

## Strong Coupled Fixed point For $(\phi, \psi)$ -Contraction Type Coupling in Metric Spaces

Tawseef Rashid<sup>[1]</sup> and Q. H. Khan<sup>[2]</sup>

**Abstract.** *The aim of this research article is to give answer to an open problem presented by Choudhury et al. [4] concerning the investigation of strong coupled fixed point and related properties for couplings satisfying other type of inequalities. In this direction we define  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling and then proved the existence and uniqueness theorem of strong coupled fixed point for  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling. We give examples to illustrate our main result.*

**Keywords :** Coupled Fixed Point; strong coupled fixed point, altering distance function,  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling.

2010MSC : 47H10, 54H25,

### 1 Introduction and Mathematical Preliminaries

T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham [5] introduced the concept of coupled fixed point of mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ . The results on existence of coupled fixed point were studied in many papers [1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The concept of coupling was introduced by Choudhury et al. [4]. Choudhury et al. [4] proved the existence and uniqueness of strong coupled fixed point for couplings using Kannan type contractions for complete metric spaces. In this paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of strong coupled fixed point for  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling in complete metric spaces which was put as an open problem by Choudhury et al. [4].

**Definition 1.1.** (Coupled Fixed Point) [5]. An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , where  $X$  is any non-empty set, is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ .

**Definition 1.2.** (Strong Coupled Fixed Point) [4]. An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , where  $X$  is any non-empty set, is called a strong coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  if  $(x, y)$  is coupled fixed point and  $x = y$ ; that is if  $F(x, x) = x$ .

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India.  
Email : tawseefrashid123@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India.  
Email : qhkhan.ssitm@gmail.com

**Definition 1.3.** (Cyclic Mapping) [6]. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of a given set  $X$ . Any function  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be cyclic (with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ ) if

$$f(A) \subset B \text{ and } f(B) \subset A.$$

**Definition 1.4.** (Coupling) [4]. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of  $X$ . Then a function  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a coupling with respect to  $A$  and  $B$  if

$$F(x, y) \in B \text{ and } F(y, x) \in A$$

whenever  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

**Definition 1.5.** [7]. A function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an altering distance function, if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i)  $\psi$  is monotone increasing and continuous,
- (ii)  $\psi(t) = 0$  iff  $t = 0$ .

## 2 Main Result

Before going to the main theorem, we define  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling in metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1.**  $((\phi, \psi)$ -Contraction Type Coupling). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $\phi, \psi$  are two altering distance functions. Then a coupling  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling (with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ ) if it satisfies the following inequality :

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}).$$

for any  $x, v \in A$  and  $y, u \in B$ .



**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty closed subsets of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is a  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling ( with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ ) i.e. there exists altering distance functions  $\psi, \phi$  s.t.

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}). \quad (1)$$

for any  $x, v \in A$  and  $y, u \in B$ , Then

(i)  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ,

(ii)  $F$  has a unique strong coupled fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

**Proof :** Since  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty subsets of  $X$  and  $F$  is a coupling ( w.r.t.  $A$  and  $B$ ), then for  $x_0 \in A$  and  $y_0 \in B$  we define sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $A$  and  $B$  resp. such that,

$$x_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n). \quad (2)$$

If for some  $n$ ,  $x_{n+1} = y_n$  and  $y_{n+1} = x_n$ , then by using (2), we have

$$x_n = y_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = x_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n).$$

This shows that  $(x_n, y_n)$  is a coupled fixed point of  $F$ . So, we are done in this case.

Now assume  $x_{n+1} \neq y_n$  or  $y_{n+1} \neq x_n, \forall n$ .

Let us define a sequence  $\{D_n\}$  by

$$D_n = \max\{d(x_{n+1}, y_n), d(y_{n+1}, x_n)\}. \quad (3)$$

Clearly  $\{D_n\} \subseteq [0, \infty), \forall n$ .

