

Post harvest loss of fruits and vegetables and their management by biological approaches: A review article

Shummu Slathia

Department of Botany, University of Jammu, Jammu, India

India is the second largest producer of vegetables in the world next only to China with an estimated production of about 125.9 million tonnes from an area of 7.8 million hectares at an average yield of 16.1 tonnes per hectare. In other terms, India shares about 13.6% of the world output of vegetables from about 2.0% of cropped area in the country (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 2011). Fruits and vegetables in the course of storage or transportation, may serve as a suitable substrate for the development of micro-organisms, may rot and become unfit for marketing (Pelczar *et al.*, 1993; Bukar *et al.*, 2009). The microbial attack during storage and transit is one of the main causes for the deterioration of the fresh produce and therefore, can become a limiting factor in prolonging the shelf life of the harvested fruits and vegetables. Both bacteria and fungi are responsible for post-harvest losses of vegetables, but damages inflicted by filamentous moulds are more substantial due to low pH substrate tolerance (below pH 5), which favours fungal proliferation but inhibits bacterial growth (Burchill and Maude, 1986; Sharma *et al.*, 2009).

A number of viable fungal propagules in the atmosphere and at potential infection sites influence the incidence of post harvest rotting when the fruit is at the ripening stage (Meredith, 1961; Sulai and Khan, 1980; Barkai-Golan, 1981). These decays of fruits and vegetables account for significant levels of post-harvest losses.

Realizing the importance of fruits and vegetables, several pathologists have engaged themselves in studying the post harvest rots of fruits and vegetables including cucumber (Liao and Wells, 1986, 1987), chilli (Muthukumar *et al.*, 2010; Bagri *et al.*, 2011; Sudha *et al.*, 2013), potato (Amienyo and Ataga, 2007; Uppal *et al.*, 2008), onion (Liao and Wells, 1987), brinjal (Joseph *et al.*, 2008; Ghadsingh and Mandge, 2012), turnip (Fatima *et al.*, 2009), mango (Regnier *et al.*, 2008; Abd-Alla and Haggag, 2013), apples and pears (Mari *et al.*, 2003; Calvo and Sozzi, 2009; Maxin *et al.*, 2012), citrus (Fatima *et al.*, 2009; Smilanick, 2011) and tomatoes (Ruelas *et al.*, 2006; Feng and Zheng, 2007; Chuku *et al.*, 2008).

Fungal rots caused by fungi are common post harvest diseases of tomatoes. These pathogens are not only responsible for heavy losses during marketing but also cause considerable changes in quality of tomato fruits. A number of fungal species are known to cause rot in tomatoes but decay of tomatoes are mainly caused by saprophytic pathogen, *A. alternata* (Feng and Zheng, 2007). *A. alternata* occurs in a wide variety of fruits and vegetables under diverse conditions, handling and storage and known to proliferate in tomato fruits affected by sun scald, chilling injury, blossom end rot, faulty blossom scars and growth cracks (Feng and Zheng 2007).



The increasing demand by consumers for minimally processed fruits/vegetables necessitates extending the shelf life of these products and is of particular interest to researchers. International agencies that monitor world food resources have acknowledged that one of the most feasible options for meeting future food needs is the reduction of the post-harvest fungal spoilage that may arise during harvesting, handling, transportation and storage (Eckert and Ogawa, 1988). In order to reduce the post harvest fruit losses, massive amount of fungicides are spent every year (Singh *et al.*, 1997; Tripathi and Shukla, 2007) but the public opinion demands a reduction in the use of synthetic chemicals due to the direct exposure to the treated commodities, carcinogenicity, teratogenicity, high and acute residual toxicity, long degradation period, phyto-toxicity and off odour effects, environmental pollution and other side effects on humans. Further, the effectiveness of the post harvest chemical treatment decreases with the appearance of resistant strains (Tripathi and Shukla, 2007; Tapwal *et al.*, 2011). All these concerns have stimulated the need to search some alternative sources for controlling post harvest rots of perishables (Tripathi and Shukla, 2007).

