



# AN IMPROVED HYBRID DSTATCOM TOPOLOGY TO COMPENSATE UNBALANCED AND NON LINEAR LOADS

**Tushar J. Thakur<sup>1</sup>, Ganesh Mahajan<sup>2</sup>, Vaibhav Kolekar<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*M.Tech Scholar (Power Electronics and Machine Drive), College of engineering, Pune(COEP),*

<sup>2</sup>*M.Tech Scholar(Power System engineering)*

<sup>3</sup>*Asst. Professor, Dept. of Electrical Engineering*

*Dr.Babasaheb Ambedkar Technological University (DBATU), Lonere, Maharashtra, (India)*

## ABSTRACT

*Traditionally, static capacitors and passive filters have been utilized to improve power quality (PQ) in a distribution system. However, these usually have problems such as fixed compensation, system-parameter-dependent performance, and possible resonance with line reactance. A distribution static compensator (DSTATCOM) has been proposed in the literature to overcome these drawbacks. It injects reactive and harmonics component of load currents to make source currents balanced, sinusoidal, and in phase with the load voltages. However, a traditional DSTATCOM requires a high-power-rating voltage source inverter (VSI) for load compensation. The power rating of the DSTATCOM is directly proportional to the current to be compensated and the dc-link voltage. Generally, the dc-link voltage is maintained at much higher value than the maximum value of the phase-to-neutral voltage in a three-phase four-wire system for satisfactory compensation (in a three-phase three-wire system, it is higher than the phase-to-phase voltage). However, a higher dc-link voltage increases the rating of the VSI, makes the VSI heavy, and results in higher voltage rating of MOSFET switches. It leads to the increase in the cost, size, weight, and power rating of the VSI.*


**Keywords - Distribution static compensator (DSTATCOM), hybrid topology, passive filter, power quality (PQ).**

## I. INTRODUCTION

PQ problems are not solved completely due to uncontrollable reactive power compensation and high costs of new feeders and UPS. Conventionally, Static VAR Compensators (SVCs) have been used in conjunction with passive filters at the distribution level for reactive power compensation and mitigation of the power quality problem. Though SVCs are very effective system controllers used to provide reactive power compensation at the transmission level, their limited bandwidth, higher passive element count that increases size and losses, and slower response make them unsuitable for the modern day distribution requirement. Another compensating system has been proposed by employing a combination of SVC and active power filter, which can compensate three phase loads in a minimum of two cycles. Thus, a controller which continuously monitors the load voltages and currents to determine the right amount of compensation required by the system and the less response time

should be a viable alternative. Distribution Static Compensator (DSTATCOM) has the capacity to overcome the above drawbacks by providing precise control and fast response during transient and steady state, with reduced foot print and weight. The DSTATCOM has emerged as a promising device to provide solution not only for voltage related issues but a host of other current related power quality problem’s solutions such as voltage regulation , load balancing , reactive power compensation , power factor correction & improvement and current harmonic control. This paper aims at presenting a comprehensive review of DSTATCOM for power quality improvement on distribution system. This paper covers the different configurations used, the control methodologies, and their selection for specific applications.

## II. PROPOSED DSTATCOM TOPOLOGY



**Fig.1 Proposed DSTATCOM topology to compensate unbalanced and nonlinear loads**

The proposed DSTATCOM three-phase equivalent circuit diagram is shown in fig.1. It is realized by using three-phase four-wire two-level neutral-point-clamped VSI. An LCL filter is connected at the front end of voltage source inverter with series capacitance. This LCL filter reduces the size of the passive components reHere  $R_1$  and  $L_1$  represent resistance and inductance at VSI side; and represents inductance and resistance at load end side of the system.  $C$  is filter capacitance which forms LCL filter in all three phases.  $R_d$  is damping resistance used in series with the capacitance  $C$ , provides passive damping of the overall system and damp out the resonance. Here  $i_{f1a}$  and  $i_{f2a}$  are filter currents in phase-a and similar in all three phases.  $V_{sha}$  is voltage across LCL filter and  $i_{sha}$  is current through LCL filter, this is similar for other two phases. The voltage across the DLink capacitors are maintained constant i.e.  $V_{dc1}=V_{dc2}=V_{dcref}$ . The source and load of DSTATCOM are connected to a common point called point of common coupling (PCC).

