

# FORA TYPE EXTENSIONS OF SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Sanjay Kumar Gupta

Department of Mathematics, Rustamji Institute of Technology, Tekanpur, Gwalior (India)

## ABSTRACT

Singal and Lal generalized Nadler's result. Lal and Gupta generalized Fora's result by the setting of Rhoades. In this paper we further generalize some results of Fora by larger class of spaces and for a larger class of mappings.

**Keywords:** Product Space, uniform space, continuous mapping, fixed point property, complete metric space. Locally contraction in the first variable.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Let us recall the result of Fora [4]

### 1.1 Theorem

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $Z$  be a topological space with the fixed point property (f. p. p) and let  $f$  be a continuous function from  $X \times Z$  into  $X \times Z$ . If  $f$  is locally contraction mapping in the first variable, then  $f$  has a fixed point.

In what follows  $X$  will denote a complete Hausdorff uniform space,  $Z$  a topological space which has the f.p.p. and  $f$  is a mapping from  $X \times Z$  into  $X \times Z$ .  $\pi_1$  is the projection of  $X \times Z$  on  $X$  along  $Z$ .  $(m)$  for  $1 \leq m \leq 125$  will denote the condition  $(m)$  in Rhoades [7].

Following this we say that  $f \in (m)$  locally in the first variable means if for any  $z \in Z$ , there exists an open set  $V(z)$  of  $Z$  containing  $z$  and a real number  $\lambda(z) \in [0,1)$  such that

$d(\pi_1 f(x_1, u), \pi_1 f(x_2, u)) \leq \lambda(z) d(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and all  $u \in V(z)$ , the mapping  $f_u: X \rightarrow X$  satisfies  $(m)$ , where  $f_u(x) = \pi_1 f(x, u)$ . Here as earlier when  $f_u$  satisfies  $(m)$ , the constants or the functions that appear in condition  $(m)$  depend upon  $z \in Z$ .

## II. MAIN RESULTS

### 2.1 Theorem

Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a complete Hausdorff uniform space,  $Z$  any topological space with the f. p. p. and  $f: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$  be a continuous mapping when  $X$  is assigned the topology generated by any pseudometric  $\rho$  which is uniformly continuous with respect to the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $f$  satisfies condition (23) in the first variable locally, then  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Proof :** Let  $\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be the collection of all uniformly continuous pseudometrics on  $X$ .

Let  $x_0 \in X$  be fixed and for any  $z \in Z$ , we construct a sequence  $t_n(z) = t_n$  in  $X$  as follows:

$$t_0 = x_0, t_n = \pi_1 f(t_{n-1}, z); n \geq 1$$

### Step-I: $\{t_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$

since  $f \in (23)$  locally in the first variable, i.e, for each  $z \in Z$ , there exists an open set  $V(z)$  of  $Z$  containing  $z$  and monotonically decreasing functions  $\alpha_i: (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  (depend upon  $z$ ) satisfying  $\sum \alpha_i(t) < 1$  ( $i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ) such that for each  $x, x^* \in X, x \neq x^*$  and all  $u \in V(z)$ , if we put  $x = t_{n-1}, x^* = t_n$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a(\pi_1 f(x, u), \pi_1 f(x^*, u)) &\leq \alpha_1 \cdot \rho_a(x, (\pi_1 f(x, u))) + \alpha_2 \cdot \rho_a(x^*, \pi_1 f(x^*, u)) + \alpha_3 \cdot \rho_a(x, \pi_1 f(x^*, u)) \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 \cdot \rho_a(x^*, (\pi_1 f(x, u))) + \alpha_5 \cdot \rho_a(x, x^*) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Where, for brevity, we let  $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(\rho_a(x, x^*))$ .

Above equation (1) implies (taking  $x = t_{n-1}, x^* = t_n$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a(\pi_1 f(t_{n-1}, z), \pi_1 f(t_n, z)) &\leq \alpha_1 \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, \pi_1 f(t_{n-1}, z)) + \alpha_2 \cdot \rho_a(t_n, \pi_1 f(t_n, z)) + \alpha_3 \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, \pi_1 f(t_n, z)) \\ &\quad + \alpha_4 \cdot \rho_a(t_n, \pi_1 f(t_{n-1}, z)) + \alpha_5 \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n). \\ \text{or } \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha_1 \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) + \alpha_2 \cdot \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) + \alpha_3 \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_{n+1}) + \alpha_5 \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Using symmetry in  $f \in (23)$  locally in the first variable we have

$$\rho_a(t_{n+1}, t_n) \leq \alpha_1 \cdot \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) + \alpha_2 \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) + \alpha_4 \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_{n+1}) + \alpha_5 \cdot \rho_a(t_n, t_{n-1}) \quad (3)$$