One of such alternatives is natural metabolites produced by plants (Shafique *et al.*, 2006). There are different active principles in plant like crude extracts, alkaloids, peptides and proteins which can be used as botanicals (Abad *et al.*, 2007). Thus, botanical fungitoxicants appear to be one of the better alternatives in plant disease management, as they are known to have minimal harmful impact on the environment and consumers in contrast to synthetic fungicides (Bishop and Thorntop, 1997; Verma and Dubey, 1999).

In view of the positive effect of botanicals in controlling post harvest diseases several researchers have employed them to control various post harvest diseases of chillies (Muthukumar *et al.*, 2010; Bagri *et al.*, 2011; Sudha *et al.*, 2013), groundnut (Kishore *et al.*, 2007; Sahayaraj *et al.*, 2009), mango (Regnier *et al.*, 2008; Tripathi *et al.*, 2008; Abd-Alla and Haggag, 2013), citrus (Singh *et al.*, 2011), potatoes (Amienyo and Ataga, 2007; Uppal *et al.*, 2008; Amadioha, 2012), tomatoes (Hadizadeh *et al.*, 2009; Taskeen-Un-Nisa *et al.*, 2011).

A number of researchers all over the world have used different plant extracts to control various post harvest rots of tomatoes caused by various fungal pathogens. Hadizadeh *et al.* (2009) tested essential oil of *Urtica dioica* (nettle) and *Thymus vulgaris* (thyme) against *A. alternata* causing rot in tomato fruits *in vitro* as well as *in vivo*. Both the nettle and the thyme oils exhibited antifungal activity against *A. alternata in vitro* in terms of spore germination and germ tube elongation. Moreover, their results showed that application of EOs significantly reduced the rot not only in artificially inoculated and wounded fruits but also in naturally infected fruits. Similarly, the inhibitory effects of thyme, sage, nutmeg, eucalyptus and cassia were against *A. alternata* isolated from tomato fruits. Out of all, cassia and thyme both exhibited best antifungal activity against *A. alternata*. Moreover, spore germination and germ tube elongation of the pathogen was also strongly inhibited. The experiments on reducing natural rot development of tomatoes gave similar results (Feng and Zheng, 2007). This reduction in rot development by use of plant products can be co-related with the presence of various phenols that interacted with the food matrix.

Alcoholic and aqueous extracts of bark, root and leaves of the neem plant (*A. indica*) were used against *Fusarium solani* isolated from tomato fruits both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The extract of different parts, especially the bark of the plant, gave the highest growth inhibition of the fungus in culture and in checking rot development prior to the pathogen inoculation in the tomato fruits (Amadioha and Uchendu, 2003). Similarly, Amadioha, (2012) tested the efficacy of aqueous extracts of *Ocimum gratissimum* and *Cymbopogon citratus* in controlling the storage rot of tomato fruits by *F. solani* both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These plant materials inhibited the radial growth of the fungus to varying degrees and was linearly proportional with the concentration of extract used. *In vivo* the extracts were more effective when used as protectant, before infection by the pathogen than as curative, when the disease symptom appeared.

Similarly, *Alchornea cordifolia*, *Cassia alata*, *Moringa oleifera*, *Azadirachta indica* and *Vernonia amygdalina* were tested for their abilities to control tomato fruit rot pathogens *in vitro* and on infected fruits. The mycelial growth was inhibited by both aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *Fusarium verticillioides* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Enikuomehin and Oyediji, 2010). All the plant extracts were also effective in reducing rot incidence of tomato fruits by the pathogens. Suleiman (2010) tested the *in vitro* fungitoxic activity of crude extracts of *Zingiber officinale*, *Garcinia cola*, *Aloe vera* and *A. indica* on *Pythium aphanidermatum* and neem and tobacco extracts against *Penicillium digitatum* and *Rhizopus* sp. isolated from *S. lycopersicum* and found all the plant extracts were effective in decreasing the disease incidence. Recently, Tijjani *et al.* (2014) tried the efficacy of aqueous extract of moringa, lantana, garlic and papaya each with two varying concentrations (40 and 60g/l) against *Aspergillus flavus* causing rot of tomato fruits. The results showed a promising antifungal activity of the crude extracts of these plants against *A. flavus*. Among the various plant extracts with varying concentrations, aqueous extracts of papaya leaves was found to have more significant inhibitory effect on radial growth of *A. flavus* both *in vitro* and *in vivo* better than other extracts.

