

A study of almost tangential intersection curves of four parametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^5$

^aMohamd Saleem Lone, ^aMehraj Ahmad Lone, ^bRezwan ul shaban

^aDepartment of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Srinagar, 190006, India.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University of Kashmir, 190006, India

Abstract

In this paper, we present the algorithms for calculating the differential geometric properties of the non-transversal intersection of almost tangential type of four parametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^5$. In non-transversal intersection the normals of the surfaces at the intersection point are linearly dependent, while as in nontransversal intersection the normals of the surfaces at the intersection point are linearly independent.

1. Introduction

The surface-surface intersection problem is a fundamental process needed in modeling shapes in CAD/CAM system. It is useful in designing of complex objects and animations. The two types of surfaces mostly used in geometric designing are parametric and implicit surfaces. For that reason, different methods have been given for either parametric-parametric or implicit-implicit surface intersection curves in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Differential geometry of a parametric curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$ can be found in textbooks such as Struik [19], Willmore [20], whereas differential geometry of parametric curves in $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be found in the textbook such as in klingenber [22] and in the contemporary literature on Geometric Modeling [2]. On the other hand, for the differential geometry of intersection curves, there exists a little literature. Willmore [20] and Aléssio [13] presented algorithms to obtain the unit tangent, unit principal normal, unit binormal, curvature and torsion of the transversal intersection curve of two implicit surfaces. Ye and Maekawa [24] presented algorithms for computing the differential geometric properties of both transversal and tangential intersection curves of two surfaces. Aléssio [11] formulated the algorithms for obtaining the geometric properties of intersection curves of three implicit hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Based on the work of Aléssio [11], Mustafa Dıldul [7] worked with three parametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ to derive the algorithms for differential geometric properties of transversal intersection. To obtain the first geodesic curvature ($\kappa_{1g}^{S_i}$) and the first geodesic torsion ($\tau_{1g}^{S_i}$) for the transversal intersection curve of 4 parametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^5$, we need to derive the Darboux frame $\{U_1^{M_i}, \dots, U_5^{M_i}\}$. The Darboux frame is obtained by using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process.

S. Yilmaz and M. Turgut [17] obtained the Frenet apparatus of a curve in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^5$. M. Turgut, et al. [8] derived the Frenet apparatus of non-null curves in Lorentzian space L^5 and in [18] S. Yilmaz and M. Turgut derived the same for Partially Null and Pseudo Null Curves in Minkowski Space-time. Looking at their importance in physics, curves in Euclidean space in $\mathbb{R}^5$ and L^5 are greater importance [11, 14, 23]. In this connection we have not seen any paper on discussion of intersection curves of parametric hypersurfaces in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^5$. Thus in this paper, we extend these to obtain the Frenet frame $\{t, n, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ and curvatures $\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4\}$ of almost tangential intersection curve of four parametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^5$.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ be the standard basis of five dimensional Euclidean space E^5 . Then the vector product of the vectors $x = \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i e_i$, $y = \sum_{i=1}^5 y_i e_i$, $z = \sum_{i=1}^5 z_i e_i$ and $w = \sum_{i=1}^5 w_i e_i$ is defined by

$$x \otimes y \otimes z \otimes w = \begin{vmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 & e_5 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

The vector product $x \otimes y \otimes z \otimes w$ yields a vector that is orthogonal to x, y, z, w .

let $R \subset E^5$ be a regular hypersurface given by $\Phi = \Phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ and $\gamma: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Phi$ be an arbitrary curve with arc length parametrisation. If $\{t, n, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ is the Frenet Frame along γ , then we have

$$\begin{cases} t' = \kappa_1 n, \\ n' = -\kappa_1 t + \kappa_2 b_1, \\ b_1' = -\kappa_2 n + \kappa_3 b_2, \\ b_2' = -\kappa_3 b_1 + \kappa_4 b_3, \\ b_3' = -\kappa_4 b_2, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where t, n, b_1, b_2 and b_3 denote the tangent, the principal normal, the first binormal, the second binormal and third binormal vector fields. The normal vector n is the normalised acceleration vector γ'' . The unit vector b_1 is determined such that n' can be decomposed into two components, a tangent one in the direction of t and a normal one in the direction of b_1 . The unit vector b_2 is determined such that b_1' can be decomposed into two components - a normal and another in the direction of b_2 . The unit vector b_3 is the unique unit vector field perpendicular to four dimensional subspace $\{t, n, b_1, b_2\}$. The functions $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$ and κ_4 are the first, second, third and fourth curvatures of $\gamma(s)$. The first, second, third and fourth curvatures measure how rapidly the curve pulls away in a neighbourhood of s , from the tangent line, from planar curve, from three dimensional curve and from the four dimensional curve at s , respectively.

Now, using the Frenet Frame we have the derivatives of γ as

$$\gamma' = t, \quad \gamma'' = t' = \kappa_1 n, \quad \gamma''' = -\kappa_1^2 t + \kappa_1' n + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 b_1, \quad (3)$$

$$\gamma^{(4)} = -3\kappa_1 \kappa_1' t + (-\kappa_1^3 + \kappa_1'' - \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2) n + (2\kappa_1' \kappa_2 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2') b_1 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 b_2, \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(5)} = & (-3(\kappa_1')^2 - 4\kappa_1 \kappa_1'' + \kappa_1^4 + \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2^2) t + (-6\kappa_1^2 \kappa_1' + \kappa_1''' - \kappa_1' \kappa_2^2 \\ & - 3\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_2' - 2\kappa_1' \kappa_2^2) n + (\kappa_1^3 \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 \kappa_2^3 + 3\kappa_1'' \kappa_2 + 3\kappa_1' \kappa_2' + \kappa_1 \kappa_2'' - \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3^2) b_1 \\ & (3\kappa_1' \kappa_2 \kappa_3 + 2\kappa_1 \kappa_2' \kappa_3 + 2\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3' + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3^2) b_2 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 b_3. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Also since Φ is regular, the partial derivatives $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4$, where $(\Phi_i = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u_i})$ are linearly independent at every point of Φ , i.e., $\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2 \otimes \Phi_3 \otimes \Phi_4 \neq 0$. Thus, the unit normal vector of Φ is given by

$$N = \frac{\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2 \otimes \Phi_3 \otimes \Phi_4}{\|\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2 \otimes \Phi_3 \otimes \Phi_4\|}.$$

