Volume No.06, Special Issue No.(02), December 2017 www.ijarse.com



# PARAMETRIC OPTIMIZATION OF WEDM PROCESS USING GENETIC ALGORITHM

## Amit Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, Tarun Soota<sup>1</sup>

 $^1$ Department of Mechanical Engineering, B.I.E.T Jhansi, India

#### **ABSTRACT**

Wire electric discharge machine (WEDM) is one of the non-traditional machining process. WEDM process is based on thermo electric energy between the workpiece and a wire electrode. The main aim of this research article is to optimize the cutting parameter of WEDM by optimizing the response material removal rate, surface roughness and kerf width. The cutting parameter are taken for this study include pulse on time, pulse off time, peak current, and wire feed rate. After the machining parameter are optimized using genetic algorithm. Face centered compositedesign has been used as the experimental strategy.

Keywords-WEDM, Genetic Algorithm, HSS M-2, MRR, SR, Kerf Width.

### **I INTRODUCTION**

WEDM, is a special form of electric discharge machining that uses a small diameter wire as the electrode to cut a narrow kerf in the work. The cutting action in wire EDM is achieved by thermal energy from electric discharges between the electrode wire and the workpiece. Wire EDM is illustrated in Figure 1. The workpiece is fed past the wire to achieve the desired cutting path, somewhat in the manner of a bandsawoperation[1].




Figure 1. Representation of WEDM process.

Literature survey shows that wire electric discharge machining (WEDM) process adds a major role in manufacturing sectors. An attempt has been made by Gupta et al.[2] to optimise the kerf width for HSLA steel on WEDM. Central composite design were used by them for conducting experiment using parameter gap voltage, pulse on time, pulse off time and peak current. Chalisgaonkar [3] employed Taguchi L27 orthogonal array for optimizing response surface roughness and cutting speed for pure titanium alloy. Mahapatra and

## Volume No.06, Special Issue No.(02), December 2017 www.ijarse.com

IJARSE ISSN: 2319-8354

patnaik[4] used genetic algorithm with L16 for optimizing the wedm parameter for D2 tool steel, machining with 0.25 mm zinc coated copper wire as electrode. Pasam et al.[5] applied genetic algorithm with regression analysis for optimizing the response surface roughness for titanium alloy. Kumar [6] researched the effect of wedm parameter of the surface integrity of die steel D7 namely surface finish, cutting speed, and material removal rate using L16 orthogonal array. Jaganathan et al.[7] investigated the set of operating condition for EN31 using L27 orthogonal array.

### II EXPERIMENTAL DETAIL

On the basis of above study parameters pulse on time  $(T_{on})$ , pulse off time  $(T_{off})$ , peak current (I), and wire feed rate  $(W_f)$  are selected for this work to optimize the material removal rate, surface roughness and kerf width using Genetic algorithm. The experimentation was planned under response surface methodology. Experiments were performed on a wire-cut EDM machine of model EZEECUT NXG manufactured by RATNAPARKHI. The HIGH SPEED M-2 grade material pieces of  $20\text{mm}\times30\text{mm}\times7.2\text{mm}$  was selected as workpiece (hardened to 60 HRC) from this specimens of 10mm.x10mmx7.2mm size are cut by molybdenum wire 0.18 mm diameter which act as an electrode. Dielectric water was used as dielectricthroughout the experiment.



Fig. 2: Schematic diagram of WEDM EZEECUT NXG

Table 1: Chemical Composition of the Material HSS-M2

Constituent	C	Mn	Si	S	P	Cr	V	Mo	W	Co	Cu
%Composition	0.92	0.23	0.18	0.016	0.018	3.85	2.19	4.80	6.40	0.90	0.18

The different levels of a factor consider for this study are depicted in table 2. The fixed process parameter are: voltage, wire material: molybdenum, work material: HSS M2 grade, dielectric fluid: deionised water, wire tension, dielectric pressure.

## Volume No.06, Special Issue No.(02), December 2017 www.ijarse.com

IJARSE ISSN: 2319-8354

Table 2:Process parameters and their levels

		Level				
Variable	Unit	-1	0	1		
Pulse on time Ton(A)	μs	20	30	40		
Pulse off time Toff(B)	μs	5	10	15		
Current IP (C)	A	1	2	3		
Wire Feed W <sub>f</sub> (D)	mm/s	30	40	50		

#### III MEASUREMENT OF RESPONSES

#### **3.1 MRR**

The rate of material removal from the work piece is known as material removal rate. It is measured in  $g/min.MRR = \frac{W_i - W_f}{t}$ ,  $w_i$ ,  $w_f$ , initial and final weight respectively and t is time period of machining.