Antifungal effects of neem seed kernel extract on the post harvest, pathogens, *Monilinia fructicola*, *Penicillium expansum*, *Trichothecium roseum* and *A. alternata* isolated from the infected plum and pear fruits showed significant reduction of pathogens (Wang *et al.*, 2010). Singh *et al.* (2012) confirmed the efficacy of *Capsicum frutescens* and *Zingiber officinale* against *Penicillium digitatum*, *Aspergillus niger* and *Fusarium* species isolated from naturally infected citrus fruits. They found that extracts of *C. frutescens* showed 100% inhibition of colony diameter.

From Jammu and Kashmir, very few reports are available on the use of botanicals for controlling post harvest diseases. Singh and Sumbali (2007) used aqueous leaf extract of *Adiantum capillus-veneris*, *Thuja orientalis*, *Eucalyptus globulus* and *A. indica* for the management of fruit rot of apples caused by *Penicillium expansum*. Goswami and Sumbali (2010) reported the efficacy of rhizome extract of *Z. officinale* and *Curcuma longa* and bulb extract of *Allium sativum* and *A. cepa* for effective management of amla caused by *Penicillium funiculosum*.

Among the replete of bio-control approaches, the use of microbial antagonists is quite promising and gaining popularity (Zhang *et al.*, 2005; Sharma *et al.*, 2009). The antagonists micro-organisms that are naturally present on the surfaces of fruits and vegetables, which when after isolation are used for the control of post harvest



diseases are called naturally occurring antagonists (Janisiewicz, 1987; Sobiczewski *et al.*, 1996). Droby *et al.* (2009) has well documented the commercial antagonistic micro-organisms available in the global market for the post harvest control of decay in fruits and vegetables. The antagonists are sprayed directly onto the surfaces or are applied by dipping (Barkai-Golan, 2001; Sharma *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, from the available literature, it appears that post harvest application of microbial antagonists is a better, practical and useful method for controlling post harvest diseases of fruits and vegetables (Barkai-Golan, 2001; Irtwange, 2006).

The mechanism under which antagonistic micro-organisms control pathogens in fruits and vegetables is still been researched. Over the years, seven main modes through which the antagonistic microbes fight the disease caused by the fungi have been reported (Sharma *et al.*, 2009). The bio-control mechanisms are achieved through space and nutrient competition, production of antibiotics, attachment, population of the microbial antagonists, direct parasitism and induced resistance. In case of space, the microbial antagonists grow more rapidly and survive under favourable conditions than the disease causing pathogen (Zhang *et al.*, 2008, 2010; Fatima *et al.*, 2015).