Furthermore, the first, second, and the third binormal vectors of the curve are given by

$$b_3 = \frac{\gamma' \otimes \gamma'' \otimes \gamma''' \otimes \gamma^{(4)}}{\|\gamma' \otimes \gamma'' \otimes \gamma''' \otimes \gamma^{(4)}\|}, \quad b_2 = \frac{b_3 \otimes \gamma' \otimes \gamma'' \otimes \gamma'''}{\|b_3 \otimes \gamma' \otimes \gamma'' \otimes \gamma'''\|}, \quad b_1 = \frac{b_2 \otimes b_3 \otimes \gamma' \otimes \gamma''}{\|b_2 \otimes b_3 \otimes \gamma' \otimes \gamma''\|} \quad (6)$$

and the curvatures are obtained by

$$\kappa_1 = \|\gamma''\|, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{\langle \gamma''', b_1 \rangle}{\kappa_1}, \quad \kappa_3 = \frac{\langle \gamma^{(4)}, b_2 \rangle}{\kappa_1 \kappa_2}, \quad \kappa_4 = \frac{\langle \gamma^{(5)}, b_3 \rangle}{\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3}. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, since the curve $\gamma(s)$ lies on Φ , we may write

$$\gamma(s) = \Phi(u_1(s), u_2(s), u_3(s), u_4(s)).$$

Then, we have

$$\gamma'(s) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i u'_i, \quad (8)$$

$$\gamma''(s) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i u''_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u'_i u'_j, \quad (9)$$

$$\gamma'''(s) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i u'''_i + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u''_i u'_j + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk} u'_i u'_j u'_k, \quad (10)$$

$$\gamma^{(4)}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i u^{(4)}_i + 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u'''_i u'_j + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u''_i u''_j + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk} u''_i u'_j u'_k + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl} u'_i u'_j u'_k u'_l \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(5)} = & \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i u^{(5)}_i + 5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u^{(4)}_i u'_j + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u'''_i u''_j + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk} u''_i u'_j u'_k + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk} u'_i u''_j u'_k \\ & + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl} u''_i u'_j u'_k u'_l + \sum_{i,j,k,l,m=1}^4 \Phi_{ijklm} u'_i u'_j u'_k u'_l u'_m. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(6)} = & \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i u^{(6)}_i + 6 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u^{(5)}_i u'_j + 15 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u^{(4)}_i u''_j + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk} u^{(4)}_i u'_j u'_k + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij} u'''_i u'''_j + 60 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk} u'''_i u'_j u'_k \\ & + 20 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl} u'''_i u'_j u'_k u'_l + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk} u''_i u''_j u''_k + 45 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl} u''_i u'_j u'_k u'_l + 15 \sum_{i,j,k,l,m=1}^4 \Phi_{ijklm} u''_i u'_j u'_k u'_l u'_m \\ & + \sum_{i,j,k,l,m,n=1}^4 \Phi_{ijklmn} u'_i u'_j u'_k u'_l u'_m u'_n. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Definition 2.2. Let Φ^1, Φ^2, Φ^3 and Φ^4 be the regular hypersurfaces, respectively. Then the unit normal of these hypersurfaces is obtained by

$$N_i = \frac{\Phi^1_i \otimes \Phi^2_i \otimes \Phi^3_i \otimes \Phi^4_i}{\|\Phi^1_i \otimes \Phi^2_i \otimes \Phi^3_i \otimes \Phi^4_i\|}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Assuming that the intersection of these hypersurfaces is a smooth curve $\gamma(s)$ with arc length parametrisation s . Let $\gamma(s_0) = p$. Now, if N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4 are linearly dependent we non-transversal intersection at p with the following subcases:

(1) Almost tangential intersection

$$N_4 = aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (13)$$

(2) Tangential intersection

$$N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = N_4. \quad (14)$$

3. Almost tangential intersection of four parametric hypersurfaces

Since the normal vectors are not linearly independent, the calculation of geometric properties are not straightforward. In the following theorem, we find the algorithm for tangential intersection of four parametric hypersurfaces.

3.1. Tangential direction in case of almost tangential intersection.

In the following theorem, we derive the algorithm for obtaining the tangential direction in case of almost tangential intersection of four parametric hypersurfaces.

Theorem 3.1. Let Φ^1, Φ^2, Φ^3 and Φ^4 be the four parametric hypersurfaces with almost tangential, then unit tangent is given by

$$t = \frac{\mu(\Phi^1_1 + \Phi^1_4 \gamma_1 + \xi_1 \Phi^1_3 + \xi_1 \gamma_3 \Phi^1_4) + (\Phi^1_2 + \Phi^1_4 \gamma_2 + \xi_3 \Phi^1_3 + \xi_2 \gamma_3 \Phi^1_4)}{\|\mu(\Phi^1_1 + \Phi^1_4 \gamma_1 + \xi_1 \Phi^1_3 + \xi_1 \gamma_3 \Phi^1_4) + (\Phi^1_2 + \Phi^1_4 \gamma_2 + \xi_3 \Phi^1_3 + \xi_2 \gamma_3 \Phi^1_4)\|}.$$

or,

$$t = \frac{(\Phi^1_1 + \Phi^1_4 \gamma_1 + \xi_1 \Phi^1_3 + \xi_1 \gamma_3 \Phi^1_4) + v(\Phi^1_2 + \Phi^1_4 \gamma_2 + \xi_3 \Phi^1_3 + \xi_2 \gamma_3 \Phi^1_4)}{\|(\Phi^1_1 + \Phi^1_4 \gamma_1 + \xi_1 \Phi^1_3 + \xi_1 \gamma_3 \Phi^1_4) + v(\Phi^1_2 + \Phi^1_4 \gamma_2 + \xi_3 \Phi^1_3 + \xi_2 \gamma_3 \Phi^1_4)\|}.$$

Proof. For the unit tangent, we have

$$t = \gamma'(s) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u'_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^2 v'_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^3 w'_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^4 r'_i. \quad (15)$$

Using (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma'', N_4 \rangle &= \langle \gamma'', aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle, \text{ i.e.,} \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^4 r'_i r'_j &= a \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^1 u'_i u'_j + b \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^2 v'_i v'_j + c \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^3 w'_i w'_j \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $h_{ij}^k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are the second fundamental form coefficients of the hypersurfaces Φ^k .