#### 3.2 Surface finish

It shows the quality of machining. Its lower value is desirable as it assure the quality of the machined surface. It is measured in  $\mu$ m. It is measured by vecco optical profilometer.

#### 3.3 Kerf Width

It denote the amount of material get wasted during machining. It assure the accuracy of machining. It is measured in mm. It is measured by tool maker microscope.

#### IV RESULTANDOPTIMIZATION

On the basis of FCCD, 26 experiment has been conducted [8]. The different responses has been measured corresponding to different operating parametric condition given in the table below.

Table 3: Experimental results for four parameter in un-coded units

Run		Process p	arameter	Responses			
order	A	В	С	D		Surface	Kerf
	(Ton)	(Toff)	(IP)	(Wf)	MRR	Roughness	Width
	μs	μs	A	mm/s	g/min	μm	mm
1	40	15	3	30	0.0349	3.77	0.22
2	30	10	3	40	0.0314	4.48	0.22
3	40	15	3	50	0.0263	3.66	0.23
4	40	10	2	40	0.0357	4.85	0.25
5	20	5	3	50	0.0253	3.96	0.215
6	30	10	2	50	0.0268	4.23	0.2

## Volume No.06, Special Issue No.(02), December 2017 www.ijarse.com

7	40	15	1	30	0.0095	3.55	0.24
8	30	10	2	30	0.0383	4.5	0.225
9	30	5	2	40	0.0298	5.5	0.23
10	40	5	1	50	0.0163	5.82	0.225
11	20	5	1	50	0.019	4.19	0.19
12	20	15	3	30	0.0277	2.94	0.199
13	20	5	3	30	0.028	4.4	0.22
14	20	10	2	40	0.0276	3.04	0.19
15	20	15	3	50	0.0208	2.32	0.19
16	20	15	1	50	0.0088	2.61	0.19
17	30	10	2	40	0.0309	4.42	0.21
18	40	15	1	50	0.0141	3.3	0.21
19	40	5	3	30	0.0529	6.55	0.24
20	30	10	1	40	0.0201	4.35	0.205
21	30	10	2	40	0.0304	4.38	0.2
22	20	15	1	30	0.0073	2.78	0.195
23	40	5	3	50	0.0283	6.38	0.225
24	40	5	1	30	0.0101	5.9	0.24

### 4.1 Optimization using Genetic Algorithm.

30

20

Regression model for MRR, SR and Kerf Width are used as the objective functions in GA. The algorithm is implemented in MATLAB.

40

30

0.0278

0.0064

3.27

4.21

0.19

0.22

2

1

15

5

### 4.1.1 Optimization of MRR Using Genetic Algorithm

Objective to maximise the MRR

25

26

Objective function maximise (MRR) =  $0.03198 + 0.00318 \, A - 0.00216 \, B + 0.00911 \, C - 0.00163D - 0.00667 \, C*C - 0.00423 \, C*D (coded form)$ 

$$-1 \le A \le 1$$

$$-1 \le B \le 1$$
Subject to 
$$-1 \le C \le 1$$

$$-1 \le D \le 1$$

**IIARSE** 

ISSN: 2319-8354

## Volume No.06, Special Issue No.(02), December 2017 www.ijarse.com



At population size 50, crossover probability 0.8 and no. of generation 100.

Optimized value of MRR is 0.04561 g/min

Optimized factors in coded form are

$$A = 1$$
,  $B = -1$ ,  $C = 1$ ,  $D = -1$ .

Optimized factors in uncoded form are

A 
$$(T_{on}) = 40 \mu s$$
, B  $(Toff) = 5 \mu s$ , C  $(IP) = 3A$  and D  $(W_f) = 30 mm/s$ 

4.1.2 Optimization of SR Using Genetic Algorithm

Objective to minimise the SR

Objective function minimise (SR) = 4.3460 + 0.7406 A - 1.0394 B + 0.0972 C - 0.1183 D - 0.3830 A\*A - 0.2662 A\*B + 0.1225 A\*C (coded form)

$$-1 \le A \le 1$$

$$-1 \le B \le 1$$
Subject to 
$$-1 \le C \le 1$$

$$-1 \le D \le 1$$

At population size 50, crossover probability 0.8 and number of generation 100.

