International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering
Vol. No.6, Issue No. 01 , January 2017
www.ijarse.com
IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

REVIEW ON PRODUCTION OF BIODIESEL FROM TRANSESTERIFICATION

Deepak Verma[1], Janmit Raj[2], Amit Pal[3], Manish Jain[4]

[1]*Research Scholar,* [2, 3, 4]*Assistant Professor*

[1, 2, 4] *Department of Automobile Engineering, Rustam Ji Institute of Technology, Tekanpur, Gwalior (M. P.), (India)*

[3]*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Delhi Technological University, Bawana Road, Delhi, (India)*

ABSTRACT

Biodiesel fuel produced by alcoholysis of edible, non-edible and waste cooking oil is viewed as a promising renewable fuel source. Diminishing petroleum reserves and increasing environmental regulations have made the search for renewable fuel. This paper focuses on review of a work carried out by researchers in the field of production of biodiesel from different types of oil. The raw oil used by researchers for production of biodiesel first briefly summarized followed by a description of biodiesel production method applied and yield percentage, FFA content, molar ratio and the reaction time of the biodiesel produced from the raw oil. The review is divided into three categories viz. production of biodiesel from edible oil, non-edible oil and waste cooking oil. This review paper contains the work of past researchers published between 2005 and 2015.

Keywords: Biodiesel, Transesterification, FFA Content, Reaction Time.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the increasing use of diesel fuel, many initiatives have become more attractive to search for alternate fuels to supply or replace fossil fuels. Biodiesel is synthesized from edible, non-edible and waste cooking oil or animal oil can be regarded as an alternative diesel fuel. The various alternative fuel options tried in place of hydrocarbon oils are mainly biogas, producer gas, ethanol, methanol and vegetable oils. Out of all these, biodiesel offers an advantage because of their comparable fuel properties with that of diesel. The emissions produced from biodiesel are cleaner compared to petroleum-based diesel fuel. Particulate emissions, soot, and carbon monoxide are lower since biodiesel is an oxygenated fuel. The biodiesel could be used as pure fuel or as blend with petro diesel, which is stable in all ratio. Alternative new and renewable fuels have the potential to solve many of the current social problems and concerns, from air pollution and global warming to other environmental improvements and sustainability issues.

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

II. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF BIODIESEL

Biodiesel is an alternative fuel made from renewable biological sources such as vegetable oils both (edible and non-edible oil) and animal fats According to the US standard specification for biodiesel (American Society for Testing and materials (ASTM) 6751), biodiesel is defined as a fuel comprised of mono alkyl esters of long chain fatty acids from vegetable oils or animal fats [1]. The dominant bio-diesel production process, namely transesterification, typically involves the reaction of an alkyl-alcohol with a long chain ester linkage in the presence of a catalyst to yield mono-alkyl esters (bio-diesel) and glycerol [2, 3].

2.1 Production of Biodiesel by Transesterification

Generally, biodiesel is produced by means of transesterification. Transesterification is the reaction of a lipid with an alcohol to form esters and a byproduct, glycerol. It is, in principle, the action of one alcohol displacing another from an ester, referred to as alcoholysis (cleavage by an alcohol). In Transesterification mechanism, the carbonyl carbon of the starting ester ($RCOOR^1$) undergoes nucleophilic attack by the incoming alkoxide (R^2O^-) to give a tetrahedral intermediate, which either reverts to the starting material, or proceeds to the transesterified product ($RCOOR^2$). Transesterification consists of a sequence of three consecutive reversible reactions. The first step is the conversion of triglycerides to diglycerides, followed by the conversion of diglycerides to monoglycerides, and finally monoglycerides into glycerol, yielding one ester molecule from each glyceride at each step. The reaction is represented in equation 1. The reactions are reversible, although the equilibrium lies towards the production of fatty acid esters and glycerol. This reaction proceeds well in the presence of some homogeneous catalysts such as potassium hydroxide (KOH)/sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Depending on the undesirable compounds (especially FFA and water), each catalyst has its advantages and disadvantages. Sodium hydroxide is very well accepted and widely used because of its low cost and high product yield. The most common alcohols widely used are methyl alcohol and ethyl alcohol. Among these two, methanol found frequent application in the commercial uses because of its low cost.

$$\text{Triglycerides} + \text{ROH} \rightleftharpoons \text{Diglycerides} + R^1COOR$$

$$\text{Diglycerides} + \text{ROH} \rightleftharpoons \text{Monoglycerides} + R^2COOR$$

$$\text{Monoglycerides} + \text{ROH} \rightleftharpoons \text{Glycerol} + R^3COOR$$

Equation 1 Chemistry of transesterification

International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering
Vol. No.6, Issue No. 01 , January 2017
www.ijarse.com
IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

III. PREVIOUS RESEARCHES

Previous work done on production of biodiesel from edible oil.

