

# INTERCARRIER INTERFERENCE IMMUNE SINGLE CARRIER OFDM VIA MAGNITUDE-KEYED MODULATION FOR HIGH SPEED AERIAL VEHICLE COMMUNICATION

<sup>1</sup>Mr.Amit Khatkar, <sup>2</sup>Mr. Davender Kumar

<sup>1</sup>Student, <sup>2</sup>Asst.Prof, Department of Electronics and Communications Engineering  
MITM, Jevra (India)

## ABSTRACT

Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) has been considered as a strong candidate for next generation wireless communication systems. Compared to traditional OFDM, Single Carrier OFDM (SC-OFDM) has demonstrated excellent bit error rate (BER) performance, as well as low peak to average power ratio (PAPR). Similar to other multi-carrier transmission technologies, SC-OFDM suffers significant performance degradation resulting from intercarrier interference (ICI) in high mobility environments. Existing techniques for OFDM can be directly adopted in SC-OFDM to improve performance, however, this improved performance comes at costs such as decreased throughput. In this paper, we analyze the effect of ICI on an SC-OFDM system and propose a novel modulation scheme. The proposed Magnitude- Keyed Modulation (MKM) modulation provides SC-OFDM system immunity to ICI and with an easy implementation it significantly outperforms OFDM, SC-OFDM and MC-CDMA systems with Phase Shift Keying (PSK) modulation and Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM) in severe ICI environment. Analysis also illustrates the proposed SC-OFDM system with MKM modulation maintains low PAPR compared to traditional OFDM and SC-OFDM systems with PSK and QAM modulations. Simulation results for different modulation schemes in various ICI environments confirm the effectiveness of the proposed system.

**Keywords:** Intercarrier Interference, Single Carrier OFDM, Magnitude Keyed Modulation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing and other multi-carrier transmission technologies such as Multi-Carrier Code Division Multiple Access (MC-CDMA) have been considered strong candidates for next generation high-data-rate wireless communication systems because of their good BER performance and high spectrum efficiency [1]. It is highly desired to adopt multi-carrier transmission in aerial vehicle communication to improve the spectrum efficiency. In multi-carrier transmission technology such as OFDM, it is crucial to maintain orthogonality among all the subcarriers. Otherwise, intercarrier interference (ICI) will occur and lead to significant performance degradation. In a high mobility environment such as aerial vehicle communication, multi- carrier transmission technologies experience severe ICI due to Doppler shift introduced by high mobility of transmitter or receiver, or both. Many studies have been conducted in evaluating the BER

performance of OFDM system and MC- CDMA system with ICI [2], [3] and improving the performance by reducing ICI for OFDM [4]–[10] or by estimating the carrier frequency offset (CFO) [11]–[13]. Such techniques are effective in low mobility environments where the speed variation is low. For example, training symbols can be transmitted in the packet header for multiple OFDM symbols to aid the receiver in obtaining the CFO estimate. If the relative transmitter–receiver speed is not changing during packet transmission, the overhead of sending such training symbols is negligible. However, in aerial vehicle communication, the relative transmitter–receiver speed changes so rapidly that it is unreasonable to assume a constant speed (and CFO) during the entire packet transmission. Hence, to accurately estimate the CFO, training symbols need to be transmitted for every OFDM symbol. Obviously, this significantly reduces OFDM throughput while adding complexity due to repeated CFO estimation.

On the other hand, the Single Carrier Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (SC-OFDM) [14] technique has received a lot of attention as an alternative transmission technique to the conventional OFDM due to its better performance in multipath fading channels and lower peak to average power ratio (PAPR). SC-OFDM and similar technologies have been independently developed by multiple research groups almost simultaneously. For example, Single Carrier Frequency Domain Equalization (SCFDE) [15]–[18] and Carrier Interferometry Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (CI/OFDM) [19]–[21] are essentially the same technology. They combine benefits of multi-carrier transmission with single carrier transmission using a cyclic prefix to allow frequency domain processing at receiver to exploit frequency diversity. In this paper, we analyze SC-OFDM system with ICI and show a unique diagonal property of SC-OFDM with ICI. Due to this property, the ICI effect on SC-OFDM is concentrated entirely on the phase offset and not on the magnitude. We then propose a novel modulation scheme called Magnitude-Keyed Modulation (MKM) for SC-OFDM. As the name suggests, this new modulation scheme carries digital data only on the signal magnitude. Hence, MKM provides SC-OFDM with immunity to ICI, i.e., the BER performance of an SC-OFDM system with MKM does not depend on the ICI. Given the MKM is a non-coherent modulation scheme, the proposed SC-OFDM with MKM modulation performs slightly worse than SC-OFDM (or OFDM or MC-CDMA) with PSK (or QAM) modulation when there is no ICI. However, the performance of SC-OFDM (or OFDM or MC-CDMA) with PSK (or QAM) modulation has obvious degradation in severe ICI environment or with  $k=0$  high modulation schemes, and the new system significantly outperforms them. Compared with existing ICI cancellation schemes or CFO estimation schemes, the proposed modulation technique does not need to sacrifice the data rate via employing training sequence or self-cancellation coding, meanwhile it is totally immune to the ICI. Additionally, the proposed system has low complexity and is easy to be implemented. Meanwhile, the lower PAPR property of SC-OFDM system is The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section II, we present the OFDM and SC-OFDM system models. Literature reviews of some existing ICI cancellation and CFO estimation schemes are provided in Section III. Section IV presents the analysis of ICI and demonstrates an important where the first term is the desired signal component diagonal property of ICI matrix in SC-OFDM. We then propose MKM modulation for SC-OFDM which is immune to ICI and also analyze the theoretical BER performance and PAPR performance in Section V. Section VI shows the simulation results which confirm our analysis, and conclusion is given in Section VII.