In India, several workers recommended the use of antagonists to reduce the growth of fungal pathogens. Kaur *et al.* (2006) used four species of *Trichoderma* viz., *T. harzianum*, *T. viride*, *T. viride* E and *T. virens* against *Colletotrichum capsici* causing rot in *Capsicum annum*. All four antagonists caused significant inhibition of mycelial growth of the test fungus. However, maximum inhibition was obtained with *T. viride* whereas it was least with *T. harzianum*. All the bioagents also had negative effect on spores germination of the pathogen, with *T. viride* being most effective whereas it was least in the culture filtrates of *T. virens*. Mandhare *et al.* (2008) used *Trichoderma* species against *Alternaria* sp. and found it to significantly reduce the mycelial growth. Similarly, Pandey (2010) tested antagonistic behaviour of *Trichoderma* viz. *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* against *A. alternata*, the pathogen of *Capsicum frutescens*. Results indicated that *T. harzianum* reduced the growth of *A. alternata* by 67.07% followed by *T. viride* causing a reduction of 66.67% as compared to control. Akrami *et al.* (2009) and Khan *et al.* (2014) used *Trichoderma* species to *Fusarium* species isolated from bean and chickpea. Antagonistic potentials of five *Trichoderma* species viz., *T. viride*, *T. harzianum*, *T. koningii*, *T. pseudokoningii* and *T. virens* were tested against some fruit rot pathogens of sapodilla. Percent mycelial inhibition of *T. koningii* (57.70%) and *T. harzianum* (54.4%) proved to be more than 50% antagonistic over control in *A. niger* (Bhale *et al.*, 2013).

From Jammu and Kashmir, there are very few reports on the use of antagonists. Singh and Sumbali (2008) used four *Bacillus subtilis* strains against *P. expansum*, the causal organism of blue rot of apples. Among these, antagonists *B. subtilis* (AG-12) showed maximum inhibition of *P. expansum*.

Brassinosteroids (BRs) have recently been recognized as a new class of phytohormones occurring ubiquitously in the plant kingdom (Clouse and Sasse, 1998). They are growth promoting substances found at low concentration in pollen, seeds and young vegetative tissues in gymnosperms, monocotyledons, dicotyledons and in algae (Sasse, 2003). The endogenous level of BRs varies from tissue to tissue and with the age of the plant (Khripach *et al.*, 2000). BRs are essential for normal plant development and regulate a range of physiological

processes, such as stem elongation, root growth, vascular differentiation, leaf epinasty and reproductive development (Sasse, 2003).

Extensive research has been done on use of BRs to combat a number of abiotic stresses (Ali *et al.*, 2008; Choudhary *et al.*, 2010; Slathia *et al.*, 2012) but there are a few research papers available all over the world on the use of BRs in controlling various pathogens causing diseases in plants. Treatment of potato plants or tubers after harvesting led to a prolongation of the period of deep dormancy of tubers and to enhancement of their resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* infection (Vasyukova *et al.*, 1994). Field application of 24-epibrassinolide ($5\text{--}15\text{ mg ha}^{-1}$) to barley plants significantly decreased the extent of leaf disease induced by mixed fungal infection, along with an increase in crop yield (Pshenichnaya *et al.*, 1997). Roth *et al.* (2000) found that treatment with low concentrations of a BR-containing extract of *Lychnis viscaria* L. seeds resulted in enhanced resistance of tobacco, cucumber and tomato to viral or fungal pathogens (tobacco mosaic virus, *Sphaerotheca fuliginea* and *Botrytis cinerea*). Zhu *et al.* (2010) used epibrassinolide to control rot in jujube fruits caused by *P. expansum*. No such other reports of its application in combating post harvest diseases of other fruits-vegetables are available.

The mitigation of pathogenic stress in fruits and vegetables by non-chemical methods might entails a myriad of antioxidant enzymes as well as non-enzymatic antioxidants that interact with one another to resist the effect of invading pathogen. It is, therefore, recommended that this non-chemical, eco-friendly integrated module of plant extracts, fungal antagonists and brassinosteroids be used for effective management fruit and vegetable rots.