Over the last few years, there has been increasing amounts of research and interest in the different edible feedstocks that can be used to make biodiesel and the effects of the different feedstocks on the quality of the biodiesel. Currently, biodiesel is produced from different crops such as, Jojoba oil, palm oil, soybean oil, canola, rice bran, sunflower, coconut, rapeseed, soybean and sunflower oil [4, 5, 6]. The major difference between various edible oils is the type of fatty acids attached in the triglyceride molecule. Fatty acid composition effects the yield percentage, reaction temperature, FFA content and molar ratio of the biodiesel oil [7].

Leung D.Y.C and Guo Y. [8], compared the transesterification reaction conditions for fresh canola oil and used frying oil. Higher molar ratio (7:1, methanol/used frying oil), higher temperature (60° C) and higher amount of catalyst (1.1 wt% NaOH) was maintained in used frying oil when compared to fresh canola oil where optimal conditions maintained were 315-318 K, 1.0 wt% NaOH and 6:1 methanol/oil molar ratio. However, less reaction time (20 min) was observed for used frying oil when compared to fresh canola oil reaction time (60 min). The Fig. 1 shows the effect of temperature on the transesterification of (a) neat Canola oil; and (b) UFO. In the further work Lingfeng Cui *et al.* [9] developed biodiesel from cottonseed oil by using KF/γ-Al2O3 as heterogeneous catalysts for the transesterification of cottonseed oil with methanol. The operation variables used were methanol/oil molar ratio (6:1–18:1), catalyst concentration (1–5 wt %), temperature (50–68 °C), and catalyst type. The biodiesel with the best properties was obtained using a methanol/oil molar ratio of 12:1, catalyst (4%), and 65°C temperature with the catalyst KF/γ- Al2O3. Later Sinha Shailendra *et al.* [4] determined the optimum condition for transesterification of rice bran oil with methanol and NaOH as catalyst by mechanical stirring method. The condition was found at 55° C reaction temperature, 1 h reaction time, 9:1 molar ratio of rice bran oil to methanol and 0.75% catalyst (w/w). Further, the physical properties of rice bran methyl ester were tested and compared with other biodiesels and diesel. The result showed that characteristics of rice bran methyl ester were comparable to diesel.



Figure 1: Effect of temperature on the transesterification of (a) neat Canola oil; and (b) UFO.

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

In an important research Georgogianni K.G. *et al.* [2] studied transesterification reaction of rapeseed oil with methanol, in the presence of homogeneous (NaOH) and heterogeneous (Mg MCM-41, Mg–Al Hydrotalcite, and K+ impregnated zirconia) using low frequency ultrasonication (24 kHz) and mechanical stirring (600 rpm) for the production of biodiesel fuel. The study concluded that that the homogeneous catalyst accelerated significantly the transesterification reaction, as compared to all heterogeneous catalysts, using both mechanical stirring (15 min vs. 24 h) and ultrasonication (10 min vs. 5 h). The table 1 shown below gives the Conversion of rapeseed oil in the presence of different catalysts using both mechanical stirring and ultrasonication. Further, Issariyakul Titipong and Dalai K. Ajay [5] carried out work on transesterification of canola oil and greenseed canola oil via KOH-catalyzed along with methanol, ethanol and a mixture of methanol and ethanol. The reaction was conducted at 60°C and a stirring speed of 600 rpm for 90 min. Prior to transesterification, greenseed canola oil was bleached to remove pigments using various adsorbents at different conditions. The result of the research work disclosed that Biodiesel derived from the treated greenseed canola oil showed an improvement in oxidative stability (induction time of 0.7 h) as compared to that derived from crude greenseed canola oil (induction time of 0.5 h).

Table 1: Conversion of rapeseed oil in the presence of different catalysts using both mechanical stirring and ultrasonication

	Time (h)	Yield (%)			
		Type of catalyst			
		Mg/MCM-41	MgAl hydrotalcite	10K/ZrO_2	20K/ZrO_2
Mechanical stirring	5	21	28	30	38
	10	43	54	37	42
	15	56	73	49	56
	20	73	89	61	69
	24	85	97	67	89
Ultrasonication	1	25	32	29	35
	2	48	58	39	48
	3	59	76	52	59
	4	75	89	63	73
	5	89	96	70	83

Afterwards Alamu J Oguntola et al. [10], produced the biodiesel from through transesterification 100g coconut oil, 20.0% ethanol (wt% coconut oil) and 0.8% potassium hydroxide catalyst at 65℃ reaction temperature with 120 min. reaction time. Low yield of the biodiesel (10.4%) was obtained. While Tang Ying et al. [7], developed a new method catalyst, benzyl bromide-modified CaO for production of biodiesel from rapeseed. The improved catalytic activity was obtained by better fat diffusion to the surface of the benzyl bromide-modified CaO. Further, a 99.2% yield of fatty acid methyl esters in 3h was

obtained in comparison to by better fat diffusion to the surface of the benzyl bromide-modified CaO. The normal and modified CaO is shown in Fig.2. Further, Silva F. Giovanilton *et al.* [6], produced biodiesel from soybean oil by transesterification with ethanol. Optimum conditions for the production of ethyl esters were the following: mild temperature at