## II SYSTEM MODEL

### 2.1 OFDM System


In the OFDM transmitter, after a constellation mapping for the appropriate modulation, (QAM, PSK, etc.), data symbols are converted from serial to parallel. Assuming there are  $N$  subcarriers in the OFDM system, each OFDM block contains a set of  $N$  symbols ( $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}$ ), assigned to  $N$  subcarriers using an  $N$ -point IFFT. Accounting for all  $N$  symbols, the composite complex OFDM signal is given by where  $x_k$  is the  $k$ th data symbol;  $\Delta f$  is the spacing between subcarriers; and  $p(t)$  is a rectangular pulse shape with time limit spanning one OFDM symbol,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . To ensure or- thogonality among subcarriers, we have  $\Delta f = 1/T = 1/N T_b$  where  $T_b$  is the data symbol period. Following transmission, channel propagation, and cyclic prefix removal, the signal at the receiver corresponds to

$$r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_k x_k e^{j2\pi(k\Delta f + f_0)(t+\Delta t)} e^{j2\pi f_c(t+\Delta t)} p(t+\Delta t) + n(t) \quad (1)$$

where  $n(t)$  is additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN),  $\alpha_k$  is the complex fading gain on the  $k$ th subcarrier,  $\Delta t$  represents the time delay and  $f_0$  is the CFO. Here we denote the normalized carrier frequency offset (NCFO) as  $\epsilon = f_0 / \Delta f$  and rewrite the received OFDM signal as  $r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha_k x_k e^{j2\pi(k+\epsilon)\Delta f(t+\Delta t)} e^{j2\pi f_c(t+\Delta t)} p(t+\Delta t) + n(t)$ . has obvious degradation in severe ICI environment or with  $k=0$ . The OFDM demodulator detects each symbol by decomposing  $r(t)$  in (3) onto  $N$  orthogonal subcarriers (via application of an FFT), where perfect timing estimation is assumed. If the NCFO is zero, the received signal on the  $k$ th subcarrier simply equals to  $y_k = x_k \alpha_k + n_k$ . However, when the NCFO is non- zero, the received signal on the  $k$ th subcarrier corresponds to



(a) SC-OFDM Spread Symbol Combining




(b) SC-OFDM Symbol Spectral Spreading

Fig. 1. SC-OFDM transmitter

## 2.2 Single Carrier OFDM System

Single Carrier OFDM (SC-OFDM) [14] and other similar technologies [15]- [21] combine benefits of multi-carrier transmission with single carrier transmission using a cyclic prefix and frequency domain processing. Conceptual representations of the SC-OFDM transmitter and receiver are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 [20], respectively. Compared to a conventional OFDM system, the SC-OFDM system distributes each parallel data set to all sub-carriers using different phase-rotated spectral spreading on each symbol [14], as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). The spreading code set corresponds to the normalized DFT matrix with the  $k$ th data symbol being spread to the  $i$ th subcarrier employing spreading. The SC-OFDM system can be easily implemented using an MC-CDMA framework by making appropriate  $chN$  subcarriers can be implemented as an MC-CDMA system with  $N$  users (each symbol can be viewed as an user in MC-CDMA system) using spreading code  $\beta_k$ . Hence, the SC-OFDM system can be implemented as a fully loaded MC-CDMA system with new spreading code  $\beta_k$ , for example, transmitting  $N$  symbols using OFDM system uses the same bandwidth as the conventional OFDM or MC-CDMA system. Similar to an OFDM system




