



NEUTROSOPHIC CONCEPT OF INDETERMINISTIC DATA PROCESSING FOR PROPER JUDGEMENT

Soumitra De

CSE Department, College of Engineering and Management, Kolaghat, (India)

ABSTRACT

We have introduced a concept using Neutrosophic logic to solve the problem. Any neutrosophic data is based on three members. Neutrosophic logic is been used to handle in deterministic part of a neutrosophic data. We have focused to solve the real problem of a patient. Fuzzy and vague both data are not able to handle indeterminacy part. Only neutrosophic data can handle indeterminacy part of a fuzzy data for generating fruitful results.

Keywords- *Neutrosophic Concepts, Neutrosophic Data, Approach of Neutrosophic.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Uncertain data in common fields could be caused by difficulties in classical mathematical modelling. The fuzzy sets [1, 2, 3] and vague set [4, 5, 6, 7] are applied in various real problems in uncertain and incomplete information based environment. Few cases it might be very difficult to handle the membership value. The vague set is used for the real problems of truth and false membership values. It does not handle the indeterminacy based problems. Smarandache [8, 9, 10] first time proposed the neutrosophic logic of imprecise data. A lot of literature found in this regard in [11, 12, 13, 14]. We are introduced an approach using neutrosophic logic to take more accurate decision. We are applied this approach to solve the real problem and show the results.

II. BASIC DEFINITIONS

2.1 Definition

A classical subset B of U can be viewed as a fuzzy subset with membership function μ_{FS} taken binary values, i.e.,

$$\mu_B = 1 \text{ if } u \in B$$

$$= 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

A neutrosophic set X on U is defined as $X = \{ \langle a_i, T_X(a_i), I_X(a_i), F_X(a_i) \rangle, a_i \in U \}$, where $T_X(a_i) \rightarrow [0,1]$; $I_X(a_i) \rightarrow [0,1]$; $F_X(a_i) \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $T_X(a_i) + I_X(a_i) + F_X(a_i) \leq 2$ and U is the discourses of world.

2.2 Example

Now consider books (B) and parameters (P^1) two sets. Consider $P^1 = \{\text{Database, Java}\}$. Suppose that, there are three books in B which is given by, $B = \{b_1, c_1\}$ and the set of parameters $P^1 = \{p_1, p_2\}$, where p_1 stands for the parameter 'Database', p_2 stands for the parameter 'Java'. We are expressing the knowledge from **Table 1**.

Neutrosophic set with parameter name	Neutrosophic representation of three books
B(Database)	$\langle b, 0.5, 0.7, 0.35 \rangle, \langle c, 0.35, 0.7, 0.55 \rangle$
B(Java)	$\langle b, 0.8, 0.4, 0.2 \rangle, \langle c, 0.67, 0.4, 0.3 \rangle$

Table 1: Neutrosophic set with parameter names which are containing neutrosophic values (T, I, F) of two existing books.

III. NEW APPROACH USING NEUTROSOPHIC LOGIC

3.1 Approach

Step1: If $T > I$, $T > F$ and the difference of (I- F) is highest +ve value
then I can tell that patient has been recovered by the medicine.

Step 2: If the difference of ($t > (F+I)$) is the highest +ve value
then I can tell that patient is recovered by the medicine.

Step 3: If sum of T values + sum of I values greater than sum of F values + sum of I values and the highest +ve value then I can say that patient has been recovered from disease.

3.2 Problem

We have taken a neutrosophic data of medicines for one particular problem of the patient. Then I have tried to recover the patient from the problem and make the decision which medicine is more appropriate for the treatment.

Medicine(M)	Neutrosophic Distribution (T, I, F)
M_1	(0.78,0.6,0.3)
M_2	(0.6,0.3,0.21)
M_3	(0.32,0.1,0.4)
M_4	(0.54,0.5,0.45)
M_5	(0.6,0.3,0.34)

Table 2: Problem data

3.2.1 Solution

Step 1: $T > I$, $T > F$ and the difference of (I-F) is highest +ve value.

$M_1 = .78 > .6$, $.78 > .3$; it is true with 0.3(+ve)

$M_2 = .6 > .3$, $.6 > .21$; it is true with 0.09(+ve)

$M_3 = .32 > .1$, $.32 > .4$; it is false

$M_4 = .54 > .5$, $.54 > .45$; it is true with 0.05(+ve)

$M_5 = .6 > .3$, $.6 > .34$; it is false

So, the patient has been recovered from disease by medicine M_1 .

