



CONTRA PRE- γ -CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

A.Vadivel¹, C.Sivashanmugaraja²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu (India)

² Department of Mathematics, Periyar Arts College, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu (India)

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to introduce and investigate some new classes of mappings called contra pre- γ -continuous mappings and almost contra pre- γ -continuous mappings via pre- γ -open sets. Also, some of their fundamental properties are studied.

Keywords: Contra Pre- γ -Continuous, Almost Contra Pre- γ -Continuous.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the recent literature, many topologists had focused their research in the direction of investigating different types of generalized continuity. Dontchev [1] introduced a new class of mappings called contra-continuity. Jafari and Noiri [2, 3] exhibited and studied among others a new weaker form of this class of mappings called contra- α -continuous and contra-pre-continuous mappings. Also, a new weaker form of this class of mappings called contra-semicontinuous mappings was introduced and investigated by Dontchev and Noiri [4]. Contra- δ -precontinuous mapping was obtained by Ekici and Noiri [5]. A good number of researchers have also initiated different types of contra continuous like mappings in the papers (Caldas and Jafari [6]; Ekici [7, 8]; Nasef [9]; Al-Omari and Noorani [10]; El-Magbrabi [11]). Ogata [12] introduced the notion of pre- γ -open sets which are weaker than open sets. The concept of pre- γ -open sets and pre- γ -open maps in topological spaces are introduced by Hariwan Z. Ibrahim [13, 14]. This paper is devoted to introduce and investigate a new class of mappings called contra pre- γ -continuous mappings. Also, some of their fundamental properties are studied.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper (X, τ) and (Y, σ) (simply, X and Y) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless otherwise mentioned. The closure of subset A of X , the interior of A and the complement of A is denoted by $cl(A)$, $int(A)$ and A^c or $X \setminus A$ respectively. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is called regular open [15] if $A = int(cl(A))$. An operation γ [12] on a topology τ is a mapping from τ into power set $P(X)$ of X such that $V \subseteq \gamma(V)$ for each $V \in \tau$, where $\gamma(V)$ denotes the value of γ at V . A

subset A of X with an operation γ on τ is called γ -open [12] if for each $x \in A$, there exists an open set U such that $x \in U$ and $\gamma(U) \subseteq A$. Then, τ_γ denotes the set of all γ -open sets in X . Clearly $\tau_\gamma \subseteq \tau$. Complements of γ -open sets are called γ -closed. The τ_γ -interior [16] of A is denoted by $\tau_\gamma\text{-int}(A)$ and defined to be the union of all γ -open sets of X contained in A . A subset A of a space X is said to be pre- γ -open [13] if $A \subseteq \tau_\gamma\text{-int}(cl(A))$.

DEFINITION 2.1.[14] A subset A of X is called pre- γ -closed if and only if its complement is pre- γ -open. Moreover, $\text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ denotes the collection of all pre- γ -open sets of (X, τ) and $\text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(X)$ denotes the collection of all pre- γ -closed sets of (X, τ) .

DEFINITION 2.2.[14] Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . The intersection of all pre- γ -closed sets containing A is called the pre- γ -closure of A and is denoted by $\text{pre-}\gamma Cl(A)$.

DEFINITION 2.3.[14] A subset N of a space (X, τ) is called a pre- γ -Neighborhood (briefly, pre- γ -nbd) of a point $p \in X$ if there exists a pre- γ -open set W such that $p \in W \subseteq N$. The class of all pre- γ -nbds of $p \in X$ is called the pre- γ -neighborhood system of p and denoted by $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-}N_p$.

DEFINITION 2.4.[14] A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called:

- (i) pre- γ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ for every open set V of Y ,
- (ii) pre- γ -irresolute if $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ for every pre- γ -open set V of Y .

DEFINITION 2.5. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called:

- (i) contra-continuous [1] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is closed in X for each open set in Y ,
- (ii) almost contra-continuous [17] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is closed in X for each regular open set of Y .

DEFINITION 2.6. Let A be a subset of space (X, τ) . Then:

- (i) the kernel of A [18] is given by $\text{ker}(A) = \bigcap \{U \in \tau : A \subseteq U\}$,
- (ii) the pre- γ -boundary of A [19] is given by $\text{pre-}\gamma b(A) = \text{pre-}\gamma Cl(A) \setminus \text{pre-}\gamma\text{-int}(A)$.