**Fig.2. SC-OFDM Receiver**

SC-OFDM can also be implemented using FFT and IFFT transforms. The received SC-OFDM signal  $r(t)$  for the transmitted signal in (9) is given by

$$r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sqrt{\alpha_i} x_k e^{-j N i k} e^{j 2 \pi (i+\varepsilon) \Delta f (t+\Delta t)} e^{j 2 \pi f_c (t+\Delta t)} \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$p(t + \Delta t) + n(t) \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

where variable definitions remain unchanged from (2). At the SC-OFDM receiver shown in Fig. 2, the SC-OFDM demodulator detects the  $k$ th data symbol by: 1) decomposing the received signal  $r(t)$  into  $N$  orthogonal subcarriers (via application of an FFT, and perfect timing estimation is assumed), 2) applying the  $k$ th symbol's spreading code, 3) combining the  $N$  results  $r_k, r_k, \dots, r_k$  with an appropriate combining scheme [22], denoted by the "Combiner" block in Fig. 2, 4) decision of each symbol will be made based on the result from the "Combiner", denoted by the block "Decision Device".



**Fig3 Distortin In the Output Wavefom,**

Using the vector and matrix notation introduced in (7), the received SC-OFDM signal vector is given by

$$r = xFHS + n \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

where matrix F is the normalized DFT matrix acts to spread the SC-OFDM signal and is defined as Taking advantage of ICI coefficient properties in Fig. 3, ICI self-cancellation technologies have been proposed and developed to cancel the fractional CFO component. A simple and effective ICI self-cancellation scheme has been proposed by Zhao and Haggman [4] who used polynomial coding in the frequency domain to mitigate the effect of fractional CFO.

$$F(n, k) = \sqrt{N} \exp -j 2\pi kn N \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

$$k, n \in [0, N - 1] \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

After applying EGC technique in the receiver, the combined signal vector becomes Fig. 3 also illustrates the ICI coefficient behavior for integer  $\epsilon$  variation. From the definition, integer  $\epsilon$  variation corresponds to a frequency offset whereby different subcarriers are identically mistaken. Hence, when  $\epsilon = 1$   $\{l \in Z\}$ : 1)  $S(0)$  is not the largest component and the dominant response becomes the  $S(1)$  component, 2) the largest weight in  $y_k$  of (4) will be  $x_{k+1}$ , and 3) the decision for  $x^k$  based on  $y_k$  will be unreliable with very high probability.

$$y = rFH = xFHSFH + nFH \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

where FH is the conjugate transpose of matrix F. By comparing with the corresponding OFDM signal vector in (7), the SC-OFDM in (16) includes additional Fourier transform operations due to spreading codes being applied. These linear operations help simplify ICI analysis which is why we concentrate on ICI effects in SC-OFDM versus OFDM or MC-CDMA systems.

**2.3 Inter-carrier Interference**

From the earlier definition of NCFO ( $\epsilon = f_0 / \Delta f$ ), the NCFO can contain both integer and fractional components with each having different effects on the system. The ICI coefficient in (6) is periodic with period N, i.e.,  $S_N + \epsilon = S_\epsilon$ , and has two responses associated with the integer and fractional values of  $\epsilon$ . The magnitude of S,  $|S(l, k)|$  in dB, is illustrated in Fig. 3 for various values of  $\epsilon$  using N = 64 subcarriers. In Fig. 3 for fractional  $\epsilon$  variation, the dominant energy response of S converges to  $S(0)$  when  $\epsilon = 0$ . However, as  $\epsilon$  varies

fractionally from 0.1 to 0.4, the energy in  $S$  spreads across all subcarriers. For larger  $\epsilon$  values there is a higher percentage of energy “leakage” across the subcarriers. However, it is important to note that dominant energy response of  $S$  remains in the  $S(0)$  component. Hence, when  $\epsilon$  is a fractional value: 1)  $S(0)$  remains the largest component, 2) the largest weight in  $y_k$  of (4) will be  $x_k$ , and 3) the decision for many different values.

### III. EXISTING ICI REDUCTION TECHNIQUES

With the last discussion as per the ICI dependent carrier signal wave the CFO-dependent ICI can significantly degrade system performance due to coefficient energy leakage and dominant response shift as illustrated in Fig. 3, it is of great interest to steady system performance as a mean revolution form for the ICI present. Many studies have been conducted to evaluate OFDM and MC-CDMA system BER with ICI present [2] [3] and several technologies have been developed to reduce ICI effects. Taking advantage of ICI coefficient properties in Fig. 3, ICI self-cancellation technologies have been proposed and developed to cancel the fractional CFO component. A simple and effective ICI self-cancellation scheme has been proposed by Zhao and Haggman [4] who used polynomial coding in the frequency domain to mitigate the effect of fractional CFO. When compared to a coded system operating at a similar rate, their self-cancellation scheme provided better performance. In [7], an ICI self-cancellation scheme is adopted to combat the ICI caused by phase noise in OFDM systems. For more general cases, Seyedi and Saulnier proposed a general ICI self-cancellation scheme that can be implemented using windowing [5]. In [6], Ryu studied ICI self-cancellation using a data-conjugate method to effectively reduce ICI. However, these ICI self-cancellation schemes mitigate ICI at the cost of reduced data rate. This limitation was addressed in additional MC-CDMA work that considered a self-cancellation scheme that maintained the data rate [9].