Now, taking the dot product of $\sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u'_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^2 v'_i$, $\sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u'_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^3 w'_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u'_i = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^4 r'_i$ with $\Phi_j^2, \Phi_j^3, \Phi_j^4$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, \Phi_j^2 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^4 g_{ij}^2 v'_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, \Phi_j^3 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^4 g_{ij}^3 w'_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, \Phi_j^4 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^4 g_{ij}^4 r'_i,$$

where g_{ij}^k are the first fundamental form coefficients of the hypersurface Φ^k . Thus, denoting the unknowns v'_i, w'_i and r'_i in terms of linear combinations of u'_i yields from (16)

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}(u'_1)^2 + a_{12}u'_1 u'_2 + a_{13}u'_1 u'_3 + a_{14}u'_1 u'_4 + a_{22}(u'_2)^2 + a_{23}u'_2 u'_3 + a_{24}u'_2 u'_4 \\ + a_{33}(u'_3)^2 + a_{34}u'_3 u'_4 + a_{44}(u'_4)^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where a_{ij} are scalars.

Again, since $\langle t, N_2 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, N_2 \rangle u'_i \neq 0$, then at least one of the inner products is non-zero. Suppose $\langle \Phi_4^1, N_2 \rangle u'_4 \neq 0$, then, we obtain

$$u'_4 = \beta_1 u'_1 + \beta_2 u'_2 + \beta_3 u'_3, \quad (18)$$

where $\beta_i = -\frac{\langle \Phi_i^1, N_2 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_4^1, N_2 \rangle}, i = 1, 2, 3$

Using (18) in (17), we obtain

$$b_{11}(u'_1)^2 + b_{12}u'_1 u'_2 + b_{13}u'_1 u'_3 + b_{22}(u'_2)^2 + b_{23}u'_2 u'_3 + b_{33}(u'_3)^2 = 0, \quad (19)$$

where a_{ij} are scalars.

Taking cross product of $t = \Phi_1^1 u'_1 + \Phi_2^1 u'_2 + \Phi_3^1 u'_3 + \Phi_4^1 u'_4$ with $(\Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2)$, and then projecting onto N_1 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_1^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1 u'_1 + (\Phi_2^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1 u'_2 + (\Phi_3^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1 u'_3 \\ + (\Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1 u'_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

or,

$$(\Phi_1^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1 u'_1 + (\Phi_2^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1 u'_2 + (\Phi_3^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1 u'_3 = 0. \quad (20)$$

For if $(\Phi_3^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1 = \det(\Phi_3^1, \Phi_4^1, \Phi_1^2, \Phi_2^2, N_1) \neq 0$, denote

$$\xi_i = -\frac{(\Phi_i^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1}{(\Phi_3^1 \times \Phi_4^1 \times \Phi_1^2 \times \Phi_2^2) \cdot N_1} = -\frac{\det(\Phi_i^1, \Phi_4^1, \Phi_1^2, \Phi_2^2, N_1)}{\det(\Phi_3^1, \Phi_4^1, \Phi_1^2, \Phi_2^2, N_1)}. \quad (21)$$

Then we have

$$u'_3 = \xi_1 u'_1 + \xi_2 u'_2. \quad (22)$$

Using (22) in (19), we get

$$c_{11}(u'_1)^2 + c_{12}u'_1 u'_2 + c_{22}(u'_2)^2 = 0 \quad (23)$$

Denoting $\mu = \frac{c_{11}}{c_{22}}$ when $c_{22} \neq 0$ or $v = \frac{c_{12}}{c_{11}}$ when $a_{11} = 0$ and $c_{22} \neq 0$ yields

$$c_{11}\mu^2 + c_{12}\mu + c_{22} = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$c_{22}v^2 + c_{12}v = 0. \quad (25)$$

Now if $c_{11} \neq 0$, then on solving (24) for μ , the unit tangent vector from (15) is obtained as

$$t = \frac{\mu(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \xi_1 \Phi_3^1 + \xi_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_1^2 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^2 + \xi_2 \Phi_3^2 + \xi_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^2)}{\|\mu(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \xi_1 \Phi_3^1 + \xi_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_1^2 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^2 + \xi_2 \Phi_3^2 + \xi_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^2)\|}. \quad (26)$$

Similarly if $c_{22} \neq 0$ and $c_{11} = 0$, then on solving (25) for v , the unit tangent vector from (15) is obtained as

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \xi_1 \Phi_3^1 + \xi_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + v(\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \xi_3 \Phi_3^1 + \xi_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \xi_1 \Phi_3^1 + \xi_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + v(\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \xi_3 \Phi_3^1 + \xi_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)\|} \quad (27)$$

□

There are four distinct cases for the solution of (23) depending upon the discriminant $D = C_{12}^2 - 4c_{11}c_{22}$;

1. If $D < 0$, then (23) does not have any solution. In this case p is an isolated contact point of the hypersurfaces.
2. If $D > 0$ then (23) has distinct roots, consequently p is a branch point of the intersection curve.
3. If $D = 0$ and $c_{11}^2 + c_{12}^2 + c_{22}^2 \neq 0$, then (23) has a double root. Thus the hypersurfaces intersect at p and at its neighbourhood.
4. If $c_{11} = c_{12} = c_{22} = 0$, then (23) vanishes for any value of u'_1 and u'_2 . Thus the hypersurfaces have contact of at least second order at p .