Optimized value of SR is 2.3076 µm

Optimized factors in coded form are

$$A = -1$$
,  $B = 1$ ,  $C = 1$ ,  $D = 1$ .

Optimized factors in uncoded form are

A 
$$(Ton) = 20\mu s$$
, B  $(Toff) = 15\mu s$ , C  $(IP) = 3A$  and D  $(W_f) = 50$ 

### 4.1.3 Optimization of Kerf Width Using Genetic Algorithm

Objective to minimise the Kerf Width

Objective function minimise (Kerf Width) =  $0.22059 + 0.01506 \, A - 0.00783 \, B + 0.00244 \, C - 0.00689 \, D$  (coded form) Subject to

$$-1 \le A \le 1$$
$$-1 \le B \le 1$$
$$-1 \le C \le 1$$
$$-1 \le D \le 1$$

At population size 50, crossover probability 0.8 and no. of generation 100.

## Volume No.06, Special Issue No.(02), December 2017 www.ijarse.com



Optimized value of Kerf Width is 0.1883 mm

Optimized factors in coded form are

$$A = -1$$
,  $B = 1$ ,  $C = -1$ ,  $D = 1$ .

Optimized factors in uncoded form are

A (Ton) = 
$$20\mu s$$
, B(Toff) =  $15\mu s$ , C(IP) = 1A and D(W<sub>f</sub>) =  $50$ 

#### **V CONCLUSION**

The different experiments were conducted on WED-machine for finding optimal condition of machining for HSS M2 grade molybdenum wire as electrode. Optimization of the process parameters using Genetic algorithm have been described in this paper. Genetic Algorithm is a simple, systematic, reliable and most efficient tool for optimization of machining parameters. The optimal condition for wedm response MRR, surface roughness and kerf width are:

- 1. MRR found to be maximum 0.04561g/min at Ton, Toff, IP and Wf of  $40\mu s$ ,  $5\mu s$ , 3A, 30mm/s respectively.
- 2. Surface roughness is found to be minimum 2.30μm at Ton, Toff, IP and Wf of 20μs, 15μs, 3A, 50mm/s respectively.
- 3. Kerf Width is found to be minimum 0.1883mm at Ton, Toff, IP, Wf of  $20\mu s$ ,  $15\mu s$ , 1A, 50mm/s respectively.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1]Mikell P. Groover, FUNDAMENTALS OF MODERN MANUFACTURING (JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., 2010)
- [2]P. Gupta, R. Khanna, R.D. Gupta, N. Sharma, "Effect of Process Parameters on Kerf Width in WEDM for HSLA Using Response Surface Methodology," Journal of Engineering and Technology, Vol.2, pp.1-6, 2012.
- [3]RupeshChalisgaonkar, Jatinder Kumar, Optimization of WEDM process of pure titanium with multiple performance characteristics using Taguchi's DOE approach and utility concept. Front. Mech. Eng., 2013, 8(2): 201–214.
- [4] S. S. Mahapatra and Amar Patnaik, Optimization of wire electrical discharge machining (WEDM) process parameters using Taguchi method. Int J AdvManufTechnol, 2007, 34:911–925.
- [5]V. K. Pasam, S. B. Battula, P. M. Valli and M. Swapna, "Optimizing Surface Finish in WEDM Using the Taguchi Parameter Design Method", Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Science & Engineering, 32 (2), 2010, 107-113.
- [6]Rishikumar, Comparative Analysis of Soft and Hard Brass Wire Electrode on WEDM Machining Performance Parameters Using Die Steel D, International Journal of Engineering Sciences Paradigms and

## Volume No.06, Special Issue No.(02), December 2017 www.ijarse.com

**IJARSE** ISSN: 2319-8354

Researches Vol. 04, Issue 01, April 2013, (An Indexed, Referred and Impact Factor Journal) ISSN (Online): 2319-6564

- [7]PJaganathan, Naveen kumar, Dr.R.Sivasubramanian, Optimization of WEDM parameters Using Taguchi method, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 12, December 1 2012, ISSN 2250-3153
- [8]A.Varun and NasinaVenkaiah, Simultaneous optimization of WEDM responses using grey relational analysis coupled with genetic algorithm while machining EN 353, Int J AdvManufTechnol, 2014.