56.7 °C, reaction time in 80 min, molar ratio at 9:1 and catalyst concentration of 1.3 M. For esterification reaction, H*R*2*R*SO*R*4*R* was added as a catalyst and for transesterification KOH was added as the catalyst with

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

methanol. In the investigation of Wakil Abdul Md. *et al.* [11], chosen Cottonseed oil, Mosna oil and Sesame oil for producing biodiesel. Biodiesel is produced by transesterifying the oil with an alcohol such as methanol under mild conditions in the presence of a base catalyst. Satisfactory amount of biodiesel is produced from

Cottonseed oil at 3:1 molar ratio of methanol and oil. Three types of oil (cottonsed oil, mosna oil, sesame oil) are extracted from the seeds and chemically converted via an alkaline transesterification reaction to fatty acid methyl ester. The optimum conditions established for the methanolysis of crude cotton seed oil in the investigation were recorded to be: 3:1 molar ratio of methanol to oil and 1.00% (w/w) catalyst. For Mosna oil the optimum conditions were recorded to be 3. 5:1 M ratio of methanol to oil and 1.00% (w/w) catalyst. But small amount of biodiesel was found from this oil and production cost is higher than cottonseed oil. And for Sesame oil the optimum conditions were recorded to be 3.5:1 M ratio of methanol to oil and 1.00% (w/w) catalyst.



Figure 2: SEM Photo of (a) CaO and (b) Modified CaO

Ali N. Eman and Tay Isis Cadence [12], investigated characteristics of biodiesel produced from palm oil via base catalyst transesterification process. To find the optimum yield value of biodiesel, three important parameters were selected such as reaction temperature 40, 50, and 60°C, reaction time 40, 60 and 80 min. and methoxide ratio 4:1, 6:1 and 8:1. By conducting the experiments the optimum yield value 88% was achieved by the parameters such as reaction temperature 60°C, reaction time 40 minutes and methoxide ratio 6:1. From the optimum yield value, the physical properties were calculated like, density is 876.0 kg/m3, kinematic viscosity of 4.76 mm2/s, cetane number of 62.8, flash point of 170°C, cloud point of 13°C. The produced biodiesel had similar properties of ASTM D 6751, and EN 14214. In the important study

Banerjee Madhuchanda *et al.* [13], determined the catalytic activity of

bimetallic Gold–silver core–shell nanoparticles toward biodiesel production from Sunflower oil through transesterification. The structure of nanoparticles was examined by UV–vis spectroscopy, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis. The result of studied showed that at certain catalyst concentration, temperature and reaction time, highest yield of biodiesel (86.9%) is attained. Further, the catalyst showed sustained activity for 3 cycles of transesterification. The effect of variable on the yield of biodiesel is shown in Fig. 3. In the most recent work Nikhom Ruamporn

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

and Tongurai Chakrit [14], examined the continuous deglycerolisation (CD) for the production of ethyl ester biodiesel from palm oil. In this work, $KOCH_3$ was selected as the catalyst in transesterification and the reaction temperature was maintained at 60°C. The result showed that ethyl ester production using CD process could obtain good purity and yield in a single step transesterification. The ester content and yield were 98.0 wt.% and 93.1 wt.%, respectively at molar ratio of oil to ethanol of 1:5.5, $KOCH_3$ concentration of 1.2 wt.% and retention time of 30 min. The FFA content of palm oil and ethyl ester is shown in table 2 below.



Figure 3: Effects of variables on biodiesel yield; (a) catalyst concentration and (b) temperature.

Table 2: The fatty acid composition of palm oil raw material and Ethyl Ester product

Fatty Acid Composition	Wt. %	
	Palm Oil	Ethyl ester
Lauric acid (C12:0)	1.11	0.24
Myristic acid (C14:0)	1.23	0.97
Palmitic acid (C16:0)	36.58	37.95
Palmitoleic acid (C16:1)	1.39	0.16
Stearic acid (C18:0)	4.5	3.62
Oleic acid (C18:1)	43.38	45.95
Linoleic acid (C18:2)	11.81	11.10
Others	-	2.01

Previous work done on production of biodiesel from non-edible oil.

Azam Mohibbe M. *et al.* [15], found that FAME of Jatropha curcas were most suitable for use as bio- diesel and met the major specification of bio-diesel standards of the European, Germany and USA Standards Organization.