Now using (1), (2) and fact that  $x_n \in A$  and  $y_n \in B \forall n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_n, y_{n+1})) &= \psi[d(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n))] \\ &= \psi[d(F(x_n, y_n), F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}))] \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}] \\ &\quad - \phi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Using properties of  $\phi$ , we have

$$\psi(d(x_n, y_{n+1})) \leq \psi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}].$$

Again using properties of  $\psi$ , we get

$$d(x_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}. \quad (5)$$

Now again using (1), (2) and fact that  $x_n \in A$  and  $y_n \in B \forall n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(y_n, x_{n+1})) &= \psi[d(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(y_n, x_n))] \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(x_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_{n-1}, x_n)\}] \\ &\quad - \phi[\max\{d(x_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_{n-1}, x_n)\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now using properties of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , we get

$$d(y_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \max\{d(x_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_{n-1}, x_n)\}. \quad (7)$$

By using (5) and (7), we get

$$\max\{d(y_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, y_{n+1})\} \leq \max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}.$$

*i.e.*

$$\max\{d(x_{n+1}, y_n), d(y_{n+1}, x_n)\} \leq \max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}. \quad (8)$$

From (3), we have

$$D_n \leq D_{n-1} \quad \forall \quad n \geq 1.$$

Therefore  $\{D_n\}$  is monotonic decreasing sequence of non-negative real numbers.

Thus  $\exists r \geq 0$ , s.t.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = r$ ,

i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d(x_{n+1}, y_n), d(y_{n+1}, x_n)\} = r. \quad (9)$$

Since  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is non-decreasing, then  $\forall a, b \in [0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\max\{\psi(a), \psi(b)\} = \psi(\max\{a, b\}). \quad (10)$$

On using (4), (6) and (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_n, x_{n+1})\}] &= \max\{\psi(d(x_n, y_{n+1})), \psi(d(y_n, x_{n+1}))\} \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}] \\ &\quad - \phi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}]. \end{aligned}$$

On using (4), (6) and (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_n, x_{n+1})\}] &= \max\{\psi(d(x_n, y_{n+1})), \psi(d(y_n, x_{n+1}))\} \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}] \\ &\quad - \phi[\max\{d(x_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, x_{n-1})\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in above inequality, using (9) and continuities of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , we have

$$\psi(r) \leq \psi(r) - \phi(r) \leq \psi(r)$$

$\Rightarrow \phi(r) = 0$ , since  $\phi$  is altering distance function, so  $r = 0$ .

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n = 0$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\{d(x_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_n, x_{n+1})\} = 0.$$

Now we define a sequence  $\{R_n\}$  by  $R_n = d(x_n, y_n)$ ,

we show that  $R_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By using (1) and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(R_n) &= \psi(d(x_n, y_n)) \\ &= \psi(d(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}))) \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), d(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\}] \\ &\quad - \phi[\max\{d(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), d(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\}] \\ &= \psi(d(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})) - \phi(d(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})).\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

By properties of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , we have

$$R_n \leq d(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) = R_{n-1},$$

i.e.

$$R_n \leq R_{n-1} \quad \forall \quad n \geq 1.$$

Thus  $\{R_n\}$  is monotonic decreasing sequence of non-negative real numbers.

Therefore  $\exists s \geq 0$ , s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = s\tag{13}$$

take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (12), using (13) and continuities of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , we have

$$\psi(s) \leq \psi(s) - \phi(s) \leq \psi(s)$$

$\Rightarrow \phi(s) = 0$ , but since  $\phi$  is altering distance function, so we have  $s = 0$ .

i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0.\tag{14}$$

Now using triangular inequality, (11) and (14), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, x_{n+1})] = 0\tag{15}$$



and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(y_n, x_n) + d(x_n, y_{n+1})] = 0. \quad (16)$$

Now we will prove that sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are cauchy sequences in  $A$  and  $B$  resp.

If possible, let  $\{x_n\}$  or  $\{y_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and sequence of positive integers  $\{m(k)\}$  and  $\{n(k)\}$  such that  $\forall$  positive integer  $k$ , with  $n(k) > m(k) > k$ , we have

$$T_k = \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}), d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)})\} \geq \varepsilon. \quad (17)$$

and

$$\max\{d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}), d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1})\} < \varepsilon. \quad (18)$$

Now we show that

$$d(y_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) \leq \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}), d(y_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})\}$$

By using (1) and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[d(y_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1})] &= \psi[d(F(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}), F(y_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}))] \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}), d(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})\}] \\ &\quad - \phi[\max\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}), d(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Using properties of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , we have

$$d(y_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) \leq \max\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}), d(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})\}. \quad (19)$$

Similarly we can show by same pattern that,

$$d(x_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)+1}) \leq \max\{d(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{m(k)})\}. \quad (20)$$

From (19) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d(y_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}), d(x_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)+1})\} &\leq \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}), d(y_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})\} \\ &= \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Where  $\lambda = \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}), d(y_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})\}$ .

