SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT

TEKCHAND BHATI[1], MAYANK ARYA[2], ARSHAD KHAN[3], CHAMAN SAXENA[4]

[1,2,3,4](Civil Engineering, NIET, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India)

ABSTRACT

Nowadays many water resources are polluted by anthropogenic sources including household and agricultural waste and industrial processes. Public concern over the environmental impact of wastewater pollution has increased. Several conventional wastewater treatment techniques, i.e. chemical coagulation, adsorption, activated sludge, have been applied to remove the pollution, however there are still some limitations, especially that of high operation costs. The use of aerobic waste water treatment as a reductive medium is receiving increased interest due to its low operation and maintenance costs. In addition, it is easy-to-obtain, with good effectiveness and ability for degrading contaminants. This paper reviews the use of waste water treatment technologies to remove contaminants from wastewater such as halogenated hydrocarbon compounds, heavy metals, dyes, pesticides, and herbicides, which represent the main pollutants in wastewater.

Key Words*: Sewage, Aerobic, Treatment, Technologies.*

I.INTRODUCTION

A supply of clean water is an essential requirement for the establishment and maintenance of diverse human activities. Water resources provide valuable food through aquatic life and irrigation for agriculture production. However, liquid and solid wastes produced by human settlements and industrial activities pollute most of the water sources throughout the world.Due to massive worldwide increases in the human population, water will become one of the scarcest resources in the 21st century (Day D., 1996). In the year 2015 the majority of the global population (over 5 billion) will live in urban environments (UN, 1997). By the year 2015, there will be 23 mega-cities with a population of over 10 million each, 18 of which will exist in the developing world Black, 1994). Central to the urbanization phenomena are the problems associated with providing municipal services and water sector infrastructure, including the provision of both fresh water resources and sanitation services. Currently, providing housing, health care, social services, and access to basic human needs infrastructure, such as clean water and the disposal of effluent, presents major challenges to engineers, planners and politicians (Black, 1994; Giles and Brown, 1997).

Status of wastewater in India

The total wastewater generated by 299 class-1 cities is 16,652.5 MLD. Out of this, about 59% is generated by 23 metro cities. The state of Maharashtra alone contributes about 23%, while the Ganga river basin contributes about 31% of the total wastewater generated in class-1 cities. Only 72% of the total treated wastewater generated is collected. Out of 299 class-1 cities, 160 cities have sewerage system for more than 75 percent of population and 92 cities have more than 50 percent of population coverage. On the whole 70% of total population of class-1 cities is provided with sewerage facility, compared to 48% in 1988. The type of sewerage system is either open or closed or piped. The main objective of this study was to perform a review of the treatment of domestic sewage using the aerobic sludge to ensure effective discharge and/or re-use/recycling.

Wastewater treatment in India

Out of 16,662.5 MLD of wastewater generated, only 4037.2 mld (24 %) is treated before release, the rest (i.e. 12,626.30 MLD) is disposed of untreated. Twenty-seven cities have only primary treatment facilities and only forty-nine have primary and secondary treatment facilities.

Need of sewage treatment:

Wastewater treatment involves breakdown of complex organic compounds in the wastewater into simpler compounds that are stable and nuisance-free, either physico-chemically and/or by using micro-organisms (biological treatment). The adverse environmental impact of allowing untreated wastewater to be discharged in groundwater or surface water bodies and or lands are as follows:

1. The decomposition of the organic materials contained in wastewater can lead to the production of large quantities of malodorous gases.

2. Untreated wastewater (sewage) containing a large amount of organic matter, if discharged into a river / stream, will consume the dissolved oxygen for satisfying the Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) of wastewater and thus deplete the dissolved oxygen of the stream, thereby causing fish kills and other undesirable effects.

3. Wastewater may also contain nutrients, which can stimulate the growth of aquatic plants and algal blooms, thus leading to eutrophication of the lakes and streams.