Step 2: the difference of ($t > (F+I)$) is the highest +ve value

$M_1 = (.78 > (.6+.3))$; it is false

$M_2 = (.6 > (.3+.21))$; it is true with 0.09(+ve)



$M_3 = (.32 > (.1 + .4))$; it is false

$M_4 = (.54 > (.5 + .45))$; it is false

$M_5 = (.6 > (.3 + .34))$ it is false

So, the patient has been recovered from disease by medicine M_2 .

Step 3: sum of T values + sum of I values greater than sum of F values + sum of I values and the difference is highest +ve value

$M_1 = ((.78 + .6) > (.6 + .3))$; it is true with .48(+ve)

$M_2 = ((.6 + .3) > (.3 + .21))$; it is true with 0.39(+ve)

$M_3 = ((.32 + .1) > (.1 + .4))$; it is false

$M_4 = ((.54 + .5) > (.5 + .45))$; it is true with .09(+ve)

$M_5 = ((.6 + .3) > (.3 + .34))$ it is true with .26(+ve)

So, the patient has been recovered from disease by medicine M_1 .

I have seen that among the several medicines for a particular disease, one medicine is more appropriate than other medicines to recover the patient from the disease. So, the patient is relief from disease by the medicine M_1 in this particular problem.

IV. CONCLUSION

A new approach of inconsistent data has been used to solve the real life problem by choosing proper medicine, with the help of neutrosophic concepts. In different application field, the neutrosophic logic has been used in a database for handling inconsistent data. My approach has been used for solving the problem which is based on indeterminacy data. This approach should not be applicable on fuzzy and vague data related problems because these two type of data unable to handle indeterminacy membership value of a inconsistent data.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.V.S.V.N. Raju and A.K. Majumdar, "Fuzzy functional dependencies and lossless join decomposition of fuzzy relational database system", Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 129-166, 1988.
- [2] M. I. Sözat and A. Yazici "A complete axiomatization for fuzzy functional and multivalued dependencies in fuzzy database relations", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 117, No. 2, pp.161-181, 2001.
- [3] O. Bahar and A Yazici., "Normalization and Lossless Join Decomposition of Similarity-Based Fuzzy Relational Databases", International Journal of Intelligent Systems, Vol. 19, No. 10, pp. 885-917, 2004.
- [4] F. Zhao, Z. M. Ma and L. Yan, "A Vague Relational Model and Algebra", Fourth International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (FSKD 2007), Vol. 1, pp. 81-85, 2007.
- [5] F. Zhao and Z. M. Ma, "Vague Query Based on Vague Relational Model", AISC 61, pp. 229-238, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- [6] J. Mishra , S. Ghosh, "A New Functional Dependency in a Vague Relational Database Model", International Journal of Computer Applications (IJCA), Vol. 39, No. 8, pp. 29-33, 2012.
- [7] J. Mishra , S. Ghosh, "Uncertain Query Processing using Vague Sets or Fuzzy Set: Which one is Better?", International Journal of Computers, Communications and Control (IJCCC),



Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 730-740, 2014.

- [8] F. Smarandache, "First International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Probability, Set, and Logic", University of New Mexico, Vol. 1, No. 3, 2001.
- [9] F. Smarandache (2002a), "A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, in Multiple-Valued Logic", International Journal, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp.385-438, 2002.
- [10] F. Smarandache, "Definitions Derived from Neutrosophics, Multiple-Valued Logic" , International Journal, Vol. 8, No. 5-6, pp.591-604, 2002.
- [11] M. Arora and R. Biswas, "Deployment of Neutrosophic technology to retrieve answers for queries posed in natural language", in 3rd International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technology ICCSIT 2010, Vol. 3, pp. 435-439, 2010.
- [12] M. Arora, R. Biswas and U.S. Pandey, "Neutrosophic Relational Database Decomposition", International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, Vol. 2, No. 8, pp.121-125, 2011.
- [13] S. Broumi, "Generalized Neutrosophic Soft Set", International Journal of Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 17–30, 2013.
- [14] I. Deli and S. Broumi, "Neutrosophic soft relations and some properties, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics", Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 169–182, 2015.