## III. DSTATCOM CONTROL

The control block diagram of DSTATCOM is shown in Fig.2. The DSTATCOM is controlled in such a way that the source currents are balanced, sinusoidal, and in phase with the respective terminal voltages. In addition, average load power and losses in the VSI are supplied by the source. Since the source considered here is non stiff, the direct use of terminal voltages to calculate reference filter currents will not provide satisfactory

compensation Therefore, the fundamental positive sequence components of three-phase voltages are extracted to generate reference filter currents ( $i_{f2a}$ ,  $i_{f2b}$ , and  $i_{f2c}$ ) based on the instantaneous symmetrical component theory. These currents are given as follows:

$$i_{f2a}^* = i_{1a} - i_{s2}^* = \frac{v_{ta1}^+}{\Delta_1^+} (P_{1avg} + P_{loss}) \tag{1}$$

$$i_{f2b}^* = i_{1b} - i_{sb}^* = \frac{v_{tb1}^+}{\Delta_1^+} (P_{1avg} + P_{loss}) \tag{2}$$

$$i_{f2c}^* = i_{1c} - i_{sc}^* = \frac{v_{tc1}^+}{\Delta_1^+} (P_{1avg} + P_{loss}) \tag{3}$$

Where  $v_{ta1}^+$ ,  $v_{tb1}^+$ ,  $v_{tc1}^+$  are fundamental positive sequence voltages at the respective phase load terminal, and  $\Delta_1^+ = (v_{ta1}^+)^2 + (v_{tb1}^+)^2 + (v_{tc1}^+)^2$ . The terms  $P_{1avg}$  and  $P_{loss}$  represent the average load power and the total losses in the VSI, respectively. The average load power is calculated using a moving average filter for better performance during transients and can have a window width of half-cycle or full cycle depending upon the odd or odd and even harmonics, respectively, present in the load currents. At any arbitrary time  $t1$ , it is computed as,

$$P_{1avg} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t1-T}^{t1} (v_{ta} i_{ta} + v_{tb} i_{tb} + v_{tc} i_{tc}) dt \tag{4}$$

The terms  $P_{1avg}$  and  $P_{loss}$  represent the average load power and the total losses in the VSI, respectively. The average load power is calculated using a moving average filter for better performance during transients and can have a window width of half-cycle or full cycle depending upon the odd or odd and even harmonics, respectively, present in the load currents.




Fig.2 Control Diagram of DSTATCOM

The total losses in the VSI are computed using a proportional–integral (PI) controller at the positive zero crossing of phase-*a* voltage. It helps in maintaining the dc-link voltage  $V_{dc1} + V_{dc2}$  at a reference value  $2V_{dc\_ref}$  by drawing a set of balanced currents from the source and is given as,

$$P_{loss} = K_p e_{vdc} + K_i \int e_{vdc} dt \tag{5}$$

Where  $K_p$ ,  $K_i$ ,  $e_{vdc}$  are the proportional gain, integral gain, and voltage error of the PI controller, respectively.

#### IV. DESIGN OF LCL FILTER PARAMETERS

While designing suitable values of LCL filter components, constraints such as cost of inductor, resonance frequency  $f_{res}$ , choice of damping resistor  $R_d$ , and attenuation at switch, frequency  $f_{sw}$  should be consider.

Consider only L1 of the passive filter, as shown in Fig.1, is used. The value of inductance L1 is chosen from a tradeoff, which provides a reasonably high switching frequency and a sufficient rate of change of the filter current, such that the VSI currents follow the reference currents. At any point of time, the following equation represents the inductor dynamics:

$$L_1 di_{f1} = -v_t - R_1 i_{f1} + V_{dref} \quad (6)$$

For further analysis, R1 can be neglected. The inductor is designed to provide good tracking performance at maximum switching frequency, which is achieved at zero supply voltage in the HCC. Taking these into consideration, inductance L1 is given by

$$L_1 = \frac{V_{dref}}{(2h_a)(2f_{max})} = \frac{V_{dref}}{4h_a f_{max}} \quad (7)$$

where  $2h_a$  is allowable ripple in the current, and  $f_{max}$  is the maximum switching frequency achieved by the HCC. The large ripple current will lower the IGBT switching frequency and lowers the losses. However, it can be seen that the smaller ripple current results in higher inductance and, thus, more core losses. Therefore, a ripple current of 20% is taken while compromising the ripple and inductor size. The use of a series capacitor has reduced the dc-link voltage to 200 V.

Once  $L_1$  is chose to attenuate lower order harmonics,  $L_2$  and C need to be designed for elimination of higher order harmonics. At higher frequencies, the impedance offered by  $C_{se}$  will be much lower than that of  $L_2$  and can be neglected while designing LCL filter parameters. Neglecting the values of  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $C_{se}$  at higher frequencies, the following transfer functions are obtained,

$$\frac{i_{f1}(s)}{V_{inv}(s)} = \frac{s^2 + \frac{1}{L_2 C}}{s L_1 (s^2 + \frac{L_1 + L_2}{L_1 L_2 C})} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{i_{f2}(s)}{V_{inv}(s)} = \frac{1}{s(s^2 + \frac{L_1 + L_2}{L_1 L_2 C})} \quad (9)$$