LEMMA 2.1.[20] The following properties are holds for two subsets A, B of a topological space (X, τ) :

- (i) $x \in \text{ker}(A)$ if and only if $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$, for any closed set F of X containing x ,
- (ii) $A \subseteq \text{ker}(A)$ and $A = \text{ker}(A)$, if A is open in X ,
- (iii) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\text{ker}(A) \subseteq \text{ker}(B)$.

DEFINITION 2.7. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be:

- (i) Urysohn [21] if, for each two distinct points x, y of X , there exist two open sets U and V such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \emptyset$,



- (ii) ultra Hausdorff [22] if, for each two distinct points x, y of X , there exist two closed sets U and V such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$, and $U \cap V = \emptyset$
- (iii) ultra normal [22] if for each pair of nonempty disjoint closed sets can be separated by disjoint clopen sets.
- (iv) weakly Hausdorff [23] if each element of X is the intersection of regular closed sets of X ,
- (v) strongly S -closed [24] (resp. S -closed [1], S -Lindeloff [25], countably S -closed [26]) if for closed (resp. regular closed, regular closed, countably regular closed) cover of X has a finite (resp. finite, countable, finite) subcover.

III. CONTRA PRE- γ -CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

DEFINITION 3.1. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called contra pre- γ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(U) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\text{C}(X)$, for every open set U of Y .

THEOREM 3.1. For a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) f is contra pre- γ -continuous,
- (ii) for each $x \in X$ and each closed subset F of Y containing $f(x)$, there exist $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\text{O}(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq F$,
- (iii) for every closed subset F of Y , $f^{-1}(F) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\text{O}(X)$,
- (iv) $f(\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-cl}(A)) \subseteq \text{ker}(f(A))$, for each $A \subseteq X$,
- (v) $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{ker}(B))$, for each $B \subseteq Y$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $x \in X$ and F be any closed set of Y containing $f(x)$. Then $x \in f^{-1}(F)$. Hence by hypothesis, we have $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F)$ is pre- γ -closed in X and hence $f^{-1}(F)$ is pre- γ -open set of X containing x . We put $U = f^{-1}(F)$, then $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq F$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let F be any closed set of Y and $x \in f^{-1}(F)$. Then $f(x) \in F$. Hence by hypothesis, there exists a pre- γ -open subset U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq F$, this implies that, $x \in U \subseteq f^{-1}(F)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(F) = \cup \{U : x \in f^{-1}(F)\}$ which is pre- γ -open in X . Then f is contra pre- γ -continuous.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Let A be any subset of X and $y \in \text{ker}(f(A))$. Then by Lemma 2.1., there exists a closed set F of Y containing y such that $f(A) \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $A \cap f^{-1}(F) = \emptyset$ and $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-cl}(A) \cap f^{-1}(F) = \emptyset$. Then $f(\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-cl}(A)) \cap F = \emptyset$ and $y \in f(\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-cl}(A))$. Therefore, $f(\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-cl}(A)) \subseteq \text{ker}(f(A))$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) Let B be any subset of Y . Then by hypothesis and Lemma 2.1., we have $f(\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq \text{ker}(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq \text{ker}(B)$. Thus $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{ker}(B))$.

(v) \Rightarrow (i) Let V be any open subset of Y . Then by hypothesis and Lemma 2.1., $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{ker}(V)) = f^{-1}(V)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(V)$ is $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-closed}$ in X . Hence f is $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$.

DEFINITION 3.2. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called

- (i) $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$ [14], if $f^{-1}(U) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$, for each $U \in \sigma$,
- (ii) $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-irresolute}$ [14], if $f^{-1}(U) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$, for each $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(Y)$,
- (iii) $\text{pre-}\ast\text{-}\gamma\text{-open}$ [19], if $f(U) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(Y)$ for each $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$,
- (iv) $\text{pre-}\ast\text{-}\gamma\text{-closed}$ [19], if $f(U) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(Y)$ for each $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(X)$.

THEOREM 3.2. If a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$ and Y is regular, then f is $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$.

PROOF. Let $x \in X$ and V be an open set of Y containing $f(x)$. Since Y is a regular space, then there exists an open set G of Y such that $f(x) \in G \subseteq \text{cl}(G) \subseteq V$. But, if f is $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$, then there exists $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq \text{cl}(G) \subseteq V$. Hence, f is $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$.

