp. 239-249, 2005.
- [7] G. K. Kharate, V. H. Patil and N. L. Bhale, "Selection of mother wavelet for image compression on basis of image", Journal of Multimedia, vol. 2, no. 6, November 2007.
- [8] Maria Rehman, Imran Touqir, WajihaBatool, "Selection of optimal wavelet bases for image compression using SPIHT algorithm", Proc. SPIE 9445, Seventh International Conference on Machine Vision (ICMV 2014), vol. 9445, Feb 2015.
- [9] Noor Kamal Al-Qazzaz, Sawal Hamid Bin Mohd Ali, SitiAnom Ahmad, MohdShabiul Islam and Javier Escudero, "Selection of Mother Wavelet Functions for Multi-Channel EEG Signal Analysis during a Working Memory Task", Sensors, 15, pp. 29015-29035, 2015.
- [10] GirishaGarg, "A signal invariant wavelet function selection algorithm", Springer Medical & Biological Engineering Computing, 54, pp. 629–642, 2016.

- [11] Shapiro J.M. (1993), "Embedded image coding using zerotrees of wavelet coefficients", P IEEE Trans. Signal Proc., Vol. 41, No. 12, pp. 3445–3462.
- [12] Said A., W.A. Pearlman (1996), "A new, fast, and efficient image codec based on set partitioning in hierarchical trees," *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 243–250.
- [13] Jaya Krishna Sunkara, PurnimaKuruma, Ravi Sankaraiah Y, "Image Compression Using HandDesigned and Lifting Based Wavelet Transforms", International Journal ofElectronics Communications and Computer Technology (e-ISSN: 2249-7838, IF: 1.2456), Vol. 2 (4),2012.
- [14] Jaya Krishna Sunkara, E Navaneethasagari, D Pradeep, E Naga Chaithanya, D Pavani, D V SaiSudheer, "ANewVideoCompressionMethodusingDCT/DWTandSPIHTbasedonAccordionRepresentation", I.J. Image, Graphics and Signal Processing (e-ISSN: 2074-9082, p-ISSN:2074-9074,IF: 0.11), pp. 28-34, May2012.
- [15] JayaKrishnaSunkara,KurumaPurnima,ENavaneethaSagariandLRamaSubbareddy,"ANewAccordion Based Video Compression Method", i-manager's Journal on Electronics Engineering(e-ISSN: 2249-0760, p-ISSN: 2229-7286), Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 14-21, June August2011.

Author's Profile



N. Hyndavi, received her Bachelors in Technology in Electronics and Communication Engineering from Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Sullurpet affiliated to JNTUA, Ananthapuramu in 2015. Currently she pursuing Masters in Technology in Digital Electronics and Communication Systems in Gokula Krishna College of Engineering, Sullurpet. Her research interests include Signal and Image Processing and wavelet analysis.



T.Aruna, received her B.Tech degree in ECE from Audisankara College of Engineering and Technology, JNTU Hyderabad in 2005, M.Tech degree from Sri VenkataPerumala College of Engineering and Technology, JNTUA Ananthapuramu in 2012. Presently she is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of ECE at Gokula Krishna College of Engineering,

Sullurpet.