Remark 3.1. For $\langle \Phi_4^1, N_2 \rangle \neq 0$ in (18), following two cases arise

1. If we write $u'_1 = \eta_1 u'_1 + \eta_3 u'_3$ instead of (23), the corresponding equation for (23) is $c_{11}(u'_1)^2 + c_{12}u'_1 u'_3 + c_{22}(u'_3)^2 = 0$. In this case the unit tangent vector is given by

$$t = \frac{\mu(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_1 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_3 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)}{\|\mu(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_1 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_3 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)\|}$$

or,

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_1 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + v(\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_3 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_1 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + v(\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_3 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)\|},$$

where $\mu = \frac{u'_1}{u'_3}$, $v = \frac{u'_3}{u'_1}$ and $\eta_i = -\frac{d\sigma(\Phi_1^1, \Phi_4^1, \Phi_3^1, \Phi_2^1 N_1)}{d\sigma(\Phi_1^1, \Phi_4^1, \Phi_3^1, \Phi_2^1 N_1)}$, $i = 1, 3$.

2. If we write $u'_1 = \eta_2 u'_1 + \eta_3 u'_3$ instead of (23), the corresponding equation for (23) is $c_{11}(u'_1)^2 + c_{12}u'_1 u'_3 + c_{22}(u'_3)^2 = 0$. In this case the unit tangent vector is given by

$$t = \frac{\mu(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_1 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_3 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)}{\|\mu(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_1 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_3 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)\|}$$

or,

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_1 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + v(\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_3 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \beta_1 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_1 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_1 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1) + v(\Phi_{12}^1 + \beta_2 \Phi_4^1 + \eta_3 \Phi_3^1 + \eta_2 \beta_3 \Phi_4^1)\|},$$

where $\mu = \frac{u'_1}{u'_3}$, $v = \frac{u'_3}{u'_1}$ and $\eta_i = -\frac{d\sigma(\Phi_1^1, \Phi_4^1, \Phi_3^1, \Phi_2^1 N_1)}{d\sigma(\Phi_1^1, \Phi_4^1, \Phi_3^1, \Phi_2^1 N_1)}$, $i = 2, 3$.

As above, for each $\langle \Phi_i^1, N_2 \rangle \neq 0$, ($i = 1, 2, 3$), there arises two possibilities for each i .

3.2. First curvature of almost tangential intersection curve

In case of almost tangential intersection, to find the first curvature at the intersection point p , we have to find the second order derivative of $\gamma(s)$. For that, we develop the following algorithm: The curvature vector of the intersection curve can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} t' = \gamma'' &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u''_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u'_i u'_j = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^2 v''_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v'_i v'_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^3 w''_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w'_i w'_j = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^4 r''_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^4 r'_i r'_j \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

To find the curvature vector, since u'_i are known from theorem (3.1), we need to find only u''_i . Considering $t' = \gamma'' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u''_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u'_i u'_j$, we see that (28) is an equation in four unknowns u''_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For that the first equation is given by taking the dot product of

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u''_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u'_i u'_j = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^2 v''_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v'_i v'_j$$

with N_2 , then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, N_2 \rangle u_i'' + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_2 \rangle u_i' u_j' = \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i' v_j'. \quad (29)$$

Second equation can be found in the same way by taking the dot product of

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u_i'' + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i' u_j' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^3 w_i'' + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w_i' w_j'$$

with N_3 , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, N_3 \rangle u_i'' + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_3 \rangle u_i' u_j' = \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i' w_j'. \quad (30)$$

On taking the dot product of (28) with t , we obtain the third required equation as

$$\langle t', t \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{i=1}^4, t \rangle u_i'' + \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij=1}^4, t \rangle u_i' u_j' \quad (31)$$

Since $\langle \gamma''', N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma''', aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$, we have the additional equation from

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^4, N_4 \rangle r_i' r_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^2, N_4 \rangle r_i' r_j' r_k' = a \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, N_1 \rangle u_i' u_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, N_1 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' \right) \\ & + b \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^2, N_2 \rangle v_i' v_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i' v_j' v_k' \right) + c \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^3, N_3 \rangle w_i' w_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i' w_j' w_k' \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Now as in theorem (3.1), representing v_i'', w_i'' and r_i'' , $1 = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in term of linear combination of u_i'' , we obtain the fourth equation from (32). Thus, if the obtained linear system of equations from (29) – (32) has a non-zero coefficient determinant, then after solving this system of equations, we can find the curvature vector and consequently the principal normal vector by $n = \frac{\gamma'''}{|\gamma''|}$. Depending upon the nature of $\langle \gamma''', N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma''', aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$, if $\langle \gamma''', N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma''', aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$ vanishes, then (29), (30) and (31) constitutes a system whose coefficient matrix has rank 3. In this case, we solve the three unknowns by means of fourth one. The fourth unknown can be obtained by differentiating $\langle \gamma'', N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma'', aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$, i.e., from

$$\langle \gamma'', N_4' \rangle = \langle \gamma'', aN_1' + bN_2' + cN_3' \rangle, \quad \text{or} \quad \langle \gamma'', N_4' \rangle = \langle \gamma'', aN_1'' + bN_2'' + cN_3'' \rangle \quad (33)$$

3.3. Third order derivative and the second curvature of almost tangential intersection

Using (10), we have

$$\gamma''' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i'' u_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^2 v_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=2}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v_i'' v_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=2}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^2 v_i' v_j' v_k' \quad (34)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^3 w_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=3}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w_i'' w_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=3}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^3 w_i' w_j' w_k' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^4 r_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=3}^4 \Phi_{ij}^4 r_i'' r_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=3}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^4 r_i' r_j' r_k' \quad (35)$$

Since u_i' and u_i'' are already known. To find the third derivative, it remains to find our u_i''' . Thus considering $\gamma''' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i'' u_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k'$, we see that γ''' is an equation in four unknowns $u_1''', u_2''', u_3''', u_4'''$. For that, we constitute a system of four equations.