In addition to self-cancellation techniques, other ICI cancellation schemes have been proposed. For example, work in [10] proposed an ICI cancellation scheme that does not lower transmission rate or reduce bandwidth efficiency. At the same time, the technique offers perfect ICI cancellation and significant BER improvement at linearly growing cost. Regardless of the ICI cancellation scheme, there is always an associated cost for improvement and trade-offs must be made, e.g., data rate and bandwidth efficiency may be maintained at the expense of greater implementation complexity, or, data rate and bandwidth efficiency may be sacrificed and less complex implementations employed. The importance of these trade-offs become even more important when considering cases where the CFO includes both integer and fractional components. In these cases, the ICI coefficient experiences both leakage and shift and the aforementioned cancellation schemes will require even greater complexity to achieve similar performance with no guarantee of effectiveness.

Regardless of the components present in  $\epsilon$ , it is readily apparent that if  $\epsilon$  is known at the receiver the ICI can be totally canceled. Hence, researchers have spent considerable effort to improve ICI cancellation performance by estimating both the integer and fractional CFO components. Generally speaking, these existing CFO estimation schemes can be classified as either data aided or blind estimators. While data aided estimators [11]–[13] provide better estimation performance, they also reduce the effective data rate given that pilot data is transmitted. Hence, the blind estimators have received a lot of attention due to system power and high bandwidth efficiencies. The blind estimator in [23] utilizes an estimation algorithm based on maximum likelihood criteria and exploits the cyclic prefix preceding the OFDM symbols to estimate the CFO. As implied by its name, the Minimum Output Variance (MOV) estimator utilizes minimum output variance criteria to estimate CFO [24]. Work in [25]

presents a non-data aided CFO estimator that utilizes criteria based on minimum received symbol power. Subsequent work in [26] and [27] estimate CFO by exploiting features in a smoothed power spectrum. The subspace method in [28] is based on channel correlation and the kurtosis CFO estimator in [29] is based on measuring non-Gaussian properties of the received signal. However, each of these existing blind CFO estimators have inherent drawbacks and efficient performance requires: 1) a constant modulus (CM) constellation, 2) a large number of OFDM blocks, and/or 3) knowledge of the channel order. In general, the performance of current blind estimators is not sufficient for high speed aerial vehicle communications. To address these drawbacks, we recently proposed a high accuracy blind CFO estimator for OFDM systems [30]. However, the data rate reduction and implementation complexity were both higher than what we expected, and the system performance will likely degrade given residual CFO is present in all estimation methods. To address these and other drawbacks of existing techniques, we analyze ICI coefficients in next section.

#### IV ICI COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS


To provide an initial understanding how the ICI coefficient impacts system performance, we first focus our attention on an AWGN channel. In this case, the channel gain fading matrix  $H$  becomes an identity matrix  $I$ . For the analysis we must determine the ICI power. This can be done using the Carrier-to-Interference Power Ratio (CIR), defined as [4], [31]:-

$$\text{CIR} = \frac{\text{Desired Signal Power}}{\text{ICI Power}} \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

However, when there is no ICI present, e.g.,  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the CIR approaches infinity which cannot be shown in a figure. As an alternative approach, the ICI power can be estimated using the Interference-to-Carrier Power Ratio (ICR), defined as:

$$\text{CIR}^{-1} = \frac{\text{ICI Power}}{\text{Desired Signal Power}} \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

The expression in (9) implies that the ICR becomes smaller as the desired signal power to ICI power ratio increases. It is evident that ICR is system dependent and thus critical for us to consider several possible cases.



**Fig 4 ICR Comparison of OFDM with SC-OFDM in a multi-eye channel**

In our ICR simulations, we average the ICR across all subcarriers, which represents a more reliable approach relative to what was used in [4]. Specifically, ICR on  $k$ th subcarrier can be represented as  $ICR(k) = \frac{ICI \text{ power from non-}k\text{th subcarrier}}{\text{Signal power on } k\text{th subcarrier}}$ . Results in Fig. 4 show ICR versus  $\epsilon$  for OFDM and SC-OFDM systems using  $N = 16$  subcarriers over an AWGN channel with ICI present. It is evident that ICR of SC-OFDM is zero for all  $\epsilon$  values, meaning the desired signal component used for data estimation is unaffected by ICI. Given the CIR of SC-OFDM is much lower than that of the OFDM system, the benefit of using SC-OFDM under conditions with ICI present are clearly evident by comparing to traditional OFDM under similar conditions. The following analysis of OFDM and SC-OFDM systems with ICI present is provided to show how ICI affects overall performance and helps explain why the SC-OFDM system experiences zero ICR.