The next theorems give the conditions under which the composition of two $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$ mapping is also $\text{contra-pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$.

REMARK 3.1. The composition of two $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$ mappings need not be $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$ as shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.1 Let $X = Y = Z = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with topologies $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, $\tau_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{d\}\}$ and $\tau_Z = \{\emptyset, Z, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ be an identity map and $g : (Y, \tau_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_Z)$ be defined as $g(a) = a, g(b) = b, g(c) = a, g(d) = d$ and define an operation γ on τ_X by

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) & \text{if } A \neq \{a\} \\ \text{cl}(A) & \text{if } A = \{a\} \end{cases}$$

and define an operation γ on τ_Y is $\gamma(A) = A$. Clearly f and g are $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$. But $(g \circ f)$ is not a $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$, since $\{a\} \in \tau_Z$ is an open set of Z , $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{a, c\} \notin \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(X)$.

THEOREM 3.3. For two mappings $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ and $g : (Y, \tau_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_Z)$, the following properties are hold:

- (i) If f is $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$ and g is continuous mappings, then $g \circ f$ is $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$.
- (ii) If f is $\text{pre-}\gamma\text{-irresolute}$ and g is $\text{contra pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$ mappings, then $g \circ f$ is $\text{contra-pre-}\gamma\text{-continuous}$.

PROOF. (i) Let $U \in \tau_Z$ and g be a continuous mapping. Then $g^{-1}(U) \in \tau_Y$. But, f is contra pre- γ -continuous then $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(X)$. Hence $g \circ f$ is contra pre- γ -continuous.

(ii) Let $U \in \tau_Z$ and g be a contra pre- γ -continuous mapping. Then $g^{-1}(U) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(Y)$. But f is pre- γ -irresolute, then $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(X)$. Hence, $g \circ f$ is contra pre- γ -continuous.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective pre- γ -irresolute and pre * - γ -open mapping. Then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is contra pre- γ -continuous if and only if g is contra pre- γ -continuous.

PROOF. Necessity: Obvious from Theorem 3.3..

Sufficiency: Let $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a contra pre- γ -continuous mapping and F be closed set of Z . Then $(g \circ f)^{-1}(F) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$. Since f is surjective pre * - γ -open, then $g^{-1}(F) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(Y)$. Therefore g is contra pre- γ -continuous.

DEFINITION 3.3. A topological space (X, τ) is called:

- (i) pre- γ -connected [27] if X cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint non-empty pre- γ -open sets of X .
- (ii) pre- γ -normal, if every pair of disjoint closed sets F_1 and F_2 there exist disjoint pre- γ -open sets U and V such that $F_1 \subseteq U$ and $F_2 \subseteq V$.
- (iii) pre- γ - T_1 -space [13], if for every two distinct points x, y of X , there exists two pre- γ -open sets U, V such that $x \in U, y \notin U$ and $x \notin V, y \in V$.
- (iv) pre- γ - T_2 -space or pre- γ -Hausdorff space [13] if for every two distinct points x, y of X , there exist two disjoint pre- γ -open sets U, V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

THEOREM 3.5. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an injective closed and contra pre- γ -continuous mappings, and Y is ultra normal, then X is pre- γ -normal.

PROOF. Let F_1 and F_2 be two disjoint closed subsets of X . Since f is closed injection, then $f(F_1)$ and $f(F_2)$ are two disjoint closed subsets of Y and since Y is ultra normal space, then there exist two disjoint clopen sets U and V such that $f(F_1) \subseteq U$ and $f(F_2) \subseteq V$. Hence, $F_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ and $F_2 \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Since f is injective contra pre- γ -continuous, then $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are two disjoint pre- γ -open sets of X . Therefore, X is pre- γ -normal.

THEOREM 3.6. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a contra-pre- γ -continuous mapping and X is pre- γ -connected then Y is not a discrete space.



PROOF. Suppose that Y is a discrete space and U any subset of Y . Then U is open and closed set in Y . Since f is contra pre- γ -continuous, $f^{-1}(U)$ is pre- γ -closed and pre- γ -open in X which is a contradiction with the fact X is pre- γ -connected. Hence, Y is not discrete space.