REFERENCES

- [1.] Abad MJ, Ansuategui M and Bermejo P (2007). Active antifungal substances from natural sources. **Archive for Organic Chemistry 7: 116-145.**
- [2.] Abd-Alla MA and Haggag WM (2013). Use of some plant essential oils as postharvest botanical fungicides in the management of *Anthraco* disease of mango fruits (*Mangifera indica* L.) caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (Penz). **International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry 3: 1-6.**
- [3.] Akrami A, Ibrahimov AS, Zafari DM and Valizadeh E (2009). Control of *Fusarium* rot of bean by combination of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma asperellum* in greenhouse condition. **Agriculture Journal 4: 121-123.**
- [4.] Ali B, Hasan SA, Hayat S, Hayat Q, Yadav S, Fariduddin Q and Ahmad A (2008). A role for brassinosteroids in the amelioration of aluminium stress through antioxidant system in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). **Environmental and Experimental Botany 62: 153-159.**
- [5.] Amadioha AC (2003). Evaluation of some plant leaf extracts against *Colletotrichum linenu* in cowpea. **Acta Phytopathology and Entomology Hungarica 38: 259-265.**



- [6.] Amadioha AC and Uchendu PN (2003). Postharvest control of tomato fruit rot caused by *Fusarium solani* with extracts of *Azadirachta indica*. **Discovery Innovation 15: 83-86.**
- [7.] Amienyo CA and Ataga AE (2007). Use of indigenous plant extracts for the protection of mechanically injured sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* (L.) Lam) tubers. **Science Research Essay 2: 167-170.**
- [8.] Bagri RK, Yadav RK, Singh D, Choudhary SL and Jain KL (2011). Antifungal activity of partially purified plant products against fruit rot of chilli caused by *Aspergillus niger*. **Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology 41: 391-394.**
- [9.] Barkai-Golan R (2001). Postharvest diseases of fruits and vegetables: Development and Control. Elsevier Science Press, pp. 442.
- [10.] Barkai-Golan R (2001). Postharvest diseases of fruits and vegetables: Development and Control. Elsevier Science Press, pp. 442.
- [11.] Bhale UN, Wagh PM and Rajkonda JN (2013). Antagonistic confrontation of *Trichoderma* spp. against fruit rot pathogens on sapodilla (*Manilkara zapota* L.). **Journal of Yeast and Fungal Research 4: 5-11.**
- [12.] Bhardwaj RK, Sikka BK, Sharma ML, Singh A and Singh NK (2011). Sustainable agriculture for increasing efficiency of tomato - Value chain in Uttarakhand (India). **International Conference on Technology and Business Management, pp 15-26.**
- [13.] Bishop CD and Thornton IB (1997). Evaluation of the antifungal activity of the essential oils of *Monarda citriodora* var. *citriodora* and *Melaleuca alternifolia* on the postharvest pathogens. **Journal of Essential Oil and Research 9: 77-82.**
- [14.] Bukar A, Mukhtar MD and Adamu S (2009). Isolation and identification of postharvest spoilage fungi associated with sweet oranges (*Citrus sinensis*) traded in Kano Metropolis. **Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2: 122-124.**
- [15.] Burchill RT and Maude RB (1986). Microbial deterioration in stored fresh fruit and vegetables. **Outlook on Agriculture 15: 160-165.**
- [16.] Calvo G and Sozzi GO (2009). Effectiveness of 1-MCP treatment on 'Bartlett' pears as influenced by the cooling method and the binmaterial. **Postharvest Biology and Technology 51: 49-55.**
- [17.] Choudhary SP, Bhardwaj R, Gupta BD, Dutt P, Gupta RK, Biondi S and Kanwar M (2010). Epibrassinolide induces changes in indole-3-acetic acid, abscisic acid and polyamine concentrations and enhances antioxidant potential of radish seedlings under copper stress. **Physiologia Plantarum 140: 280-296.**
- [18.] Chuku EC, Ogbonna DN, Onuegbu BA and Adeleke MTV (2008). Comparative studies on the fungi and biochemical characteristics of snake gourd (*Trichosanthes curcumerina* Linn) and tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentus* Mill) in Rivers state, Nigeria. **Journal of Applied Sciences 8: 168-172.**
- [19.] Clouse SD and Sasse JM (1998). Brassinosteroids: essential regulators of plant growth and development. **Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 49: 427-451.**