#### 4.1 Analysis of OFDM Performance with ICI Present

The received OFDM signal for an AWGN channel can be simply expressed using (7) with  $H = I$  as

$$y = xS + n \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

Considering the limiting case when no ICI exists and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the ICI coefficient matrix in (19) becomes  $S = I$  and the received signal vector simply reduces to the transmitted signal vector plus noise  $y = x + n$ , thereby simplifying the detection decision. However, in cases with  $\epsilon = 0$  system performance degrades significantly. This occurs because CFO causes  $S$  in (19) to be non-diagonal which causes the target symbol's amplitude and phase to be weighted by  $S(0)$ , while at the same time mixing in non-target symbol contributions weighted according to  $S(1-N), \dots, S(-1)$  and  $S(1), \dots, S(N-1)$  in (8). Thus, to reliably determine  $x$ , three unknowns are required:-

- 1) target symbol amplitude change,
- 2) target symbol phase change, and
- 3) mixing weights of non-target symbols.

To determine these unknowns, we can decompose  $S$  into separate related components and solve for them separately. Using the received signal expression in (19), with a known ICI coefficient matrix  $S$  and  $S^{-1}$  existing,  $x$  can be reconstructed using  $y$ . It is evident in (8) that the ICI coefficient matrix  $S$  is a circulant matrix which reduces the uncertainty of the  $N \times N$  matrix from  $N^2$  to  $2N - 1$ . However, we know there is actually only one uncertainty  $\epsilon$ . Hence, it would be helpful to find a transform to simplify the circulant matrix and reduce the uncertainty. To simplify matrix  $S$ , it is crucial to analyze ICI coefficient  $S(k, l)$  in (6) and the three parameters therein:  $k, l$  and  $\epsilon$ . It is difficult to determine the relationship of these three parameters directly without first decomposing (6): The decomposition in (25) shows that the ICI coefficient  $S(k, l, \epsilon)$  can be expressed as a product of the  $k$ th row of normalized IDFT matrix  $IDFT(k, :)$ , the  $N \times N$  diagonal matrix  $D(\epsilon)$ , and the  $l$ th column of normalized DFT matrix  $DFT(:, l)$ . Therefore, the ICI coefficient matrix  $S$  can be written in the well-known eigen decomposition form as

$$S = FH \Psi F \dots \dots \dots (11)$$

#### 4.2 Analysis of SC-OFDM Performance with ICI Present

Due to the perfect ICR performance of the SC-OFDM system, we next consider its performance with ICI present. Using the ICI coefficient matrix in (26) with  $H = I$  for the AWGN channel, we revisit the expression in (11) and rewrite the received SC-OFDM signal vector as

$$Y = xF + ds + \text{signal gain} + df \quad (33) \quad (12)$$

#### V MKM FOR SC-OFDM SYSTEMS

After observing the ICI coefficient property, we find that FSFH is a diagonal matrix with each diagonal element having unit magnitude. Hence, the ICI has no effect on the magnitude of each and every SC-OFDM data symbol. Therefore, when there is no noise present (29) shows that  $|r_k| = |x_k|$  independent of  $\epsilon$ . To fully exploit the inherent ICI immunity in SC-OFDM, we introduce a novel digital modulation scheme called Magnitude Keyed Modulation (MKM). Specifically, we will only use the magnitude to carry digital symbols. For example, binary MKM (2MKM) is equivalent to binary On-Off Keying (OOK). Note that MKM is different than Amplitude Shift Keying (ASK). A. BER Performance Analysis For 2MKM, the BER performance is exactly the same as OOK with non-coherent detection given by

$$BER = P(\hat{x}_k = 1 | x_k = 0)P(x_k = 0) + P(\hat{x}_k = 0 | x_k = 1)P(x_k = 1) \quad (13)$$

using antipodal signal pairs given that is a non-coherent modulation scheme and doesn't require phase reference. For an OFDM system with BPSK modulation, when the signal in time domain converges to one peak (e.g., in frequency domain  $x_k = (-1)^k$ ), the worst PAPR is obtained and equals  $N$ . However, for single carrier systems such as SC-OFDM with MPSK (BPSK, QPSK, etc.) modulation, the maximum amplitude squared equals to the mean power in the time domain and therefore  $PAPR = 1$ . Unlike SC-OFDM with MPSK modulation, the SC-OFDM system with MKM cannot retain the  $PAPR = 1$  feature since the magnitude (amplitude) varies for different symbols in time domain. However, as shown next the SC-OFDM system with MKM has a much lower PAPR than an OFDM system with either PSK or MKM. To compare the PAPR for different systems, we analyze the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)




Fig. 5. CDF of Papr for Different Modulation Orders.