It is fact that for  $a, b, c \in R^+$ ,  $\max\{a + c, b + c\} = c + \max\{a, b\}$ .

Therefore by triangular inequality, (18) and the above fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}), d(y_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1})\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}), d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) + d(y_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})\} \\ &= d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}) + \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}), d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1})\} \\ &< d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Thus from (21) and (22), we get

$$\max\{d(y_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}), d(x_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)+1})\} < d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}) + \varepsilon. \quad (23)$$

Now again by triangular inequality, we have

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \leq d(x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}) + d(y_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) + d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{m(k)}). \quad (24)$$

and

$$d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) \leq d(y_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)+1}) + d(y_{m(k)+1}, y_{m(k)}). \quad (25)$$

From (17) (23), (24) and (25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_k &= \max\{d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}), d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)})\} \\ &\leq d(x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}) + \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}), d(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)+1})\} \\ &\quad + \max\{d(y_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}), d(x_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)+1})\} \\ &< d(x_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)}) + \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}), d(y_{m(k)}, y_{m(k)+1})\} \\ &\quad + d(x_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Take  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (26) and using (14), (15), (16) and (17), we have

$$\varepsilon \leq T_k < \varepsilon.$$

Which is a contradiction, Hence  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Since  $A$  and  $B$  are closed subsets of complete metric space  $X$ , therefore  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are convergent in  $A$  and  $B$  respec. Thus  $\exists x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ , s.t.

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ and } y_n \rightarrow y. \quad (27)$$

Also by using (14) in (27), we get

$$x = y. \quad (28)$$

Thus  $x = y \in A \cap B$ , which shows that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ .

Using (1), (2) and fact that  $x_n, x \in A$  and  $y_n, y \in B \forall n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_{n+1}, F(x, y))) &= \psi(d(F(y_n, x_n), F(x, y))) \\ &= \psi(d(F(x, y), F(y_n, x_n))) \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(x, y_n), d(y, x_n)\}] - \phi[\max\{d(x, y_n), d(y, x_n)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Using properties of  $\psi$  and  $\phi$ , we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, F(x, y)) \leq \max\{d(x, y_n), d(y, x_n)\}. \quad (29)$$

Now using triangular inequality, (27), (28) and (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, F(x, x)) &= d(x, F(x, y)) \\ &\leq d(x, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, F(x, y)) \\ &\leq d(x, x_{n+1}) + \max\{d(x, y_n), d(y, x_n)\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow F(x, x) = x$ , hence  $F$  has a strong coupled fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

**Uniqueness:** Let if possible  $F$  has two strong fixed points  $l, m$  in  $A \cap B$ , then

$$F(l, l) = l \text{ and } F(m, m) = m. \quad (30)$$

Now using (1), (30), properties of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  and  $l, m \in A \cap B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(d(l, m)) &= \psi(d(F(l, l), F(m, m))) \\ &\leq \psi[\max\{d(l, m), d(l, m)\}] - \phi[\max\{d(l, m), d(l, m)\}] \\ &\leq \psi(d(l, m)) - \phi(d(l, m)).\end{aligned}$$

Which gives  $\phi(d(l, m)) = 0$ , as  $\phi$  is altering distance function so  $d(l, m) = 0$ .  
 Hence  $l = m$ , which proves the uniqueness.

**Note 2.3.** If  $A$  and  $B$  are two non-empty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is a coupling with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ . Then by definition of coupling for  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , we have  $F(a, b) \in B$  and  $F(b, a) \in A$ .

Now let  $(a, b)$  be the coupled fixed point of  $F$ , then  $F(a, b) = a$  and  $F(b, a) = b$ . But in general this is absurd because  $F(a, b) \in B$  and  $a \in A$ . Similarly  $F(b, a) \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . This is only possible for  $a, b \in A \cap B$ .