The first equation is given by taking the dot product of $\sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i'' u_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^2 v_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=2}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v_i'' v_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=2}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^2 v_i' v_j' v_k'$ with N_2 , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, N_2 \rangle u_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_2 \rangle u_i'' u_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, N_2 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' = 3 \sum_{i,j=2}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i'' v_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=2}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i' v_j' v_k'. \quad (36)$$

The second equation can be obtained by taking the dot product of $\sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i'' u_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^3 w_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=3}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w_i'' w_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=3}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^3 w_i' w_j' w_k'$ with N_3 , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, N_3 \rangle u_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_3 \rangle u_i'' u_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, N_3 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' = 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i'' w_j' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i' w_j' w_k'. \quad (37)$$

On differentiating $\langle \gamma', \gamma'' \rangle = 0$, we obtain $\langle \gamma''', t \rangle = -\kappa_1^2$. This gives us the third required equation as

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, t \rangle u_i''' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, t \rangle u_i'' u_j'' + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, t \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' = -\kappa_1^2 \quad (38)$$

Now, using $\langle \gamma^{(4)}, N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma^{(4)}, aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^4, N_4 \rangle r_i''' r_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^4, N_4 \rangle r_i'' r_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^4, N_4 \rangle r_i' r_j' r_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^4, N_4 \rangle r_i' r_j' r_k' r_l' \\ & = a \left(4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_1 \rangle u_i''' u_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_1 \rangle u_i'' u_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, N_1 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^1, N_1 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' \right) \\ & + b \left(4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i''' v_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i'' v_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i' v_j' v_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i' v_j' v_k' v_l' \right) \\ & + c \left(4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i''' w_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i'' w_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i' w_j' w_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i' w_j' w_k' w_l' \right). \quad (39) \end{aligned}$$

Now, as in the above subsection, representing $v_i'', w_i'', r_i'', i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in terms of u_i'' , the equations (36)–(39) constitutes a linear system of equations in four unknowns $u_i'', i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. If this system has a nonzero coefficient determinant, we can find the required unknowns, which enables us to compute third order derivative of the intersection curve. Noting that, if the equation $\langle \gamma^{(4)}, N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma^{(4)}, aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$ vanishes, we can replace the equation with $\langle \gamma''', N_4^{(i)} \rangle = \langle \gamma''', aN_1^{(i)} + bN_2^{(i)} + cN_3^{(i)} \rangle, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

3.4. The fourth order derivative and the third curvature

On computing the fourth order derivative of the intersection curve, we are able to find the third, second and first binormal vectors from (6), consequently the second and third curvature follows from (7).

Using (11) and taking the dot product of both sides of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i^{(4)} + 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i''' u_j'' + 3 \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i'' u_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v_i^{(4)} + 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v_i''' v_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v_i'' v_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^2 v_i' v_j' v_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl}^2 v_i' v_j' v_k' v_l' \end{aligned}$$

with N_2 , we get the first required linear equation

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_2 \rangle u_i''' u_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_2 \rangle u_i'' u_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, N_2 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^1, N_2 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' \\ & = 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i''' v_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i'' v_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i' v_j' v_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^2, N_2 \rangle v_i' v_j' v_k' v_l'. \end{aligned}$$

The second linear equation is given in the same fashion by taking the dot product of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i^{(4)} + 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i''' u_j'' + 3 \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i'' u_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w_i^{(4)} + 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w_i''' w_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w_i'' w_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^3 w_i' w_j' w_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl}^3 w_i' w_j' w_k' w_l' \end{aligned}$$

with N_3 , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_3 \rangle u_i''' u_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, N_3 \rangle u_i'' u_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, N_3 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^1, N_3 \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' \\ & = 4 \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i''' w_j'' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i'' w_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i' w_j' w_k'' + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^3, N_3 \rangle w_i' w_j' w_k' w_l'. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, differentiating $\langle \gamma''', t \rangle = -\kappa_1^2$ gives the third equation as $\langle \gamma^{(4)}, t \rangle = -3\kappa_1 k_1'$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, t \rangle u_i^{(4)} + 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, t \rangle u_i''' u_j' + 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, t \rangle u_i'' u_j'' + 6 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, t \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' \\ & + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^1, t \rangle u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' = -3\kappa_1 k_1'. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Finally, if we use $\langle \gamma^{(5)}, N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma^{(5)}, aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^4, r_i^{(4)} r_j', N_4 \rangle + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^4, r_i''' r_j'', N_4 \rangle + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^4, r_i''' r_j' r_k', N_4 \rangle + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^4, r_i'' r_j'' r_k', N_4 \rangle \\ & + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^4, r_i'' r_j' r_k' r_l', N_4 \rangle + \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijklr}^4, r_i' r_j' r_k' r_l' r_r', N_4 \rangle \\ & = a \left(5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, u_i^{(4)} u_j', N_4 \rangle + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, u_i''' u_j'', N_4 \rangle + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, u_i''' u_j' u_k', N_4 \rangle + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, u_i'' u_j'' u_k', N_4 \rangle \right. \\ & + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^1, u_i'' u_j' u_k' u_l', N_4 \rangle + \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijklr}^1, u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' u_r', N_4 \rangle \Big) + b \left(5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, u_i^{(4)} u_j', N_4 \rangle \right. \\ & + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, u_i''' u_j'', N_4 \rangle + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, u_i''' u_j' u_k', N_4 \rangle + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, u_i'' u_j'' u_k', N_4 \rangle \\ & + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^1, u_i'' u_j' u_k' u_l', N_4 \rangle + \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijklr}^1, u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' u_r', N_4 \rangle \Big) + c \left(5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, u_i^{(4)} u_j', N_4 \rangle \right. \\ & + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ij}^1, u_i''' u_j'', N_4 \rangle + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, u_i''' u_j' u_k', N_4 \rangle + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijk}^1, u_i'' u_j'' u_k', N_4 \rangle + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijkl}^1, u_i'' u_j' u_k' u_l', N_4 \rangle \\ & + \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^4 \langle \Phi_{ijklr}^1, u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' u_r', N_4 \rangle \Big). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Now, as in the above subsections, writing $v_i^{(4)}$, $w_i^{(4)}$ and $r_i^{(4)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in terms of linear combinations of $u_i^{(4)}$. Also, since u_i' , u_i'' , u_i''' are already known, we obtain a system of four linear equations in $u_i^{(4)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. On solving this system of linear equations we obtain the desired quantities. Again noting that if $\langle \gamma^{(5)}, N_4 \rangle = \langle aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$ vanishes, then this equation can be replaced by $\langle \gamma^{(4)}, N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma^{(5)}, aN_1^{(i)} + bN_2^{(i)} + cN_3^{(i)} \rangle$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