Adding equation (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) &\leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_5) \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cdot \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_5) \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cdot \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \cdot [\rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) + \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1})] \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5) \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4) \cdot \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) \\ \text{or } \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) &\leq \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5}{2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4} \right) \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) \\ &\leq \lambda_a \cdot \rho_a(t_{n-1}, t_n) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{where } \lambda_a = \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5}{2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4} \right) < 1.$$

By induction we obtain

$$\rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq \lambda_a^n \cdot \rho_a(t_0, t_1)$$

Using the triangle inequality, we find for  $m > n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a(t_n, t_m) &\leq \rho_a(t_n, t_{n+1}) + \rho_a(t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}) + \dots + \rho_a(t_{m-1}, t_m) \\ &\leq (\lambda_a^n + \lambda_a^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda_a^{m-1}) \cdot \rho_a(t_0, t_1) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_a^n (1 - \lambda_a^{m-n})}{(1 - \lambda_a)} \rho_a(t_0, t_1) \\ &< \frac{\lambda_a^n}{(1 - \lambda_a)} \rho_a(t_0, t_1) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_a^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then above inequality shows that  $\{t_n\}$  is a  $\rho_a$ -Cauchy Sequence in  $X$  (i.e a Cauchy sequence in  $\rho_a$ -topology).

Let  $B = \{S_p : p \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $S_p = \{t_n : n \geq p\}$  be a Cauchy filter base in  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ . To see this we first note that the family  $\{H(\alpha, \epsilon) : \alpha \in I, \epsilon > 0\}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{U}$  as  $A^*(\mathcal{U}) = \{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  an augmented associated family for  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$ . Now let  $H \in \mathcal{U}$  be an arbitrary entourage. Then there exist a  $v \in I$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $H(v, \epsilon) \subset H$ . Now since  $\{t_n\}$  is a  $\rho_\alpha$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , there exists a positive integer  $p$  such that  $\rho_v(t_n, t_m) < \epsilon$  for all  $m \geq p, n \geq p$ . This implies that  $S_p \times S_p \subset H(v, \epsilon)$ . Thus given any  $H \in \mathcal{U}$  we can find a  $S_p \in B$  such that  $S_p \times S_p \subset H$ . Hence  $B$  is a Cauchy filter in  $(X, \mathcal{U})$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is complete and Hausdorff, the Cauchy filter  $B = \{S_p\}$  converges to a point say  $t_z$  in  $X$ .

Let mapping  $g: Z \rightarrow Z$  defined as  $g(z) = \pi_2 f(t_z, z)$  where  $\pi_2$  is the projection of  $X \times Z$  on  $Z$  along  $X$ .

**Step II :  $g : Z \rightarrow Z$  is continuous.**

Let  $z \in Z$  and  $U$  be an open set containing  $g(z)$ . Then  $f(t_z, z) \in X \times U$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $(t_z, z)$  when  $X$  is assigned the topology  $\tau(\rho)$  in which  $\rho \in A^*(\square)$  implies  $\rho = \rho_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in I$ , there exists an open set  $G \subset Z$  and a real number  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$(t_z, z) \in S(t_z, \epsilon, \rho) \times G \text{ and } f\{S(t_z, \epsilon, \rho)\} \times G \subset X \times U$$

Since  $f \in (23)$  locally contraction in the first variable, therefore there exists an open set  $W$  in  $Z$  containing  $z$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\rho(\pi_1 f(x, v), \pi_1 f(x^*, v)) \leq \lambda \cdot \rho(x, x^*)$$

for all  $x, x^* \in X$  and all  $v \in W$ .

