Volume No. 13, Issue No. 05, May 2024 www.ijarse.com



## Comparative Study of Nutritional Richness in the Selected Wild Edible Fruits of Northern Kerala

<sup>1</sup>Abdussalam, A.K and <sup>2</sup>Prajith, P. K.

- 1. Department of Post Graduate Studies and Research in Botany, Sir Syed College, Talipramaba, Kannur, and Kerala, India –PIN 670142.
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Botany, Nehru Arts and Science College, Kanhangad, Kasaragod, Kerala, India, 671314.

  Email: pk.prajith@gmail.com

**Abstract** 

For centuries, fruit crops have been integral to various cultures worldwide, with ethnic communities relying on a variety of wild food plants to fulfill their nutritional and dietary needs. Fruits play a significant role in human diets, offering great nutritional value and contributing meaningfully to well-being. Seasonal surpluses of perishable fruits are readily therapeutic benefits of these fruits, this investigation was undertaken. Selected wild edible fruits, including *Bridelia retusa*, *Diospyros peregrina*, *Flueggea leucopyros*, *Naringi crenulata*, and *Syzygium caryophyllatum*, were collected from different parts of northern Kerala at the ripened stage. Various factors were assessed similarly, including moisture, dry matter, carotenoids, and carbohydrates. Additionally, antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, and catalase were thoroughly analyzed. Important metabolites like reducing sugar, starch, and soluble sugar were estimated using standard procedures. These five selected wild fruits are considered edible and are commonly used by rural people in Northern Kerala. available, particularly among wild edible fruits, which form a major part of the diet supplement for rural people. However, in specific locations, large quantities of agricultural produce go to waste during particular seasons due to factors such as ignorance and a lack of management skills in distribution, marketing, and storage. To raise awareness about the nutritional and

Key words: Phytochemistry, wild edible fruits, proximate, antioxidants, peroxidase

### INTRODUCTION

Wild edible plants are essential component of biodiversity and for rural people their exploitation become an important livelihood strategy (Bandana & Debabrata, 2015). Hippocrates' 2500-year-old saying, "Food is medicine, and medicine is the food," emphasizes the importance of a proper diet in cured patients, as emphasized by Ayurveda. Fruits are essential for healthy aging and preventing chronic diseases like obesity, diabetes, and cancer. Edible wild fruits provide nutrient food supplements and antioxidant phytocompounds. Research on wild edible fruits, collected by locals from natural forests, aims to identify valuable, familiar fruits in certain areas or communities, generating side income for the poor. An important feature of higher plants is their capacity to synthesize a large number of organic chemicals, commonly called secondary metabolites. These compounds

## Volume No. 13, Issue No. 05, May 2024 www.ijarse.com



provide health benefits for humans, protect from diseases and also contribute to the aroma, colour and Heber (2009).

#### **Materials and Methods**

Wild edible fruits from various parts of Northern Kerala were collected and preserved at the ripened and maturity stage. The species selected for the study includes *Brideliaretusa* (Euphorbiaceae), *Diospyros peregrina* (Ebenaceae), *Flueggea leucopyrus*(Phyllanthaceae), *Naringi crenulata* (Rutaceae) and *Syzygium caryophyllatum* (Myrtaceae). Healthy, disease-free fruits were chosen, and various parameters were assessed based on the standard protocols such as; moisture, dry matter (AOAC, 1990), reducing sugar, starch (Nelson, 1944), soluble sugar (Day, 1990), carotenoids (Kirk & Allen, 1965), polyphenols (Folin & Denis, 1915), antioxidant enzymes such as catalase and peroxidase (Maehly, 1954), phytate (Reddy & Love, 1999) and oxalateand superoxide dismutase (Day & Underwood, 1986). To prevent the decomposition of chemical compounds, some fruits were dried in the shade and the dried material was powdered for further analysis.

### **Results and Discussion**

#### Proximate analysis

The proximate analysis involved parameters such as moisture, dry matter, carotenoid, carbohydrates (reducing sugar, starch, and total sugar), and dry matter.