The most important fact to be noted is that for any coupling  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  (with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ ), where  $A$  and  $B$  be any two non-empty subsets of metric space  $(X, d)$ , if we investigate for coupled fixed point  $(x, y)$  in product space  $A \times B$ , then we should directly investigate in product subspace  $(A \cap B) \times (A \cap B)$ . Similarly for strong coupled fixed point, we should investigate it in  $A \cap B$ .

**Example 2.4. :** Let  $X = [0, 3]$  be the complete metric space with respect to usual metric 'd' on  $X$  i.e.  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Let  $A = \{1\}$  and  $B = \{1, 2\}$  be the closed subsets of  $X$ . We define  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  by

$$F(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}, \forall x, y \in X. \quad (31)$$

Also we define  $\phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\phi(t) = t^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(t) = t^3 \quad \forall t. \quad (32)$$

Then clearly  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are altering distance functions and  $A$  and  $B$  are closed subsets of a complete metric space  $[0, 3]$ .

First we show that  $F$  is a coupling (w.r.t.  $A$  and  $B$ ).

Let  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in B$ , i.e.  $x = 1$  and  $y = 1, 2$ , we have by (72)

$$F(x, y) = 1 \in B \quad \text{and} \quad F(y, x) = 1 \in A.$$

This shows that  $F$  is a coupling (w.r.t.  $A$  and  $B$ ).

Now we show that  $F$  is  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling.

Let  $x, v \in A$  and  $y, u \in B$ , then four cases arise

case(i)  $x = v = 1$  and  $y = u = 1$ ,

case(ii)  $x = v = 1$  and  $y = 1, u = 2$ ,

case(iii)  $x = v = 1$  and  $y = 2, u = 1$ ,

case(iv)  $x = v = 1$  and  $y = 2, u = 2$ .

For case(i) when  $x = v = 1$  and  $y = u = 1$ , we have from (72)

$$F(x, y) = F(1, 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F(u, v) = F(1, 1) = 1.$$

using above and (32), we get

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) = \psi(0) = 0. \quad (33)$$

Also  $d(x, u) = 0$  and  $d(y, v) = 0$ , so  $\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\} = 0$ .

Then from (32), we get

$$\psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) = \psi(0) - \phi(0) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Thus (33) and (34) gives

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}).$$



We are done in case(i).

For case(ii) when  $x = v = 1$  and  $y = 1, u = 2$ , we have from (72)

$$F(x, y) = F(1, 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F(u, v) = F(2, 1) = 1.$$

Using above and (32), we get

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) = \psi(0) = 0. \quad (35)$$

Also  $d(x, u) = 1$  and  $d(y, v) = 0$ , so  $\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\} = 1$ .

Then from (32), we get

$$\psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) = \psi(1) - \phi(1) = 0. \quad (36)$$

Thus (35) and (36) gives

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}).$$

Thus (35) and (36) gives

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}).$$

We are done in case(ii).

For case(iii) when  $x = v = 1$  and  $y = 2, u = 1$ , we have from (72)

$$F(x, y) = F(1, 2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F(u, v) = F(1, 1) = 1.$$

Using above and (32), we get

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) = \psi(0) = 0. \quad (37)$$

Also  $d(x, u) = 0$  and  $d(y, v) = 1$ , so  $\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\} = 1$ .

Then from (32), we get

Thus (37) and (38) gives

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}).$$

case(iii) is proved.

For case(iv) when  $x = v = 1$  and  $y = 2, u = 2$ , we have from (72)

$$F(x, y) = F(1, 2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F(u, v) = F(2, 1) = 1.$$

Using above and (32), we get

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) = \psi(0) = 0. \quad (39)$$

Also  $d(x, u) = 1$  and  $d(y, v) = 1$ , so  $\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\} = 1$ .

Then from (32), we get

$$\psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) = \psi(1) - \phi(1) = 0. \quad (40)$$

Thus (39) and (40) gives

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}).$$

Hence  $F$  is  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling ( with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ ). Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.2. are satisfied:

then  $F$  has a strong coupled fixed point in  $A \cap B$ .

Clearly  $A \cap B = \{1\} \neq \emptyset$  and 1 is the unique strong coupled fixed point of  $F$  in  $A \cap B$  as  $F(1, 1) = \min\{1, 1\} = 1$ .