3.5. Fifth order derivative and fourth curvature of the almost tangential intersection

To find the fifth derivative of the intersection curve we need to find $u_i^{(5)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For the required linear system of equations, the first two equations can be found in the same way as in above subsections by taking the dot product of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u_i^{(5)} + 5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i^{(4)} u_j' + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i''' u_j'' + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i''' u_j' u_k' + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i'' u_j'' u_k' + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl}^1 u_i'' u_j' u_k' u_l' \\ & + \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^4 \Phi_{ijklr}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' u_r' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^2 v_i^{(5)} + 5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v_i^{(4)} v_j' + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^2 v_i''' v_j'' + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^2 v_i''' v_j' v_k' + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^2 v_i'' v_j'' v_k' \\ & + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl}^2 v_i'' v_j' v_k' v_l' + \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^4 \Phi_{ijklr}^2 v_i' v_j' v_k' v_l' v_r' \end{aligned}$$

with N_2 and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^1 u_i^{(5)} + 5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i^{(4)} u_j' + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^1 u_i''' u_j'' + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i''' u_j' u_k' + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^1 u_i'' u_j'' u_k' + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl}^1 u_i'' u_j' u_k' u_l' \\ & + \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^4 \Phi_{ijklr}^1 u_i' u_j' u_k' u_l' u_r' = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Phi_i^3 w_i^{(5)} + 5 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w_i^{(4)} w_j' + 10 \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \Phi_{ij}^3 w_i''' w_j'' + 10 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^3 w_i''' w_j' w_k' \\ & + 15 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^4 \Phi_{ijk}^3 w_i'' w_j'' w_k' + 10 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^4 \Phi_{ijkl}^3 w_i'' w_j' w_k' w_l' + \sum_{i,j,k,l,r=1}^4 \Phi_{ijklr}^3 w_i' w_j' w_k' w_l' w_r' \end{aligned}$$

with N_3 .

The third equation is obtained by projecting $\gamma^{(5)}$ onto t and the last equation is obtained by using $\langle \gamma^{(6)}, N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma^{(6)}, aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$. As in the above cases if $\langle \gamma^{(6)}, N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma^{(6)}, aN_1 + bN_2 + cN_3 \rangle$ vanishes, then this equation can be replaced by $\langle \gamma^{(5)}, N_4 \rangle = \langle \gamma^{(5)}, aN_1^{(i)} + bN_2^{(i)} + cN_3^{(i)} \rangle$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

4. Tangential intersection of four parametric hypersurfaces

Here we assume that $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = N_4 = N$. For the unit tangent vector, the algorithm is provided by the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4$ be the four parametric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^5$, then we have

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\varpi}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\varpi\|},$$

or

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\varpi}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\varpi\|},$$

or

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1)\varpi + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1)\varpi + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\|}.$$

Proof. Projecting γ'' onto the common normal vector, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \langle \gamma'', N_1 \rangle = \langle \gamma'', N_2 \rangle \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^1 u_i' u_j' = \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^2 v_i' v_j', \\ \langle \gamma'', N_1 \rangle = \langle \gamma'', N_3 \rangle \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^1 u_i' u_j' = \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^3 w_i' w_j', \\ \langle \gamma'', N_1 \rangle = \langle \gamma'', N_4 \rangle \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^1 u_i' u_j' = \sum_{i=1}^4 h_{ij}^4 r_i' r_j'. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

As in the above section replacing v_i', w_i', r_i' by u_i' , we denote the first two equations of (42) as system

$$e_{11}(u_1')^2 + e_{12}u_1'u_2' + e_{13}u_1'u_3' + e_{14}u_1'u_4' + e_{22}(u_2')^2 + e_{23}u_2'u_3' + e_{24}u_2'u_4' + e_{33}(u_3')^2 + e_{34}u_3'u_4' + e_{44}(u_4')^2 = 0 \quad (43)$$

$$f_{11}(u_1')^2 + f_{12}u_1'u_2' + f_{13}u_1'u_3' + f_{14}u_1'u_4' + f_{22}(u_2')^2 + f_{23}u_2'u_3' + f_{24}u_2'u_4' + f_{33}(u_3')^2 + f_{34}u_3'u_4' + f_{44}(u_4')^2 = 0 \quad (44)$$

respectively, where $e_{ij}, f_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are scalars.

Since, $\langle t, N \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^4 \langle \Phi_i^1, N \rangle u_i' = 0$, this means that at least one of the products is non-vanishing. Suppose $\langle \Phi_4^1, N \rangle \neq 0$, this implies that $u_4' = \lambda_1 u_1' + \lambda_2 u_2' + \lambda_3 u_3'$, where $\lambda = \frac{\langle \Phi_i^1, N \rangle}{\langle \Phi_4^1, N \rangle}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus (43) and (44) reduces to

$$m_{11}(u_1')^2 + m_{12}u_1'u_2' + m_{13}u_1'u_3' + m_{22}(u_2')^2 + m_{23}u_2'u_3' + m_{33}(u_3')^2 = 0, \quad (45)$$

$$n_{11}(u_1')^2 + n_{12}u_1'u_2' + n_{13}u_1'u_3' + n_{22}(u_2')^2 + n_{23}u_2'u_3' + n_{33}(u_3')^2 = 0 \quad (46)$$

where $m_{ij}, n_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, 3$ are scalars. Now, if we denote

$$\varepsilon = \frac{u_2'}{u_1'}, \quad \varpi = \frac{u_3'}{u_1'} \quad \text{when} \quad \chi_1 = \begin{vmatrix} m_{22} & m_{33} \\ n_{22} & n_{33} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