Table 1: Phytochemical analysis of five wild edible fruits

| Name of the Plans | Moisture |       | Dry Matter |      | Carotenoid |            | Reducing<br>Sugar |       | Starch |       | Total |     |
|-------------------|----------|-------|------------|------|------------|------------|-------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-----|
|                   |          |       |            |      |            |            |                   |       |        |       | Sugar |     |
|                   | M        | R     | M          | R    | M          | R          | M                 | R     | M      | R     | M     | R   |
| Bridelia retusa   | 68       | 83.82 | 44         | 26.4 | 6.08±0.    | 3.87       | 2.7               | 3.29  | 26.26  | 13.5± | 5.2   | 6.2 |
|                   | ±0.00    | ±0.03 | ±0.06      | 5    | 01         | ±0.07      | ±0.72             | ±0.04 | ±0.04  | 0.063 | ±0.   | 9   |
|                   | 2        | 4     |            | ±0.0 |            |            |                   |       | 1      |       | 03    | ±0. |
|                   |          |       |            | 2    |            |            |                   |       |        |       |       | 2   |
| Diospyros         | 82.77    | 86.8  | 35.5       | 29.3 | 5.9        | 3.6        | 4.23              | 16.08 | 10.6   | 10.3  | 20.0  | 33. |
| peregrina         | ±0.09    | ±0.04 | ±0.02      | ±0.0 | ±0.06      | ±0.03      | ±0.04             | ±0.01 | ±0.02  | ±0.01 | 4±    | 32  |
|                   | 2        | 2     |            | 3    |            |            |                   |       |        | 1     | 0.04  | ±0. |
|                   |          |       |            |      |            |            |                   |       |        |       |       | 02  |
| Flueggea          | 58       | 81.32 | 63         | 32.7 | 9.5±0.0    | 3.23       | 5.9               | 12.79 | 17.8   | 7.8   | 12.2  | 31. |
| leucopyrus        | ±0.01    | ±0.03 | ±0.04      | ±0.0 | 04         | $\pm 0.00$ | ±0.03             | ±0.03 | ±0.03  | ±0.02 | 1     | 12  |
|                   | 2        |       |            | 5    |            | 3          |                   |       | 1      | 1     | ±0.   | ±0. |
|                   |          |       |            |      |            |            |                   |       |        |       | 04    | 021 |

Commented [H1]: not cited in reference

# Volume No. 13, Issue No. 05, May 2024 www.ijarse.com



| Naringi crenulata | 61    | 82.7  | 38    | 32.7 | 2.8±0.0 | 2.8±  | 9.1   | 13.6  | 19.9       | 13.6  | 22.1 | 28. |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|------|-----|
|                   | ±0.03 | ±0.02 | ±0.07 | ±0.0 | 1       | 0.01  | ±0.05 | ±0.01 | ±0.06      | +0.04 | 1    | 9   |
|                   |       |       |       | 1    |         |       |       | 3     |            | 5     | ±0.  | ±0. |
|                   |       |       |       |      |         |       |       |       |            |       | 02   | 024 |
| Syzygium          | 61±0. | 81.3  | 59    | 31.7 | 4.7     | 4.9   | 8.4   | 13.9  | 16.36      | 12.2  | 4.87 | 9.4 |
| caryophyllatum    | 0.5   | ±0.07 | ±0.05 | ±0.0 | ±0.041  | ±0.02 | ±0.00 | ±0.00 | $\pm 0.00$ | ±0.6  | ±0.  | 2±0 |
|                   |       |       | 2     | 2    |         |       | 1     | 4     | 8          |       | 1    | .2  |

Table 2: Phytochemical analysis and antioxidant activities of five wild edible fruits