- [20.] Droby S, Wisniewski M, Macarisinb D and Wilson C (2009). Twenty years of postharvest biocontrol research: Is it time for a new paradigm? **Postharvest Biology and Technology** 52: 137-145.
- [21.] Eckert JW and Ogawa JM (1988). The chemical control of postharvest diseases; deciduous, berries, vegetables and root/tuber crops. **Annual Review of Phytopathology** 26: 433-469.
- [22.] Enikuomelin OA and Oyediji EO (2010). Fungitoxic effects of some plant extracts against tomato fruit rot pathogens. **Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection** 43: 233-240.
- [23.] Fatima N, Batool H, Sultana V, Ara J and Ehteshamul-Haque S (2009). Prevalence of postharvest rot of vegetables and fruits in Karachi, Pakistan. **Pakistan Journal of Botany** 41: 3185-3190.
- [24.] Fatima, K, Noureddine, K, Henni, J and Mabrouk K. (2015). Antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* against *Phytophthora infestans* in the North-West of Algeria. **International of Agronomy and Agricultural Research** 6: 44-53.
- [25.] Feng W and Zheng XD (2007). Essential oils to control *Alternaria alternata* *in vitro* and *in vivo*. **Food Control** 18: 1126-1130.
- [26.] Ghadsingh PG and Mandge G (2012). Nutritional spoilage of tomato and brinjal fruits due to postharvest fungi. **Current Botany** 3: 10-12.
- [27.] Goswami N and Sumbali G (2010). Evaluation of plant bulb and rhizome extracts for management of *Penicillium* rot of *Phyllanthus emblica*. **Annals of Plant Protection Sciences** 18: 415-419.
- [28.] Hadizadeh I, Pivastegan B and Hamzehzarghani H (2009). Antifungal activity of essential oils from some medicinal plants of Iran against *Alternaria alternata*. **American Journal of Applied Science** 6: 857-861.
- [29.] Irtwange S (2006). Application of biological control agents in pre- and postharvest operations. **Agricultural Engineering International** 8: 1-12.
- [30.] Janisiewicz WJ (1987). Postharvest biological control of blue mold on apple. **Phytopathology** 77:481-485.
- [31.] Joseph B, Dar MA and Kumar V (2008). Bioefficacy of plant extracts to control *Fusarium solani* f. sp. melongenae incitant of brinjal Wilt. **Global Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry** 3: 56-59.
- [32.] Kaur M, Sharma OP and Sharma PN (2006). *In vitro* effect of *Trichoderma* species on *Colletotrichum capisci* causing fruit rot of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). **Indian Phytopathology** 59: 243-245.
- [33.] Khan MR, Ashraf S, Rasool F, Salati KM, Mohiddin FA and Haque Z (2014). Field performance of *Trichoderma* species against wilt disease complex of chickpea caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. ciceri and *Rhizoctonia solani*. **Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry** 38: 447-454.
- [34.] Khirpach V, Zhabinskii V and De Groot A (2000). Twenty years of brassinosteroids: steroidal plant hormones warrant better crops for the XXI century. **Annals of Botany** 86: 441-447.