**Example 2.5.** : Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $d$  is a usual metric on  $X$ , i.e  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Let  $A = \{\frac{\pi}{2}\}$  and  $B = \{\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\}$  be two closed subsets of complete metric space  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let us define  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  by

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x + y}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \sin(x + y). \quad (41)$$

Also we define  $\phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\phi(t) = 2t \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(t) = t^4 \quad \forall t \quad (42)$$

Clearly  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are altering distance functions.

We now show that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied.

First we show that  $F$  is a coupling (with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ ).

Let  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ , then  $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $y = \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi$ .

Case(i) when  $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $y = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then from (41), we get

$$F(x, y) = F(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \in B \quad \text{and} \quad F(y, x) = F(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \in A.$$

Case(ii) when  $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $y = \pi$ , then from (41), we have

$$F(x, y) = F(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi) = \frac{\pi}{2} \in B \quad \text{and} \quad F(y, x) = F(\pi, \frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \in A.$$

This shows that  $F$  is a coupling (with respect to  $A$  and  $B$ ).

Now we show that  $F$  is a  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contraction type coupling.

For any  $x, v \in A$  and  $y, u \in B$ , we have four cases

Case(i) when  $x = v = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $y = u = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , then

$$d(x, u) = d(y, v) = 0 \Rightarrow \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\} = 0 \quad (43)$$

Also from (41)

$$F(x, y) = F(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad F(u, v) = F(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) = 0 \quad (44)$$

Thus from (42), (43) and (44), we have

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) = \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}). \quad (45)$$

Thus we are done in case(i).

Case(ii) when  $x = v = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $y = u = \pi$ , then

$$d(x, u) = d(y, v) = \frac{\pi}{2} \Rightarrow \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\} = \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (46)$$

Also using (41), we have

$$F(x, y) = F\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad F(u, v) = F\left(\pi, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (47)$$

From (42), (46) and (47), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) &= \psi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^4 - \pi \\ &> 0 \\ &= \psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))). \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) < \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}).$$

Which proved case(ii).

Case(iii) when  $x = v = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $y = \pi, u = \frac{\pi}{2}$  then



$$d(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, v) = \frac{\pi}{2} \Rightarrow \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\} = \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (48)$$

Also using (41), we have

$$F(x, y) = F\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad F(u, v) = F\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = d\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (49)$$

From (42), (48) and (49), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) &= \psi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^4 - \pi \\ &> 0 \\ &= \psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))). \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\psi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v))) < \psi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) - \phi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}).$$

Which proved case(iii).

Similarly we can prove case(iv) when when  $x = v = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $y = \frac{\pi}{2}, u = \pi$ .

Hence all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, thus  $F$  has a unique strong coupled fixed point in  $A \cap B$ . From above we can easily see that  $A \cap B = \{\frac{\pi}{2}\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , thus  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  is the unique strong coupled fixed point of  $F$  in  $A \cap B$ .

## References

- [1] V. Berinde, *Generalized coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlin. Anal. **74**(2011), 7347-7355.
- [2] N. Bilgili, I. M. Erham, E. Karapinar, D. Turkoglu, *A note on coupled fixed point theorems for mixed g-monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2014**(2014):120, 6 pages.
- [3] B.S. Choudhury, P. Maity, *Cyclic coupled fixed point result using Kannan type contractions*, Journal Of Operators. **2014**(2014), Article ID 876749, 5 pages.
- [4] B.S. Choudhury, P. Maity, P. Konar, *Fixed point results for couplings on metric spaces*, U. P. B. Sci. Bull., series A, **79**(1)(2017). 1-12.
- [5] T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlin. Anal. **65**(2006), 1379-1393.

- [6] W.A. Kirk, P.S. Srinivasan and P. Veeramani, *Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions*, Fixed Point Theory. 4(2003), 78-89.
- [7] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 30(1984), 1-9.
- [8] V. Lakshmikantham, L. Ćirić, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 70(2009), 4341-4349.
- [9] NV. Luong, X. Thuan, *Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces depended on another function*, Bull. Math. Anal. 3(2011), 129-140.
- [10] Mujahid Abbas, Bashir Ali, Yusuf I.Suleiman, *Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Application, (2015) 2015:64, 24 pages.
- [11] Wasfi Shatanawi, Mujahid Abbas, Hassen Aydi, Nedat Tahat, *Common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed points in G-metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. and Application, 2012(2012), Article ID jnaa-00162, 16 pages.