| Name of the Phytic acid Plans |        | Oxalate |      | Catalase |         | Peroxidase |           | Superoxide<br>dismutase |        |          |
|-------------------------------|--------|---------|------|----------|---------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|--------|----------|
|                               | M      | R       | M    | R        | M       | R          | M         | R                       | M      | R        |
| Bridelia retusa               | 0.93   | 0.73    | 2.33 | 1.9      | 0.0096  | 0.006      | 0.0048±   | 0.0058±                 | 0.0013 | 0.0013   |
|                               | ±0.08  | ±0.0    | ±0.4 | ±0.2     | ±0.004  | ±0.0003    | 0.0003    | 0.0003                  | 8±0.00 | ±0.0002  |
|                               |        | 8       |      | 6        |         |            |           |                         | 012    |          |
| Diospyros                     | 0.99   | 0.8     | 2.6  | 1.3      | 0.06±0. | 0.0055±    | 0.004845± | 0.009845±               | 0.0067 | 0.003±   |
| peregrina                     | ±0.04  | ±0.3    | ±0.1 | ±0.1     | 0003    | 0.0003     | 0.000156  | 0.0003                  | 8±     | 023      |
|                               |        |         | 6    | 7        |         |            |           |                         | 0.0005 |          |
| Flueggea                      | 0.67   | 0.42    | 2.31 | 0.87     | 0.0054± | 0.000875   | 0.0032+±  | 0.0061±                 | 0.0032 | 0.00233  |
| leucopyrus                    | ±0.06  | ±0.0    | ±0.2 | ±0.2     | 0.00012 | ±0.0003    | 0.0001    | 0.002                   | 67+±0. | ±0.327   |
|                               |        | 1       | 0    |          |         |            |           |                         | 00029  |          |
| Naringi crenulata             | 0.89   | 0.98    | 0.91 | 0.79     | 0.0054± | 0.006276±  | 0.000651± | 0.000732±               | 0.0009 | 0.0008 ± |
|                               | ±0.08  | ±0.0    | ±0.0 | ±0.0     | 0.0003  | 0.0004     | 0.000209  | 0.0004                  | ±0.000 | 0.0003   |
|                               |        | 5       | 5    | 6        |         |            |           |                         | 2      |          |
| Syzygium                      | 0.5±0. | 0.56    | 2.43 | 2.2±     | 0.0061± | 0.0055±    | 0.001232± | 0.002434                | 0.0022 | 0.00091  |
| Caryophyllatum                | 072    | ±0.0    | ±0.4 | 0.12     | 0.00034 | 0.0067     | 0.000125  | ±0.00012                | ±      | 1±       |
|                               |        | 3       | 0    |          |         |            |           |                         | 0.0003 | 0.0002   |

The moisture content was higher in the ripened fruit of *Diospyros peregrina* (86.8%) compared to that of *Bridelia retusa*, which showed a moisture content of 83.82%. *Naringi crenulata* and *Syzygium caryophyllatum* exhibited relatively high moisture content in their ripened fruits. *Diospyros peregrina* demonstrated the highest moisture content in mature fruits compared to the other four fruits. Ripened fruits of *Syzygium caryophyllatum* and mature fruits of *Flueggea leucopyrus* exhibited the minimum moisture content levels. (Table 1). *Flueggea leucopyrus* exhibited the maximum dry weight content, while the minimum content was present in the matured fruits of *Naringi crenulata*. Ripened fruits of *Flueggea leucopyrus* and *Naringi crenulata* showed higher dry matter

## Volume No. 13, Issue No. 05, May 2024 www.ijarse.com



content, whereas the minimum level was observed in the ripened fruits of *Bridelia retusa* (Table 1). In addition to the dry matter, carotenoid, reducing sugar, starch and total sugar content also varying among the five fruits. Both maturity and ripened stages significantly varies the metabolite character (Table 2). Phytic acid, oxalate, catalase, peroxidase and superoxide dismutase activity of five fruit plants showed remarkable difference. *Diospyros peregrina* showed maximum in the case of phytic acid content whereas all other fruit shows minimum (Table 2). Oxalate content maximum in *Syzygium caryophyllatum*compared to other fruits. Catalase activity is maximum in *Bridelia retusa* whereas minimum in *Flueggea leucopyrus*. *Diospyros peregrina* showed highest peroxidase activity. Super oxidase dismutase activity is maximum in *Flueggea leucopyrus* (Table 2).

The study found that mature fruits have higher catalase and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities, while ripened fruits have higher peroxidase activity. This was supported by previous studies by Huang et al. (2007) and Niranjanaet al. (2009), who found a significant decrease in catalase activity between the young fruit and green fruit stages. However, the present study found all enzymatic antioxidants to be lower than previously studied. Wild fruits are delicious and nutritious, but excessive consumption can pose health risks. Antinutritional factors like phytic acid and oxalic acid inhibit protein digestion, growth, and absorption of iron and zinc. Flueggea leucopyrushad a higher phytate content (0.7±0.2%) among the five fruits, while Diospyros peregrina had a lower content (0.31±0.03%). Aberoumand and Deokule (2009) analyzed the nutritional values of CordiamyxaRoxb, a wild edible plant from Iran and India, revealing 2.5mg/100g phytic acid. The study by Nkafamiyaet al. (2007) found that oxalate content in seeds of wild plants like Diospyros peregrina, Flueggea leucopyrus, Naringi crenulata, Syzygium caryophyllatumis higher in mature fruit and lower in ripened fruit.Fruits of Artocarpushirsutus exhibited greater similarity to yellow cashew apple fruits. Fruits of Grewia nervosa and Syzygium cuminicontained same value of carotenoids as White sapota and Red mombin respectively (Moo-Huchin et al., 2017). The antinutrient content of fresh and dried fruit samples of Citrulluslanatus was analysed. Results indicated that the antinutrient components such as saponin, oxalate, phytate and tannin were identified in all samples but in insignificant amount only (Johnson et al., 2014).