THEOREM 3.7. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an injective contra pre- γ -continuous mapping and Y is an Urysohn space, then X is pre- γ - T_2 .

PROOF. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. By hypothesis, $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since Y is an Urysohn space, there exist two open sets U and V of Y such that $f(x) \in U$, $f(y) \in V$ and $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \emptyset$. Since f is contra pre- γ -continuous, then there exist two pre- γ -open sets P and Q such that $x \in P$, $y \in Q$ and $f(P) \subseteq cl(U)$, $f(Q) \subseteq cl(V)$. Then $f(P) \cap f(Q) = \emptyset$ and hence, $P \cap Q = \emptyset$. Therefore, X is pre- γ - T_2 .

COROLLARY 3.1. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an injective contra pre- γ -continuous mapping and Y is an ultra Hausdorff space, then X is pre- γ - T_2 .

DEFINITION 3.4. A mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called weakly- pre- γ -continuous, if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq cl(V)$.

THEOREM 3.8. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a contra pre- γ -continuous mapping, then f is weakly-pre- γ -continuous.

PROOF. Let $x \in X$ and $V \in \sigma$ containing $f(x)$. Then $cl(V)$ is closed set in Y . Since f is contra pre- γ -continuous, then $f^{-1}(cl(V)) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ and containing x . If we put $U = f^{-1}(cl(V))$, then $f(U) \subseteq cl(V)$. Hence, f is weakly-pre- γ -continuous.

REMARK 3.2. The converse of Theorem 3.8. is not true as shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with topologies $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $\tau_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ be defined as $f(a) = a, f(b) = c, f(c) = d, f(d) = c$ and define an operation γ on τ_X by $\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} \text{int}(cl(A)) & \text{if } A \neq \{a\} \\ cl(A) & \text{if } A = \{a\}. \end{cases}$

Then a mapping f is weakly pre- γ -continuous. But it is not contra pre- γ -continuous, since $f^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{a\} \notin \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(X)$.

IV. ALMOST CONTRA-PRE- γ -CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

DEFINITION 4.1. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called almost contra pre- γ -continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma O(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq \text{int}(cl(V))$, equivalently, $f^{-1}(V)$ is pre- γ -open in X for every regular open set V of Y .

THEOREM 4.1. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called almost pre- γ -continuous if and only if for each $x \in X$ and each regular open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma O(X)$ containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.

PROOF. Necessity. Let $V \subseteq Y$ be regular open set containing (x) . Then $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. But f is almost pre- γ -continuous, then $f^{-1}(V) = U$ is regular open set of X containing x such that $f(U) = ff^{-1}(V) \subseteq V$.

Sufficiency. Let $V \subseteq Y$ be regular open set. We need to prove that $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{pre-}\gamma O(X)$. Suppose that $x \in f^{-1}(V)$. Then $f(x) \in V$. By hypothesis, there exists $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma O(X)$ containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$. Hence $x \in U \subseteq f^{-1}(f(U)) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Then $f^{-1}(V) = \cup \{U : x \in U\}$ is a pre- γ -open set of X . Therefore, f is almost pre- γ -continuous.

DEFINITION 4.2. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called almost contra pre- γ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is pre- γ -closed in X , for every regular open set V of Y .

EXAMPLE 4.1 Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with topologies $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\tau_Y = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ be defined as $f(a) = d, f(b) = a, f(c) = c, f(d) = b$ and define an operation γ on τ_X by $\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} \text{int}(cl(A)) & \text{if } A \neq \{a\} \\ cl(A) & \text{if } A = \{a\}. \end{cases}$

Then a mapping f is almost contra pre- γ -continuous.

THEOREM 4.2. For a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) f is almost contra-pre- γ -continuous,
- (ii) $f^{-1}(F)$ is pre- γ -open in X , for every regular closed set F of Y , for each $x \in X$ and each regular closed set F of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma O(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq F$,
- (iii) for each $x \in X$ and each regular open set V of Y not containing $f(x)$, there exists a pre- γ -closed set K of X not containing x such that $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq K$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let F be any regular closed set of Y . Then $Y \setminus F$ is regular open. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(Y \setminus F) = X \setminus f^{-1}(F) \in \text{pre-}\gamma C(X)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(F) \in \text{pre-}\gamma O(X)$.