Since  $\lambda^m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we all choose  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$\lambda^n < \frac{\epsilon}{8} \left( \frac{1 - \lambda}{\rho(t_0, t_1) + (\epsilon/8)} \right) \text{ and } \rho(t_z, t_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{8} \text{ for all } m \geq n$$

Since  $f(t_n, z) \in X \times U$  and  $f$  is continuous at  $(t_n, z)$ , there exists a basic open set  $U_n \times V_n$  in  $X \times Z$  such that

$$(t_n, z) \in U_n \times V_n, U_n \subset S\left(\frac{\epsilon}{8}, t_z, \rho\right), V_n \subset G \cap W \text{ and } f(U_n \times V_n) \subset X \times U.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous at  $(t_{n-1}, z)$  and  $f(t_{n-1}, z) \in U_n \times Z$ , there exists a basic open set  $U_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}$  in  $X \times Z$  such that

$$(t_{n-1}, z) \in U_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}, U_{n-1} \subset S\left(\frac{\epsilon}{8}, t_{n-1}, \rho\right), V_{n-1} \subset V_n \text{ and } f(U_{n-1} \times V_{n-1}) \subset U_n \times Z.$$

Continuing this way we construct sets  $U_n, U_{n-1}, \dots, U_0, V_n, V_{n-1}, \dots, V_0$  such that, for  $0 \leq i \leq (n-1)$

$$(t_i, z) \in U_i \times V_i, U_i \subset S\left(\frac{\epsilon}{8}, t_i, \rho\right), V_i \subset V_{i+1} \text{ and } f(U_i \times V_i) \subset U_{i+1} \times Z.$$

**It remains to show that  $g(V_0) \subset U$  :**

Let  $y \in V_0$ . Then from the above mention properties we have  $(t'_0, y) \in U_0 \times V_0$ ,

Where  $t'_0 = x_0$ . Thus  $f(t'_0, y) \in U_1 \times Z$  ie.  $t'_1 = \pi_1 f(t'_0, y) \in U_1$ ,

consequently  $\rho(t'_1, t_1) < \frac{\epsilon}{8}$ .

Using the triangular inequality we have

$$\rho(t'_0, t'_1) = \rho(t_0, t'_1) \leq \rho(t_0, t_1) + \rho(t_1, t'_1) < \rho(t_0, t_1) + \frac{\epsilon}{8}.$$

Since  $f(U_1 \times V_1) \subset U_2 \times Z$  and  $(t'_1, y) \in U_1 \times V_1$  therefore  $f(t'_1, y) \in U_2 \times Z$

ie  $t'_2 = \pi_1 f(t'_1, y) \in U_2$ .

In this way we find the sequence  $t'_n (y) = t'_n$ , for which  $t'_i = \pi_1 f(t'_{i-1}, y) \in U_i$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Moreover,  $t'_n \in U_n$  and  $U_n \subset S(\frac{\epsilon}{8}, t_z, \rho)$ , therefore  $\rho(t'_n, t_z) < \frac{\epsilon}{8}$ .

Using the triangle inequality we find, for  $m \geq n$ .

$$\rho(t'_n, t_z) \leq \rho(t_z, t'_n) + \rho(t'_n, t'_{n+1}) + \dots + \rho(t'_{m-1}, t'_m)$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{8} + \lambda^n \cdot \rho(t'_0, t'_1) + \dots + \lambda^{m-1} \rho(t'_0, t'_1)$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \rho(t'_0, t'_1) + \frac{\epsilon}{8}$$

$$< \left( \frac{\lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} \right) \cdot \left[ \rho(t'_0, t'_1) + \frac{\epsilon}{8} \right] + \frac{\epsilon}{8}$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{8} + \frac{\epsilon}{8} = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$

If  $t_y = \lim t'_n$ , then the above inequality shows that  $\rho(t_y, t_z) \leq \epsilon/4$ . Therefore  $(t_y, y) \in S(\epsilon, t_z, \rho)$  and consequently  $f(t_y, y) \in X \times U$ , ie,  $g(y) = \pi_1 f(t_y, y) \in U$ . Therefore, our claim is proved and hence  $g$  is continuous.

### Step –III : $\pi_1 f(t_z, z) = t_z$

If possible, let  $u = \pi_1 f(t_z, z) \neq t_z$ . Since the uniform space  $X$  is Hausdorff, there exists a pseudometric  $\rho$  on  $X$  such that  $\rho(u, t_z) = \epsilon > 0$ .