#### Conclusion

The study area documented five wild edible fruit plants, and detailed phytochemical profiling was conducted in the present investigation. These five plants, namely *Diospyros peregrina, Flueggea leucopyrus, Naringi crenulata*, and *Syzygium caryophyllatum*, are rich in carbohydrates and antioxidant enzymes, making them valuable during famines and for disease prevention. Wild edible plants represent a valuable resource with significant potential for pharmacological research and applications. Their diverse array of bioactive compounds, cultural significance, and contributions to nutrition and traditional medicine make them an essential area of study for those interested in harnessing the potential of natural resources for human well-being.

### Acknowledgements

The instrument supportis provided by the DST-FIST project is duly acknowledged.

### References

## Volume No. 13, Issue No. 05, May 2024 www.ijarse.com



- Aberoumand, A. and Deokule, S.S. (2009). Studies on Nutritional Values of Some Wild Edible Plants from Iran and India. Pak. J. Nutrition, 8(1): 26-31
- [2] AOAC. (1990). Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington. D.C.
- [3] Bandana, P. & Debabrata, P. (2015). Wild edible plant diversity and its ethno-medicinal use 380 by indigenous tribes of Koraput, Odisha, India. *Res. J. Agri. For. Sci*, 3, 1–10.
- [4] Day, R.A. & Underwood, A.L. (1986). Quantitative analysis. 5th ed.Prentice. Hall publication, 701.
- [5] Desai, N., Gaikwad, D.K. & Chavan, P.D.(2010). Proximate composition and some physicochemical properties of morinda pulp. *Int. J. Applied Bio. Pharma. Tech.*, I (2):679-682.
- [6] Folin, O. and Denis, W. (1915). A colorimetric method for the determination of phenols (and phenol derivatives) in urine. J. Biol. Chem. 22:305–308.
- [7] Gouado, I. & Aba, E.R. (2007). Carotenoid content of some locally consumed fruits and yams in Cameroon. Pak. J. Nutr. 6: 497-501.
- [8] Heber. (2009). Energy-enhancing antioxidant: Natural Factors Nutritional Products Ltd.:1-2.
- [9] Huang, R., Xia, R., Hu, L., Lu, Y. & Wang, M. (2007). Antioxidant activity and oxygen-scavenging system in orange pulp during fruit ripening and maturation. *ScientiaHorticult*. 113: 166–172.
- [10] Johnson, J.T., Iwang, E.U., Hemen, J.T. & Eteng. (2014). Evaluation of anti-nutrient contents of watermelon *Citrulluslanatus*. *Ann. Biol. Res.* 3(11): 5145-5150.
- [11] Kirk, J.T.O. & Allen, R.L. (1965). Dependence of chloroplast pigments synthesis on protein synthetic effects on actilione, Biochem. *Biophysics Res. J. Canada*, (27), 523-530,
- [12] Maehly, A.C. (1954). Methods in biochemical analysis (Ed.) Glick D. (Publ.) Interscience publishers Inc. New York: 385-386.
- [13] Moo-Huchin, V.M., González-Aguilar, G.A., Moo-Huchin, M., Ortiz & Vazquez, E. (2017). Carotenoid composition and antioxidant activity of extracts from tropical fruits. Chiang *Mai J. Sci.* 44(2): 605-616.
- [14] Nelson, N. (1944). A photochemical adaptation of the Somogyi method for the determination of glucose. *J. Biol. Chem.* 153: 375-380.
- [15] Niranjana, P., Gopalakrishna, K.P.R., Sudhakar, D.V.R. & Madhusudhan. (2009). Effect of pre-cooling and heat treatment on antioxidant enzymes profile of mango and banana. *AfricanJ. of Food, Agri., Nutr. & Deve* 1-12
- [16] Nkafamiya, J., Modibbo, U.U., Manji, A.J. & Haggai, D. (2007). Nutrient content of seeds of some wild plants. *African J. Biotech.* 6 (14): 1665-1669.
  - [17] Reddy, M.B. & Love, M. (1999). The impacts of food processing on the nutritional quality of vitamins and minerals. Adv. Exp. Med. Bio. 459: 99-106.