## VI SIMULATION RESULTS

This will provide and simulated CDF plots of PAPR in Fig. 5, where PAPR of OFDM with QPSK is overlapped with PAPR of OFDM with 8PSK. These results are based on Monte Carlo simulation with 105 trials using  $N = 256$  total subcarriers and configurations that included OFDM and SC-OFDM systems with various combinations of BPSK, 2MKM, QPSK, 4MKM, 8PSK, and 8MKM modulations as indicated. The minimum and maximum values in the plots, along with average PAPR, are presented in Table I. The metrics “Minimum”, “Maximum” and “Average” in Table I indicate the smallest, largest and average observed PAPR in the simulation, respectively. In Fig. 5, the “Minimum” PAPR denotes the largest value for CDF is zero, the “Maximum” PAPR denotes the smallest value for the CDF is one. The results in Table I clearly show that the SC-OFDM system consistently has the lowest PAPR, and that all combinations of SC-OFDM with MPSK modulation maintain a PAPR= 0 dB for all M. For combinations with higher modulation order (M = 4 and M = 8), i.e., SC-OFDM with zero and the OFDM systems always produce a higher PAPR resultant MKM BER can be approximated using the following for any given modulation type and order. When comparing The BER performance of the proposed SC-OFDM system with MKM modulation is first examined. Specifically, we compare performance of 1) SC-OFDM with binary MKM verify results for a given modulation order, SC-OFDM with MKM always results in a lower PAPR relative to the corresponding OFDM system using either PSK or MKM.

### 6.1 Multipath Fading Channel

Now considering the case where multipath fading is present and matrix  $H = I$ , the ICI coefficient matrix in (26) is again substituted into (16) to form the received SC-OFDM signal vector as follows:-


$$r = x FHSFH + n FH = x FH FH \Psi FH + n FHfy \dots\dots\dots(14)$$

$$= x FHFH \Psi + n \dots\dots\dots(15)$$


Similar to the procedure used for the AWGN channel, we can again use  $|r|$  to make decisions without the impact of the  $\epsilon$ . Specifically, the decision of  $N$  data symbols  $\hat{x}$  can be sus OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA with BPSK modulation,

### 6.2 SC-OFDM CHANNEL

The SC-OFDM with 4MKM versus OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC- CDMA with QPSK, and 3) SC-OFDM with 8MKM ver- sus OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA with 8PSK/8QAM, under



**Fig. 6. AWGN channel: BER vs. SNR for OFDM & SC-OFDM with binary modulations,  $N = 64$  subcarriers, and  $\epsilon = 0.3$**



**Fig 7. SC-OFDM channel used for SNR OFDM with no.. of bits generated**

These results illustrate that the BER performance of SC-OFDM with 2MKM, 4MKM, and 8MKM remains constant as  $\epsilon$  increases, while the BER performances of traditional OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA systems with BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK and 8QAM modulations degrade significantly and catastrophically (approaches 0.5 in the worst cases). By comparing Fig. 6 to Fig. 8 results, it is readily apparent that when  $\epsilon$  increases or higher order modulation is used, the SC-OFDM system with the newly proposed MKM modulation significantly outperforms all OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA systems using conventional PSK/QAM modulations. More specifically, SC-OFDM with MKM maintains nearly identical BER performance independent of  $\epsilon$  variation while OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA with PSK/QAM are very sensitive to changes, especially when using higher order modulations. It is important to note that in these simulations we assumed that  $\epsilon$  was a small fractional number, consistent with a residual CFO contribution that may remain after some types of

cancellation or estimation processing have been applied in a PSK/QAM system. It is obvious from our results that OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA systems using PSK/QAM are virtually useless when this residual  $\epsilon$  exists. However, we have demonstrated that the SC-OFDM system with MKM modulation maintains nearly constant performance regardless of the fractional  $\epsilon$  value and without requiring any additional processing. As a final note of validation, Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 provide theoretical BER performance for comparison with simulated results for proposed system (SC-OFDM with MKM). As evident in both figures, theoretical and simulated performances are equivalent which validates the analytic BER results for SC-OFDM with MKM, specifically, SC-OFDM with binary MKM in (31) and SC-OFDM with L-ary MKM in (35). In a practical mobile multipath radio channel, time-variant multipath propagation leads to random Doppler frequency shift. For our final results we characterize performance of the proposed ICI cancellation method in a multipath fading channel. As a measure of Doppler frequency, we use the normalized maximum Doppler spread  $\epsilon_{\max}$ , defined here as the ratio of channel maximum Doppler spread to subcarrier bandwidth. We assume a 4-fold multipath fading channel such that:

$$BW = N \cdot \Delta f = 4 \cdot \Delta f_c \quad (16)$$

where  $BW$  is the total system bandwidth and  $\Delta f_c$  is the channel coherence bandwidth. Simulated BER performances for a multipath fading channel are provided in Fig. 9 for OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA systems with binary modulation,  $N = 16$  subcarriers, and  $\epsilon_{\max} = 0.4$ . The observations here are consistent with previous AWGN results: 1) SC-OFDM with the newly proposed 2MKM modulation is the most robust combination and virtually unaffected by  $\epsilon_{\max}$ , and 2) when SNR is high ( $\geq 18$ dB), performance for the OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA systems with conventional BPSK modulation.

## VII CONCLUSION

In this paper, we analyze the effect of ICI on an SC-OFDM receiver and propose a novel modulation scheme called Magnitude-Keyed Modulation (MKM) for use with an SC-OFDM system. Taking advantage of unique ICI coefficient matrix properties, we showed that the ICI effect on a received SC-OFDM signal is simply a phase offset on each and every data symbol, while the magnitude of the data symbol is unaffected. Hence, by transmitting digital information only on the SC-OFDM signal magnitude, the authors develop a novel modulation scheme called MKM and apply it to an SC-OFDM system. The resultant SC-OFDM system with MKM modulation experiences a boost in ICI immunity and significantly outperforms traditional OFDM, SC-OFDM and MC-CDMA systems using Phase Shift Keying (PSK) modulation and Quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) in severe ICI environments. Simulation results are presented for SC-OFDM with binary, 4-ary, and 8-ary MKM modulations and the performance of each configuration compared with traditional OFDM/SC-OFDM/MC-CDMA using PSK/QAM modulation. Results for both AWGN and multipath fading channels clearly demonstrate that SC-OFDM with MKM is superior—much less BER degradation is observed as normalized carrier frequency offset and normalized Doppler spread increase.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Hara and R. Prasad, *Multicarrier Techniques for 4G Mobile Communications*, 1st edition. Artech House, 2003.
- [2] W. M. Jang, L. Nguyen, and P. Bidarkar, "MAI and ICI of synchronous downlink MC-CDMA with frequency offset," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 5, pp. 693–703, Mar. 2006.
- [3] T. R. Wang, J. G. Proakis, E. Masry, and J. R. Zeidler, "Performance degradation of OFDM systems due to Doppler spreading," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 5, pp. 1422–1432, June 2006.
- [4] Y. Zhao and S.-G. Haggman, "Intercarrier interference self-cancellation scheme for OFDM mobile communication systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 49, pp. 1185–1191, July 2001.
- [5] A. Seyedi and G. Saulnier, "General ICI self-cancellation scheme for OFDM systems," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 54, pp. 198–210, Jan. 2005.
- [6] H.-G. Ryu, Y. Li, and J.-S. Park, "An improved ICI reduction method in OFDM communication system," *IEEE Trans. Broadcasting*, vol. 51, pp. 395–400, Sept. 2005.
- [7] Z. Jianhua, H. Rohling, and Z. Ping, "Analysis of ICI cancellation scheme in OFDM systems with phase noise," *IEEE Trans. Broadcasting*, vol. 50, pp. 97–106, June 2004.
- [8] S.-M. Tseng, Y.-C. Kuo, and Y.-T. Hsu, "Turbo ICI cancellation and LDPC decoding for OFDM systems," in *Adv. Technol. Commun.*, pp. 405–408, Oct. 2008.
- [9] R. Zhou, X. Li, V. Chakravarthy, B. Wang, and Z. Wu, "Inter-carrier interference self-cancellation in synchronous downlink MC-CDMA system," in *Proc. 2009 IEEE International Conf. Wireless Commun. Mobile Comput.*, pp. 739–743.
- [10] X. Li, R. Zhou, V. Chakravarthy, S. Hong, and Z. Wu, "Total intercarrier interference cancellation for OFDM mobile communication systems," in *Proc. 2010 IEEE Consumer Commun. Netw. Conf.*, pp. 1–5.
- [11] H. Minn, P. Tarasak, and V. Bhargava, "OFDM frequency offset estimation based on BLUE principle," in *Proc. 2002 IEEE Veh. Technol. Conf. – Fall*, vol. 2, pp. 1230–1234.
- [12] A. J. Coulson, "Maximum likelihood synchronization for OFDM using a pilot symbol: analysis," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 19, pp. 2495–2503, Dec. 2001.
- [13] M. Morelli and U. Mengali, "An improved frequency offset estimator for OFDM applications," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 3, pp. 75–77, Mar. 1999.
- [14] H. Kobayashi, T. Fukuhara, H. Yuan, and Y. Takeuchi, "Proposal of single carrier OFDM technique with adaptive modulation method," in *Proc. 2003 IEEE Veh. Technol. Conf. – Spring*, vol. 3, pp. 1915–1919.
- [15] A. Czylik, "Comparison between adaptive OFDM and single carrier modulation with frequency domain equalization," in *Proc. 1997 IEEE Veh. Technol. Conf. – Spring*, vol. 2, pp. 865–869.
- [16] J. Tubbax, B. Come, L. V. der Perre, L. Deneire, S. Donnay, and M. Engels, "OFDM versus single carrier with cyclic prefix: a system-based comparison," in *Proc. 2001 IEEE Veh. Technol. Conf. – Fall*, vol. 2, pp. 1115–1119.
- [17] D. Falconer, S. L. Ariyavisitakul, A. Benyamin-Seeyar, and B. Eidson, "Frequency domain equalization for single-carrier broadband wireless systems," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 40, pp. 58–66, Apr. 2002.
- [18] N. Benvenuto and S. Tomasin, "On the comparison between OFDM and single carrier modulation with a DFE using a frequency-domain feedforward filter," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 50, pp. 947–955, June