(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let F be any regular closed set of Y containing $f(x)$. Then by hypothesis, $f^{-1}(F) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ and $x \in f^{-1}(F)$. Put $U = f^{-1}(F)$, then $f(U) \subseteq F$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Let F be any regular closed set of Y and $x \in f^{-1}(F)$. By hypothesis, there exist $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq F$. Hence, $x \in U \subseteq f^{-1}(F)$. That implies $f^{-1}(F) = \cup \{U : x \in f^{-1}(F)\}$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(F) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let V be any regular open set of Y non-containing $f(x)$. Then $Y \setminus V$ is regular closed set of Y containing $f(x)$. By (iii), there exists $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq Y \setminus V$. Then $U \subseteq f^{-1}(Y \setminus V) \subseteq X \setminus f^{-1}(V)$ and so $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X \setminus U$. Since $U \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$, then $X \setminus U = K$ is pre- γ -closed set of X not containing x and $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq K$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) Obvious.

REMARK 4.1. The composition of two almost contra-pre- γ -continuous mappings need not be almost contra-pre- γ -continuous as shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE 4.2 Let $X = Y = Z = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with topologies $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$, $\tau_Y = \{\emptyset, Y\}$ and $\tau_Z = \{\emptyset, Z, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$, $g : (Y, \tau_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_Z)$ be an identity map and define an operation γ on τ_X by $\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} \text{int}(cl(A)) & \text{if } A \neq \{a\} \\ cl(A) & \text{if } A = \{a\}. \end{cases}$

and define an operation on τ_Y is $\gamma(A) = A$. Clearly f and g are almost contra pre- γ -continuous. But $(g \circ f)$ is not a contra pre- γ -continuous, since $\{a\} \in \tau_Z$ is a regular open set of Z ,

$$(g \circ f)^{-1}(\{a\}) = \{a\} \notin \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{C}(X).$$

THEOREM 4.3. For two mappings $f : (X, \tau_X) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_Y)$ and $g : (Y, \tau_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_Z)$, the following properties are hold:

- (i) If, f is a surjective pre*- γ -open and $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is almost contra-pre- γ -continuous, then g is almost contra-pre- γ -continuous.
- (ii) If, f is a surjective pre*- γ -closed and $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is almost contra-pre- γ -continuous, then g is almost contra-pre- γ -continuous.

PROOF. (i) Let $V \subseteq Z$ be regular closed set. Since, $g \circ f$ is almost contra-pre- γ -continuous, then $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(X)$. But, f is surjective pre*- γ -open, then $g^{-1}(V) \in \text{pre-}\gamma\mathcal{O}(Y)$. Therefore, g is almost contra-pre- γ -continuous.

(ii) Obvious.

THEOREM 4.4. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, is an injective almost contra- pre- γ -continuous mapping and Y is weakly Hausdorff, then X is pre- γ - T_1 .

PROOF. Let x, y be two distinct points of X . Since f is injective, then $f(x) \neq f(y)$ and since Y is weakly Hausdorff, there exist two regular closed sets U and V such that $f(x) \in U, f(y) \notin U$ and $f(x) \notin V, f(y) \in V$. Since f is an almost contra-pre- γ -continuous, we have $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are pre- γ -open sets in X such that $x \in f^{-1}(U), y \notin f^{-1}(U)$ and $x \notin f^{-1}(V), y \in f^{-1}(V)$ and $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Hence X is pre- γ - T_1 .

DEFINITION 4.3. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be:

- (i) pre- γ -compact if every pre- γ -open cover of X has finite subcover,
- (ii) countably pre- γ -compact if every countable cover of X by pre- γ -open sets has a finite subcover,
- (iii) pre- γ -Lindelöff if every pre- γ -open cover of X has a countable subcover.

THEOREM 4.5. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a surjective almost contra- pre- γ -continuous mapping, then the following statements are hold:

- (i) If X is pre- γ -compact, then Y is S -closed,
- (ii) If X is countably pre- γ -compact, then Y is countably S -closed,
- (iii) If X is pre- γ -Lindelöff, then Y is S -Lindelöff.

PROOF. (i) Let $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ be any regular closed cover of Y and f be almost contra-pre- γ -continuous. Then $\{f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I\}$ is pre- γ -open cover of X . But X is pre- γ -compact, there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $X = \cup \{f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I_0\}$, hence $Y = \cup \{f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I_0\}$ and then $Y = \cup \{V_i : i \in I_0\}$. Hence Y is S -closed.