- [35.] Kishore GK, Pande S and Harish S (2007). Evaluation of essential oils and their components for broad-spectrum antifungal activity and control of late leaf spot and crown rot diseases in peanut. **Plant Disease** 91: 375-379.
- [36.] Liao CH and Wells JM (1986). Properties of *Cytophaga johnsonae* strains causing spoilage of fresh produce at food markets. **Environment Microbiology** 52: 1261-1265.
- [37.] Liao CH and Wells JM (1987). Diversity of pectolytic, fluorescent pseudomonads causing soft rots of vegetables at produce markets. **Phytopathology** 77: 23-42.
- [38.] Mandare V, Suryawanshi A and Gawade S (2008). Studies on *Alternaria* blight of chickpea. **Agricultural Science Digest** 28: 222-24.
- [39.] Mari M, Bertolini P and Pratella GC (2003). Non-conventional methods for the control of postharvest pear diseases. **Journal of Applied Microbiology** 94: 761-766.
- [40.] Maxin P, Roland W, Weber S, Pedersen HL and Williams M (2012). Control of a wide range of storage rots in naturally infected apples by hot-water dipping and rinsing. **Postharvest Biology and Technology** 70: 25-31.
- [41.] Meredith DS (1961). *Botryodiplodia theobromae* and *Nigrospora* species in the air of a Jamaican banana plantation. **Nature** 196: 555-557.
- [42.] Muthukumar A, Eswaran A, Nakkeeran S and Sangeetha S (2010). Efficacy of plant based extracts and biocontrol agents against *Pythium aphanidermatum* inciting chilli damping off. **Crop protection** 29: 1483-1488.
- [43.] Pandey A (2010). Antagonism of two *Trichoderma* species against *Alternaria alternata* on *Capsicum frutescens*. **Journal of Experimental Sciences** 1: 18-19.
- [44.] Pelczar MJ, Chan ECS and Krieg NR (1993). Microbiology: concepts and applications, 1st edition. McGraw- Hill Inc., New York, USA. pp. 370.
- [45.] Pshenichnaya LA, Khripach VA, Volynets AP, Prokhorchik RA, Manzhelesova NE and Morozik GV (1997). Brassinosteroids and resistance of barley plants to leave diseases. In: **Problems of Experimental Botany, Belorussian Science, Minsk**, pp. 210-217.
- [46.] Regnier T, Duplooy W, Combrinck S and Botha B (2008). Fungitoxicity of *Lippia scaberrima* essential oil and selected terpenoid components on two mango postharvest spoilage pathogens. **Postharvest Biology and Technology** 48: 254-258.
- [47.] Roth U, Friebe A and Schnabl H (2000). Resistance induction in plants by a brassinosteroid-containing extract of *Lychnis Viscaria* L. Z. Naturfor. C-A. **Journal of Biosciences** 55: 552-559.
- [48.] Ruelas C, Tiznado-Hernandez ME, Sanchez-Estrada A, Robles-Burgueno MR and Troncoso-Rojas R (2006). Changes in phenolic acid content during *Alternaria alternata* infection in tomato fruit. **Journal Phytopathological** 154: 236-244.
- [49.] Sahayaraj K, Borgio JF and Raju G (2009). Antifungal activity of three fern extracts on causative agents of groundnut early leaf spot and rust diseases. **Journal Plant Protection** 49: 141-144.