Since  $f$  is continuous on  $X \times Z$  and  $X$  is assigned the topology  $\tau(\rho)$ , we have open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that

$$(t_z, z) \in U \times V, U \subset S(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, t_z, \rho) \text{ and } f(U \times V) \subset S(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, u, \rho) \times Z.$$

Since  $\lim t_n = t_z$ , there is a natural number  $k \geq 1$  such that  $t_n \in U$  for all  $n \geq k$ . Therefore

$$f(t_k, z) \in S(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, u, \rho) \times Z, \text{ ie } t_{k+1} = \pi_1 f(t_k, z) \in S(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, u, \rho).$$

Also  $t_{k+1} \in U \subset S(\frac{\epsilon}{4}, t_z, \rho)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\rho(t_z, u) = \epsilon$ . Therefore our assumption is false and consequently we have the required conclusion.

Now as in step II of the theorem 2.1,  $g: Z \rightarrow Z$  is continuous mapping. Since  $Z$  has the fixed point property, therefore there exist  $z_0 \in Z$  such that  $g(z_0) = z_0$ . As in step-III above we have  $\pi_1 f(t_{z_0}, z_0) = t_{z_0}$ . But  $z_0 = g(z_0) = \pi_2 f(t_{z_0}, z_0)$ .

Hence  $f(t_{z_0}, z_0) = (t_{z_0}, z_0)$  ie,  $(t_{z_0}, z_0)$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . This completes the proof.

Now we formulate following results of [9]:

## 2.2 Theorem

Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a complete Hausdorff uniform space,  $Z$  any topological space with the f.p.p. and  $f: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$  be a continuous mapping when  $X$  is assigned the topology generated by any pseudometric  $\rho$  which is uniformly continuous with respect to the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $f$  satisfies condition (22) locally in the first variable and for some  $x_0 \in X$ , for each  $z \in Z$  the sequence  $t_n(z) = t_n = \pi_1 f(t_{n-1}, z)$ ;  $(n \geq 1)$ , has a cluster point, then  $f$  has a fixed point.

## 2.3 Theorem

Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be a complete Hausdorff uniform space,  $Z$  any topological space with the f.p.p. and  $f: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$  be a continuous mapping when  $X$  is assigned the topology generated by pseudometric  $\rho$  which is uniformly continuous with respect to the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $f$  satisfies condition (24) locally in the first variable, then  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Proofs** are by the help of theorem 2.1 and [9].

## III. CONCLUSION

(i) Rhoades [7] proved that conditions (1), (2), (4), (7), (8), (11), (15) and (18) are all stronger than (23), therefore the above theorem 2.1 has eight corollaries corresponding to these eight conditions

(ii) In view of Theorem 1, of Rhoades [7], the Theorem 2.2 above has as many as eleven corollaries corresponding to conditions (1), (4), (5), (6), (7), (9), (11), (18), (19), (20), and (21). The corollaries corresponding to conditions (1), (4), (7), (11), and (18) are also corollaries to theorem 2.1, which are mentioned above, therefore remaining corollaries are corresponding to (5), (6), (9), (19), (20), and (21).

(iii) In view of Theorem 1, of Rhoades [7], our theorem 2.3 above has twelve corollaries corresponding to conditions (1), (4), (5), (7), (9), (11), (12), (14), (16), (18), (19), (20), and (21). Out of these only three are new corollaries corresponding to conditions (12), (14), and (16).

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bredon, some examples of fixed point property, *Pacific J. Math.* 38 (1971), 571- 573.
- [2] R. F. Brown, On some old problems of fixed point theory, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 4 (1974), 3-14.



- [3] E. R. Fadell, Recent results in fixed point theory of Continuous maps, *Bull. Amer. Math.Soc.*76 (1970), 10-29.
- [4] Ali. A. For a, A fixed point theorem for product spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* vol. 99, No.2 (1982), 327-335.
- [5] S.Y. Husseni, The products of manifolds with the f.p.p. need not have the f.p.p., *Amer. J. Math* 99 (1977), 919- 931.
- [6] S. B. Nadler jr, Sequence of contractions and fixed point, *Pacific J. Math* 27 (1968), 579-585.
- [7] B.E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer Math. Soc.* 226 (1977), 257-290.
- [8] M.K. Singal & Sunder Lal, A note on fixed point theorems for product spaces', *the MSRI – Korea Publications, Number 1*, (1986), 103-107.
- [9] Sunder Lal and Sanjay Kumar Gupta, For a type fixed point theorems on product of a metric space and a topological space", *The Mathematics Education, Vol. XXXIX (3)* (2005), 175-181.