The expression for resonance frequency is,

$$f_{res} = \sqrt{\frac{1+k}{KL_1 C}} \quad (10)$$

Where  $k = L_2/L_1$ . The resonance frequency must be greater than the highest order harmonic of the current to be compensated. Usually, the magnitude of the lower order harmonics in the LCL filter is used to be more as compared with the higher order harmonics. Hence, the current through the shunt capacitor and the inductor L1 will increase for  $k > 1$ . This will increase the damping power losses, the reactive power loss in inductor L1, and the inverter current. Moreover, the source current will also increase as the damping power losses are extracted from the source. Hence,  $L_2 > L_1$  will result in more losses and cost. Therefore, to ensure low loss and high efficiency, a lower value of k is selected ( $k < 1$ ). The capacitive reactance at resonance will be,

$$X_{cres} = \frac{1}{2\pi f_{res} C} \quad (11)$$

The power losses in the damping resistor will be,

$$P_{loss} = 3 \times R_d \times \sum_{h=1}^n I_{sh}^2 \quad (12)$$

## V. SIMULATION AND RESULT

### 1. Simulation of DSTATCOM using phase shift control




Fig.3 Simulation of DSTATCOM using phase shift control

### 2. Simulation Results of DSTATCOM using phase shift control

#### a) Load voltage




Fig.4 Three phase output voltage at load terminal

#### b) Load Current




Fig.5 Three phase output current at load terminal

### 3. Simulation and results of Improved Hybrid DSTATCOM

The combined model of DSTATCOM and LCL filter is improved hybrid DSTATCOM. Here the simulation of Improved Hybrid DSTATCOM is carried out under reactive and nonlinear load. The simulation diagram of DSTATCOM is shown below in fig.6.




Fig.6 Simulation diagram of improved hybrid DSTATCOM

The system parameters are given in table 1,

TABLE 1: Simulation parameters for Improved Hybrid DSTATCOM

System quantities	Values
Source voltage	440V Phase to Phase, 50Hz
Feeder impedance	$Z_s = 1+j3.141\Omega$
Linear load (Unbalance load)	$Z_1 = 35+j0.1 \Omega$ $Z_2 = 45+j0.3 \Omega$ $Z_3 = 55+j0.5 \Omega$
RL type non linear load	Three phase full bridge rectifier with RL load of 16.5Ω, 20mh
VSI Parameters	$V_{dc} = 200V$ , $r = 20\Omega$ , $C_{dc1} = 2600\mu F$ , $C_{dc2} = 2600\mu F$







Fig.7 Three phase output voltage at load terminal



**Fig.8 THD analysis of output voltage waveforms**



**Fig.9 Three phase output current at load terminal**



**Fig.10 THD analysis of output current waveform**

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, design and operation of an improved hybrid DSTATCOM topology is proposed to compensate reactive(unbalanced) and harmonic loads. The hybrid interfacing filter used here consists of an LCL filter. This topology provides improved load current compensation capabilities while using reduced dc-link voltage and interfacing filter inductance. Moreover, the current through the shunt capacitor and the damping power losses



are significantly reduced compared with the LCL filter-based DSTATCOM topology. These contribute significant reduction in cost, weight, size, and power rating of the traditional DSTATCOM topology.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Schauder, "STATCOM for Compensation of Large Electric Arc Furnace Installations," Proceedings of the IEEE PES Summer Power Meeting, Edmonton, Alberta, July 1999, pp.1109-1112.
- [2] G. Reed, J. Paserba, T. Croasdaile, M.Takeda, Y. Hamasaki, T. Aritsuka, N. Morishima, S.Jochi, I. Iyoda, M. Nambu, N. Toki, L.Thomas, G. Smith, D.LaForest, W. Allard, D.Haas, "The VELCOSTATCOM-Based Transmission System Project," Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE PES Winter Power Meeting, Columbus,H, January/February 2001
- [3] JianyeCuen, ShanSong, Zanjiwang, "Analysis and implement of Thyristor based STATCOM", 2006, International conference On Power System technology.
- [4] B. Singh and S. Arya, "Implementation of single-phase enhanced phase locked loop-based control algorithm for three-phase DSTATCOM," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 1516–1524, Jul. 2013.
- [5] J. Liu, P. Zanchetta, M. Degano, and E. Lavopa, "Control design and implementation for high performance shunt active filters in aircraft power grids," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 59, no. 9, pp. 3604–3613, Sep. 2012.
- [6] M. Singh, V. Khadkikar, A. Chandra, and R. Varma, "Grid interconnection of renewable energy sources at the distribution level with power quality improvement features," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 307–315, Jan. 2011.
- [7] A. Bhattacharya and C. Chakraborty, "A shunt active power filter with Enhanced performance using ANN-based predictive and adaptive controllers," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 421–428, Feb. 2011.
- [8] R. Inzunza and H. Akagi, "A 6.6-kv transformerless shunt hybrid active filter for installation on a power distribution system," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 893–900, Jul. 2005.
- [9] B. Singh and S. Sharma, "Design and implementation of four-leg voltage source-converter-based VFC for autonomous wind energy conversion system," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 4694– 4703, Dec. 2012.