2002.

- [19] C. R. Nassar, B. Natarajan, Z. Wu, D. Wiegandt, S. Zekavat, and S. Shattil, *Multi Carrier Technologies for Wireless Communications*. Springer, 2001.
- [20] D. A. Wiegandt, Z. Wu, and C. R. Nassar, "High-throughput, high performance OFDM via pseudo-orthogonal carrier interferometry spreading codes," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 51, pp. 1123–1134, July 2003.
- [21] D. A. Wiegandt, Z. Wu, and C. R. Nassar, "High-performance carrier interferometry OFDM WLANs: RF testing," in *Proc. 2003 IEEE International Conf. Commun.*, vol. 1, pp. 203–207.
- [22] A. Chandra, *Diversity Combining for Digital Signals in Wireless Fading Channels: Analysis and Simulation of Error Performance*. LAP Lambert Academic, 2011.
- [23] J. van de Beek, M. Sandell, and P. Borjesson, "ML estimation of time and frequency offset in OFDM systems," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 45, pp. 1800–1805, July 1997.
- [24] F. Yang, K. H. Li, and K. C. Teh, "A carrier frequency offset estimator with minimum output variance for OFDM systems," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 8, pp. 677–679, Nov. 2004.
- [25] A. J. Al-Dweik, "Robust non data-aided frequency offset estimation technique," in *Proc. 2004 IEEE International Symp. Personal, Indoor Mobile Radio Commun.*, vol. 2, pp. 1365–1369.
- [26] X. N. Zeng and A. Ghrayeb, "A blind carrier frequency offset estimation scheme for OFDM systems with constant modulus signaling," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 56, pp. 1032–1037, July 2008.
- [27] L. Wu, X.-D. Zhang, P.-S. Li, and Y.-T. Su, "A blind CFO estimator based on smoothing power spectrum for OFDM systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 57, pp. 1924–1927, July 2009.
- [28] T. Roman and V. Koivunen, "Subspace method for blind CFO estimation for OFDM systems with constant modulus constellations," in *Proc. 2005 IEEE Veh. Technol. Conf. – Spring*, vol. 2, pp. 1253–1257.
- [29] Y. Yao and G. B. Giannakis, "Blind carrier frequency offset estimation in SISO, MIMO, and multiuser OFDM systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 53, pp. 173–183, Jan. 2005.
- [30] X. Li, E. Like, Z. Wu, and M. Temple, "Highly accurate blind carrier frequency offset estimator for mobile OFDM systems," in *Proc. 2010 IEEE International Conf. Commun.*, 1–5.
- [31] P. Moose, "A technique for orthogonal frequency division multiplexing frequency offset correction," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 42, pp. 2908–2914, Oct. 1994.
- [32] D. A. Shnidman, "The calculation of the probability of detection and the generalized Marcum Q function," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 35, pp. 389–400, Mar. 1989.
- [33] G. Arfken, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, 6th edition. Academic Press, 2005.
- [34] M. Gardner, *Knotted Doughnuts and Other Mathematical Entertainments*. W.H. Freeman & Company, 1986.
- [35] J. Proakis, *Digital Communications*, 4th edition. McGraw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math, 2000.
- [36] A. Goldsmith, *Wireless Communications*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.