or

$$\varepsilon = \frac{u_1'}{u_2'}, \quad \varpi = \frac{u_3'}{u_2'} \quad \text{when} \quad \chi_2 = \begin{vmatrix} m_{11} & m_{33} \\ n_{11} & n_{33} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

or

$$\varepsilon = \frac{u_1'}{u_3'}, \quad \varpi = \frac{u_2'}{u_3'} \quad \text{when} \quad \chi_3 = \begin{vmatrix} m_{11} & m_{22} \\ n_{11} & n_{22} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

we obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_{22}\varepsilon^2 + m_{33}\varpi^2 + m_{12}\varepsilon + m_{13}\varpi + m_{23}\varepsilon\varpi + m_{11} &= 0, \\ n_{22}\varepsilon^2 + n_{33}\varpi^2 + n_{12}\varepsilon + n_{13}\varpi + n_{23}\varepsilon\varpi + n_{11} &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (47)$$

or

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_{11}\varepsilon^2 + m_{33}\varpi^2 + m_{12}\varepsilon + m_{23}\varpi + m_{13}\varepsilon\varpi + m_{22} &= 0, \\ n_{11}\varepsilon^2 + n_{33}\varpi^2 + n_{12}\varepsilon + n_{23}\varpi + n_{13}\varepsilon\varpi + n_{22} &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (48)$$

or

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m_{11}\varepsilon^2 + m_{22}\varpi^2 + m_{13}\varepsilon + m_{23}\varpi + m_{12}\varepsilon\varpi + m_{33} &= 0, \\ n_{11}\varepsilon^2 + n_{22}\varpi^2 + n_{13}\varepsilon + n_{23}\varpi + n_{12}\varepsilon\varpi + n_{33} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (49)$$

respectively. We, see that (47), (48) and (49) are pairs of conics with respect to ε and ϖ . The intersection point (ε, ϖ) can be found by any known methods of conic solutions, thus the unit tangent vector is obtained by

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\varpi}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\varpi\|}, \quad (50)$$

or

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\varpi}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1) + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\varpi\|}, \quad (51)$$

or

$$t = \frac{(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1)\varpi + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)}{\|(\Phi_1^1 + \lambda_1 \Phi_4^1)\varepsilon + (\Phi_2^1 + \lambda_2 \Phi_4^1)\varpi + (\Phi_3^1 + \lambda_3 \Phi_4^1)\|}, \quad (52)$$

respectively. $\square$

Note that, we can use this method if $\chi_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, or if $\sum_{i,j=1}^3 (m_{ij}^2 + n_{ij}^2) \neq 0$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi_3 = 0$, then t may not exist.

Remark 4.1. Depending upon the real intersection points of the conic pairs, we have following

1. When the conics do not have any point in common p is an isolated contact point.
2. When the conics have one point in common, t is unique.
3. When the conics have two or more points in common, then p is a branch point.
4. When $\sum_{i,j=1}^3 (m_{ij}^2 + n_{ij}^2) = 0$, then conic pair vanishes for any values of u_i' , i.e., p is a higher order contact point. If all the second fundamental coefficients of the hypersurfaces vanishes at p , then p is a flat point of the hypersurfaces.

4.1. Curvature vector of tangential intersection

To find the curvature vector, we need to find u_i'' , which needs a system of four linear equations in $u_1'', u_2'', u_3'', u_4''$. As in the above section representing v_i'', w_i'', r_i'' in terms of linear combination of u_i'' . Also denoting γ^i be the curve associated with $\Phi^i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ hypersurface. Thus the first three equations of the required system of equations is given by the following projections

$$\begin{cases} \langle (\gamma^1)''', N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^2)''', N_2 \rangle, \\ \langle (\gamma^1)''', N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^3)''', N_3 \rangle, \\ \langle (\gamma^1)''', N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^4)''', N_4 \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

and the last equation is given by $\langle (\gamma^1)', (\gamma^1)'' \rangle = 0$. If the coefficient determinant of the system (53) is non-zero, then we can find $u_i'', i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, substituting the results into (9) gives the curvature vector. Consequently, the first curvature can be found from (7) and n, κ_1' can be found from (3). Otherwise, if the coefficient determinant of (53) is zero, then among the first three equations of (53) one or more equations vanishes, or one equation is proportional to some other. In this case that equation (vanishing) is replaced by $\langle (\gamma^1)'', N_1' \rangle = \langle (\gamma^2)'', N_2' \rangle$, or $\langle (\gamma^1)'', N_1' \rangle = \langle (\gamma^i)'', N_i' \rangle, i = 2, 3, 4$.

4.2. Second curvature of the tangential intersection

For the the second curvature, we need to find γ'' . To find this, we need to evaluate $u_i''', i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Hence a system of four linear equations in $u_i''', i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is needed. On representing v_i''', w_i''', r_i''' in terms of linear combination of u_i''' , the first three equations are given by the following system

$$\begin{cases} \langle (\gamma^1)^{(4)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^2)^{(4)}, N_2 \rangle, \\ \langle (\gamma^1)^{(4)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^3)^{(4)}, N_3 \rangle, \\ \langle (\gamma^1)^{(4)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^4)^{(4)}, N_4 \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

and the last equation is obtained as $\langle (\gamma^1)', (\gamma^1)''' \rangle = -\kappa_1^2$. If the coefficient matrix is non-singular, then u_i''' are easily found, while as if any of the equations in (54) vanishes, then that equation is replaced by $\langle (\gamma^1)''', N_1' \rangle = \langle (\gamma^i)''', N_i' \rangle$, or $\langle (\gamma^1)''', N_1' \rangle = \langle (\gamma^i)''', N_i' \rangle$, or $\langle (\gamma^1)''', N_1' \rangle = \langle (\gamma^i)''', N_i' \rangle, i = 2, 3, 4$.