- [50.] Sasse JM (2003). Physiological actions of brassinosteroids: an update. **Journal of Plant Growth Regulation** 22: 276-288.
- [51.] Shafique S, Bajwa R, Javaid A and Shafique S (2006). Antifungal activity of aqueous extracts of weeds against pathogen of black pointed disease of wheat seeds. **Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology** 18: 77-80.
- [52.] Sharma RR, Singh D and Singh R (2009). Biological control of postharvest diseases of fruits and vegetables by microbial antagonists: A review. **Biological Control** 50: 205-221.
- [53.] Sharma RR, Singh D and Singh R (2009). Biological control of postharvest diseases of fruits and vegetables by microbial antagonists: A review. **Biological Control** 50: 205-221.
- [54.] Singh H, Al-samarai G and Syarhabil M (2012). Exploitation of natural products as an alternative strategy to control postharvest fungal rotting of *Citrus*. **International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications** 2: 1-4.
- [55.] Singh YP and Sumbali G (2007). Efficacy of leaf extracts and essential oils of some plant species against *Penicillium expansum* rot of apples. **Annals of Plant Protection Science** 15: 135-139.
- [56.] Slathia S, Sharma A and Choudhary SP (2012). Influence of exogenously applied epibrassinolide and putrescine on protein content, antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation in *Lycopersicon esculentum* under salinity stress. **American Journal of Plant Sciences** 3: 714-720.
- [57.] Smilanick JL (2011). Integrated approaches to postharvest disease management in California citrus packing houses. **Acta Horticulturae** 905: 145-148.
- [58.] Sobiczewski P, Bryk H and Berczyński S (1996). Evaluation of epiphytic bacteria isolated from apple leaves in the control of postharvest apple diseases. **Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research** 4: 35-45.
- [59.] Sudha S, Naik MK and Ajithkumar K (2013). An integrated approach for the reduction of aflatoxin contamination in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). **Journal of Food Science and Technology** 50: 159-164.
- [60.] Sulai SB and Khan KR (1980). Aerospora of Bangalore city market and its relation to occurrence of market diseases. **Journal Advances in Pollen and Spore Research** 5: 157-159.
- [61.] Suleiman MN (2010). Occurrence of *Pythium aphanidermatum* on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp in Nigeria. **Journal of Applied Biosciences** 26: 1659-1663.
- [62.] Tapwal A, Garg NS, Gautam N Kumar R (2011). *In vitro* antifungal potency of plant extracts against five phytopathogens. **Brazilian Journal of Biology and Technology** 54: 1093-1098.
- [63.] Taskeen-Un- Nisa, Wani AH, Bhat MY, Pala SA and Mir RA (2011). *In vitro* inhibitory effect of fungicides and botanicals on mycelial growth and spore germination of *Fusarium oxysporum*. **Journal of Biopesticides** 4: 53-56.
- [64.] Tijjani A, Adebitan SA, Gurama AU, Aliyu M, Haruna, SG, Mohammad, GU and Musab I (2014). *Invitro* and *invivo* efficacy of some plant extracts for the control of tomato fruit rot caused by *Aspergillus flavus*. **International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications** 4.



- [65.] **Tripathi P and Shukla AK (2009).** Application of essential oils for postharvest control of stem end rot of mango fruits during storage. **International Journal of Postharvest Technology and Innovation 1: 405-415.**
- [66.] **Uppal AK, El Hadrami A, Adam LR, Tenuta M and Daayf F (2008).** Biological control of potato *Verticillium* wilt under controlled and field conditions using selected bacterial antagonists and plant extracts. **Biological Control 44: 90-100.**
- [67.] **Vasyukova NJ, Chalenko GI, Kaneva LM and Khripach VA (1994).** Brassinosteroids and potato blight. **Journal of Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology 30: 464-470.**
- [68.] **Verma J and Dubey NK (1999).** Prospectives of botanicals and microbial products as pesticides of tomorrow. **Current Science 76: 172-179.**
- [69.] **Wang Y, Ren X, Song X, Lu H, Wang P, Wang J and Zheng XD (2010).** Control of postharvest decay on cherry tomatoes by marine yeast *Rhodospiridium paludigenum* and calcium chloride. **Journal of Applied Microbiology 109: 651-656.**
- [70.] **Zhang D, Spadaro D, Garibaldi A and Gullino ML (2010).** Selection and evaluation of new antagonists for their efficacy against postharvest brown rot of peaches. **Postharvest Biology and Technology 55: 174-181.**
- [71.] **Zhang H, Wang L, Dong Y, Jiang S, Zhang H and Zheng X (2008).** Control of postharvest pear diseases using *Rhodotorula glutinis* and its effects on postharvest quality parameters. **International Journal of Food Microbiology 126: 167-171.**
- [72.] **Zhang HY, Zheng X and Xi YF (2005).** Biological control of postharvest blue mould of orange by *Cryptococcus laurentii* (Kufferath) Skinner. **Biocontrol 50: 331-342.**
- [73.] **Zhu Z, Zhang Z, Qina G and Tian S (2010).** Effects of brassinosteroids on postharvest disease and senescence of jujube fruit in storage. **Post Harvest Biology and Technology 56: 50-55.**