- (ii) Similar to (i).
- (iii) Similar to (i).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.Dontchev, Contra-continuous functions and strongly S -closed spaces, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 19,1996, 303-310.
- [2] S.Jafari and T. Noiri, Contra-continuous functions between topological spaces, Iranian.Int. J. Sci., 2, 2001, 153-167.
- [3] S.Jafari and T. Noiri, On contra-precontinuous functions, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc., 25, 2002, 115-128.
- [4] J.Dontchev and T. Noiri, Contra-semicontinuous functions, Math. Pannonica, 10, 1999, 159-168.
- [5] E. Ekici, On e^* -open sets and $(D, S)^*$ -sets, Mathematica Moravica, 13(1), 2006, 29-36.



- [6] M. Caldas and S. Jafari, Some properties of contra- β -continuous functions, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ., 22, 2001, 19-28.
- [7] E.Ekici, On a weaker form of RC-continuity, Analele Univ.Vest din Timisoara Seria Matematica Informatica, XLII, fasc. 1,2004, 79-91.
- [8] E. Ekici, On a-open sets, A*-sets and decompositions of continuity and super-continuity, Annales Univ. Sci., Budapest, 51, 2008, 39-51.
- [9] A. A. Nasef, Some properties of contra-continuous functions, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 24, 2005, 471- 477.
- [10] A. Al-Omari and M. S. Md Noorani, Some properties of contra-b-continuous and almost contra-b- continuous functions, European J. Pure. Appl. Math., 2(2) 2009, 213-220.
- [11] A. I. El-Magbrabi, Some properties of contracontinuous mappings, Int. J. General Topol., 3(12), 2010, 55- 64.
- [12] H. Ogata, Operation on topological spaces and associated topology, Math. Jap., 36(1), 1991 , 175-184
- [13] Hariwan Z. Ibrahim, Weak forms of γ -open sets and new separation axioms, Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res., 3(4), 2012, pp. 1-4.
- [14] Hariwan Z. Ibrahim, Pre- γ - T_1 and pre- γ -continuous, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, Vol. 4, 2,2013, pp. 1-9.
- [15] M. H. Stone, Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Tams. 41 ,1937, 375-381.
- [16] G. Sai Sundara Krishnan, A new class of semi open sets in a topological space, Proc. NCMCM, Allied Publishers, 2003, pp.305-311.
- [17] E. Ekici, On contra R-continuity and a weak forms, Indian J. Math.,46(23), 2004, 267-281.
- [18] M. Mrsevic, On pairwise R and pairwise R_1 bitopological spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci.Math. R. S. Roumanie, 30, 1986, 141-148.
- [19] A.Vadivel and C. Sivashanmugaraja, Properties of pre- γ -open sets and mappings, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 8, 1, 2014, pp. 121-134.
- [20] S. Jafari and T. Noiri, Contra-super-continuous functions, Ann. Ales Univ. Sci. Budapest., 42,1999, 27-34.
- [21] M. K. Singal and A. Mathur, On nearly compact spaces, Boll. Univ. Mat. Ital., 2 (1969), 702-710.
- [22] R. Staum, The algebra of bounded continuous functions into a non-archimedean field, Pacific J. Math. 50, 1974, 169-185.
- [23] T. Soundararajan, Weakly Hausdorff spaces and the cardinality of topological spaces in general topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra III, Proc. Conf. Kanpur 1968, Acad. Prague 2, 1971, 301- 306.
- [24] J. E. Joseph and M. H. Kwack, On S -closed spaces, Proceeding Amer. Math. Soc., 80(2),1980, 341-348.
- [25] E. Ekici, Almost contra-semicontinuous functions, Bull.malaysian Math.Sci.Soc.,27(1), 2004, 5-65.
- [26] K. Dlaska, N. Ergun, and M. Ganster, Countably S -closed spaces, Mathematica Slovaca, 44(3), 1994, 337-348.
- [27] A.Vadivel and C. Sivashanmugaraja, Pre- γ -connectedness in topological spaces, Journal of Advanced Research in Scientific Computing, Accepted, Vol 7, 2, 2015, pp.30-38.