4. Untreated wastewater usually contains numerous pathogenic, or disease causing microorganisms and toxic compounds, that dwell in the human intestinal tract or may be present in certain industrial waste. These may contaminate the land or the water body, where such sewage is disposed. For the above-mentioned reasons the treatment and disposal of wastewater, is not only desirable but also necessary

Industrial, Municipal and Domestic Reuse of Wastewater

Municipal uses of treated wastewater include the irrigation of road plantings, parks, playgrounds, golf courses and toilet flushing etc. (Bouwer, 1993). Industrial reuses of wastewater include cooling systems, agricultural uses (irrigation and aquaculture), the food processing industry and other highrate water uses (Bouwer, 1993b;

Khouri *et al.* 1994; Asano and Levine, 1996). In Middle Eastern countries, where water is scarce, dual istribution systems will, in the near future, provide high quality, treated effluents for toilet flushing to hotels, office buildings, etc. (Shelef and Azov, 1996). In India, wastewater is currently being used for irrigation, gardening, flushing, cooling of air conditioning systems, as a feed for boilers, and as process water for industries (Chawathe and Kantawala, 1987). In China, national policy has been developed that promotes the development of water-efficient technologies, and encourages the reuse of reclaimed municipal wastewater in agriculture first, and then for industrial and municipal uses (Zhongxiang and Yi, 1991). In Japan, reclaimed wastewater is used for toilet flushing, industry, stream restoration and flow augmentation to create "urban amenities" such as green space (Asano, Maeda, Takaki, 1996).

Planning and Implementing Wastewater Reuse

Appropriate Technology

A functional and sustainable wastewater management scheme begins at the household level and is largely dependent on the "software" or the human component (Khouri *et al.*, 1994). Only when perception of need and perhaps, anticipation for a wastewater reuse system has been internalized at the neighborhood/user level, will planning and implementation be successfully executed (Khouri *et al.*, 1994). Local level support of a treatment and recovery scheme can, in turn, catalyse pro-active institutions and vertical support from governments. Once the software component has been integrated into project development, the "hardware" or technological component can act to promote a comprehensive, integrated, and sustainable wastewater treatment and recovery strategy for the community - if it is well selected and "appropriate". Several features characterise an appropriate wastewater treatment technology that can be a sustainable amenity to a community. Denny, (1997) has stated that wastewater treatment technologies in the developing world must have one overriding criterion: the technology must be cost-effective and appropriate. The following considerations should be made regarding the appropriateness of technologies:

1. The scheme or technology should be a felt priority in public or environmental health, and both centralised and de-centralised technologies should be considered (Veenstra and Alaerts, 1996).

2. The technology should be low-cost and require low energy input and mechanisation, which reduces the risk of malfunction (Frijns and Jansen, 1996; Boller, 1997).

3. The technology should be simple to operate, be "local" labour intensive, maintained by the community not rely on expensive chemical inputs, such as chlorine, for tertiary pathogen reductions to meet quality guidelines, and should be able to recover resources (Mara and Cairncross, 1989; Frijns and Jansen; 1996; Boller, 1997).

4. The technology should be capable of being incrementally upgraded as user demand or quality standards and treatment guidelines increase (Boller, 1997).

Public acceptance of reuse projects is vital to the overall future of wastewater reuse and the consequences of poor public perception could jeopardise future wastewater reuse projects (Asano and Levine, 1996). The selection of any treatment technology must be accompanied in advance by a detailed examination of the self-sufficiency and technological capacity of the community. The treatment alternatives must be manageable by the

local community. (Boller 1997) suggests that skilled operation and maintenance are essential to attain satisfactory performance and that technologies must require the lowest level of maintenance and control. The overriding criterion is that the system must be capable of achieving acceptable levels of pathogen reductions to facilitate the recovery of effluent for irrigation and organic soil amendment (Yu *et al.*, 1997).