4.3. Third curvature of the tangential intersection

To find the fourth curvature, we calculate $u_i^{(4)}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Representing $v_i^{(4)}, w_i^{(4)}, r_i^{(4)}$ in terms of linear combination of $u_i^{(4)}$, then the first three equations are

$$\begin{cases} \langle (\gamma^1)^{(5)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^2)^{(5)}, N_2 \rangle, \\ \langle (\gamma^1)^{(5)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^3)^{(5)}, N_3 \rangle, \\ \langle (\gamma^1)^{(5)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^5)^{(5)}, N_5 \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

Also the last equation depending on $u_i^{(4)}$ is $\langle (\gamma^1)^{(4)}, (\gamma^1)^{(4)} \rangle = -3\kappa_1' \kappa_1'$. If the determinant of coefficient matrix is non-vanishing, then $u_i^{(4)}$ are easily found, while as if any of the equations in (55) vanishes, then that equation is replaced by $\langle (\gamma^1)^{(4)}, N_1^{(j)} \rangle = \langle (\gamma^j)^{(4)}, N_1^{(j)} \rangle, i = 2, 3, 4$. and $j = 1 \dots 4$.

4.4. Fourth curvature of the tangential intersection

Finally to find the fourth curvature, we find $u_i^{(5)}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Writting $v_i^{(5)}, w_i^{(5)}, r_i^{(5)}$ in terms of linear combination of $u_i^{(5)}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \langle (\gamma^1)^{(6)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^2)^{(6)}, N_2 \rangle, \\ \langle (\gamma^1)^{(6)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^3)^{(6)}, N_3 \rangle, \\ \langle (\gamma^1)^{(6)}, N_1 \rangle = \langle (\gamma^6)^{(6)}, N_6 \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

The fourth equation depending on $u_i^{(5)}$ is $\langle (\gamma^1)^{(5)}, (\gamma^1)^{(5)} \rangle = -3(\kappa_1)^2 - 4\kappa_1' \kappa_1' + k_1^{(4)} + k_1^2 k_2^2$. If the determinant of coefficient matrix is non-zero, then $u_i^{(5)}$ are easily found, while as if any of the equations in (56) vanishes, then that equation is replaced by $\langle (\gamma^1)^{(5)}, N_1^{(j)} \rangle = \langle (\gamma^j)^{(5)}, N_1^{(j)} \rangle, i = 2, 3, 4$. and $j = 1 \dots 5$.

- [1] E. Iyigun, K. Arslan, On harmonic curvatures of curves in Lorentzian n-spaces, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A, 54 (1), 2005, 29-34.
- [2] G. Farin, Curves and Surfaces for Computer Aided Geometric Design: A Practical Guide. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 2002.
- [3] H. Gluck, Higher curvatures of curves in Euclidean space. Am. Math. Mon. 73 (7), 1966, 699-704.
- [4] H.S. Abdel-Aziz, M. Khalifa Saad, A.A. Abdel-Salam, On implicit surfaces and their intersection curves in Euclidean curves 4-spaces, Houston Journal of Mathematics, 40 (2), 2014, 339-352.
- [5] M. A. Soliman, A. Abdel, N.H. Hassan, S. A. N. Badr, Intersection curves of implicit and parametric surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Applied Mathematics 2 (8), 2011, 1019-1026.
- [6] M. Dıldül, Akbaba, Willmore-like methods for the intersection of parametric (hyper)surfaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 226, 2014, 516-527.
- [7] M. Dıldül, On the intersection curve of three parametric hypersurfaces. Comput. Aided Geom. Des., 27 (1), 2010, 118-127.
- [8] M. Turgut, J. Luis, L. Bonilla and S. Yılmaz, On Frenet-Serret Invariants of Non-Null Curves in Lorentzian Space L^5 , International Journal of Mathematical, Computational, Physical, Electrical and Computer Engineering, 3 (7), 2009, 502-504.
- [9] N. H. Abdel-Alld, S. A. N. Badr, M.A. Soliman, S. A. Hassan, Intersection curves of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$, Computer Aided Geometric Design 29, 2012, 99-108.
- [10] N. M. Patrikalakis, T. Maekawa, Shape Interrogation for Computer Aided Design and Manufacturing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York. 2002.
- [11] O. Aléssio, Differential geometry of intersection curves in $\mathbb{R}^4$ of three implicit surfaces. Comput. Aided Geom. Des. 26 (4), 2009, 455-471.
- [12] O. Aléssio, Formulas for second curvature, third curvature, normal curvature, first geodesic curvature and first geodesic torsion of implicit curve in n-dimensions. Comput. Aided Geom. Des., 29 (4), 2012, 189-201.
- [13] O. Aléssio, Geometria diferencial de curvas de interseção de duas superfícies implícitas. TEMA Tend. Mat. Apl. Comput., 7 (2), 2006, 169-178.
- [14] P. S. Wesson, The status of modern five dimensional gravity, arxiv.org/pdf/1412.6136.
- [15] R. Goldman, Curvature formulas for implicit curves and surfaces. Computer Aided Geometric Design 22, 2005, 632-658. Euclidean 4-space, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 288, 2015, 81-98.
- [16] S. R. Hollasch, Four-space visualization of 4D objects. Master thesis. Arizona State University, 1991..
- [17] S. Yılmaz, M. Turgut, A Method to Calculate Frenet Apparatus of the Curves in Euclidean-5 Space, International Journal of Computational and Mathematical Sciences, 2008, 2-2.
- [18] S. Yılmaz, M. Turgut, Determination of Frenet Apparatus of Partially Null and Pseudo Null Curves in Minkowski Space-time, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 3 (27), 2008, 1337-1341.
- [19] Struik, D.J., Lectures on Classical Differential Geometry. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1950.
- [20] T. J. Willmore, An Introduction to Differential Geometry, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1959.
- [21] T. Maekawa, F. E. Wolter and N.M. Patrikalakis, Umbilics and lines of curvature for shape interrogation, Computer Aided Geometric Design, 13, 1996, 133-161.

- [22] W. Klingenberg, A Course in Differential Geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [23] Y. Y. Li, Motions of cartan curves in n-dimensional monkowski space, Modern Physics Letters A, World scientific, 28, (27), 2013, 1350110-1350123.
- [24] Y. Xiuzi, T. Maekawa, Differential geometry of intersection curves of two surfaces, Computer Aided Geometric Design 16 1999, 767-788.