Country Treatment type

A number of conventional treatment technologies have been considered for treatment of wastewater contaminated with organic substances. Commercial activated carbon is regarded as the most effective material for controlling the organic load. However due to its high cost and about 10-15 % loss during regeneration, unconventional adsorbents like fly ash, peat, lignite, wood, saw dust etc. have been used for the removal of refractory materials, (Pandey et al., 1985) for varying degree of success. Ionic liquids holds promise to provide better alternative to the toxic solvents, (Sheldon et al., 2001)

The removal of organic material by adsorption has recently become the subject of interest of several workers, Nelson et al. (1969); Eye et al. (1970); Johnson et al.(1965); Deb et al.(1966); Gupta et al.(1978,1990); Mott et al. (1992); Viraraghavan et al.(1994). They have explored the use of fly ash as an adsorbent for treatment of wastewater to remove toxic compounds and colour. Pandey et al. (1985) has proposed a method for removal of copper from wastewater by taking fly ash as an adsorbent. The use of active filtration through alkaline media for the removal of phosphorus from domestic wastewater has been proposed by Johansson et al. (1998); and Drizo et al. (2006). Ozone is a very good oxidizing agent due to its high instability (reduction potential 2.07 V) when compared to chlorine (1.36 V) and (1.78V). It has potential to degrade large number of pollutants like phenols, pesticides and aromatic hydrocarbons and is used since the early 1970s in wastewater treatment (Robinson *et al.* 2001, Özbelge et al. 2002, Pera-Titus *et al.* 2004). The major drawback of the use of this method is, ozone has short half-life, it decomposes in 20 minutes so require continuous ozonation and making this method expensive to apply,(Slokar et al., 1998, Robinson *et al.,* 2001). Anaerobic wastewater treatment is a biological wastewater treatment without the use of air or elemental oxygen. Applications are directed towards the removal of organic pollutants in waste water, slurries and sludge. Complete replacement of aerobic with anaerobic technology is not yet possible as

 he effluent quality of anaerobic treatment systems is not up to par. The anaerobic treatment is considered as a pre-treatment technique and has been applied in Colombia, Brazil, and India, replacing the more costly activated sludge processes. There are different types of digesters available, some have been proven effective over time, and others are still being tested. One of the most suitable digesters for tropical conditions is the UASB (Up flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket).

Harada et al. (2007, 2006, 2005, and 2002) has proposed a self-sustainable sewage treatment system with the combination of UASB as pretreatment unit and an aerobic reactor Down flow Hanging Sponge (DHS) reactor as a post treatment unit. The proposed anaerobic-aerobic bio conenoses of UASB and DHS fulfill the need for a simplified treatment system for developing countries because of its low cost, and operational simplicity, along with sustainability of the system as a whole.

II.CONCLUSION

This report is a review of variety of options that may be employed in the treatment, recovery and reuse of wastewater. It is apparent that a variety of options are feasible for use in the developing world and even more apparent that many low-technology options can be mixed and matched for very high efficiencies. Natural treatment technologies are attracting a significant level of interest by environmental managers. Natural treatment technologies are considered viable because of their low capital costs, their ease of maintenance, their potentially longer life-cycles and their ability to recover a variety of resources including: treated effluent for irrigation, organic humus for soil amendment and energy in the form of biogas.

This report examined emergent issues and technological options related to the scale of collection and treatment systems. There is increasing momentum developing behind the notion that recycling loops, from point of generation (*e.g.*, the household) to point of treatment and reuse must be shortened.

REFERENCES

1. Asano, T. and A. D. Levine (1996). Wastewater reclamation, recycling, and reuse: Past, present and future. Water Science and Technology, 33: 1-14.

2. Black, M. (1994). Mega - slums: The coming sanitary crisis. London: WaterAid.

3. Boller, M. (1997). Small wastewater treatment plants - A challenge to wastewater engineers. Water Science and Technology, 35: 1-12.

4. Bouwer, H. (1993a). From sewage to zero discharge. European Water Pollution Control, 3: 9- 16.

5. Bouwer, H. (1993b). Urban and agricultural competition for water and water reuse. Water Resources Development, 9: 13-25.

6. Bowker, R.P.G. (2000). Biological odour control by diffusion into activated sludge basins. Water Science and Technology, 41: 127-132.

7. Burgess, J.E., S.A. Parsons and R.M. Stuetz (2001). Developments in odor control and waste gas treatment biotechnology: A review. Biotechnology Advances, 19: 35-63.