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

Afterwards Sarin Rakesh *et al.* [16], made appropriate blends of Jatropha and palm bio-diesel to improve oxidation stability and low temperature properties because Jatropha bio-diesel has good low temperature property and palm bio-diesel has good oxidative stability. It was found that antioxidant dosage could be reduced by 80-90% when palm oil bio-diesel is blended with Jatropha bio-diesel at about 20-40%. Moreover, Tiwari Kumar Alok *et al*. [17], used response surface methodology to optimize three important reaction variables, including methanol quantity, acid concentration, and reaction time. The optimum the FFA of Jatropha oil from 14% to less than 1% was found to be 1.43% v/v sulphuric acid catalyst, 0.28 v/v methanol-to-oil ration and 88 min reaction time at 60 ºC. The properties of Jatropha oil bio- diesel conform to European and American standards.



Figure 4: Antioxidant dose optimisation for Jatropha and Palm biodiesel.



Figure 5: Low temperature property of Jatropha–Palm methyl ester blend

A year later Berchmans Johanes Hanny and Hirata Shizuko [18], developed a two-step pre-treatment process in which the high FFA (15%) of *Jatropha curcas* seed oil was reduced to less than 1%. In the first step, the reaction was carried out with 0.60 w/w methanol-to-oil ratio in the presence of 1 wt.% sulphuric acid as an acid catalyst in 1 h at 50ºC. In the second step, the transesterification reaction was performed using 0.24 w/w methanol-to-oil ratio and 1.4 wt% sodium hydroxide. While Chakrabarti H. Mohammed and Ahmad Rafiq [1], presented work on extraction of oil from castor bean and converting it into biodiesel from ransesterification. It was found that reaction mixture containing 65ml of methanol along with 2.4 g of catalyst (KOH) took a good start in half an hour at30°C. In this reaction, amount of glycerine removed as well as ester content produced was considerably increased with rise in temperature of mixture upto 70°C by extending time period (180-360 minutes). The removal of glycerine increased by two and half times and ester content by four times, respectively. When castor oil was subjected to acid esterification, prior to transesterification (a separate investigation), it was found that ester contents up to 95% could be obtained. The comparison of physical- chemical properties of castor oil and diesel done by them is shown in table 3.

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

Table 3: Physical-chemical properties of mineral diesel, castor oil

Parameter	ASTM Test Method	ASTM Limits	Castor Oil	Diesel
Viscosity (mm^2/s)	ASTM D445	1.9 - 6.0	13.75	3.2
Sulfur (%)	ASTM D5453	0.0015, Max	0.0001	0.20
Density 15°C (g/cm^3)	ASTM D 1298	0.875 - 0.9	0.9279	0.8503
Density 20°C (g/cm^3)	ASTM D 1298	0.86	0.9245	0.8465
Flash point (°C)	ASTM D93	93°C Min.	120	37
Copper Corrosion	ASTM D130	No. 3, Max	1	1
Cetane index	ASTM D613	47, Min	50	45
Water and sediment (vol. %)	ASTM D2709	0.050, Max	0.05	Nil

In the further research work Kapilan N and Reddy R.P. [19], produced biodiesel from Mahua oil methyl esters (MOME) by transesterification using potassium hydroxide (KOH) as catalyst and tested the conversion of vegetable oil to biodiesel by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) testing method. Further, studied the performance characteristics fuelling with Mahua biodiesel in a diesel engine. They concluded that B20 gave higher thermal efficiency and lower specific fuel consumption then diesel fuel. The physical properties of Mahua oil prepared by them is shown in table 4. In another research work Kansedo Jibrail *et al.* [20], extracted oil from the seeds of C. odollam fruits. The transesterification of the extracted oil was done to form fatty acid methyl esters (FAME). The transesterification reactions were carried out using three different catalysts; sodium hydroxide (NaOH) as a homogenous catalyst, sulfated zirconia alumina and montmorillonite KSF as heterogeneous catalysts. The seeds were found to contain high percentage of oil up to 54% while the yield of FAME can reach up to 83.8% using sulfated zirconia catalyst. Further, Kafuku Gerald *et al.* [3], examined the feasibility of converting a non-edible oil source croton megalocarpus oil to methyl esters (biodiesel) using sulfated tin oxide with enchansed SiO_2 as super acid solid catalyst. At the following reaction condition 180°C, 2 h and 15:1 methanol to oil molar ratio, while keeping constant catalyst concentration and stirring speed at 3 wt.% and 350–360 rpm the yield up to 95% was obtained without any pre-treatment was obtained. The researcher Padhi S.K. and Singh R.K. [20] produced biodiesel from Mahua oil through the Esterification by varying different parameters. The conditions for produce biodiesel were 8% Sodium Methoxide, 0.33% v/v alcohol/oil ratio, 1 hr reaction time, 65°C temperature and 150% v/v excess alcohol. They concluded this was the best condition for biodiesel production. The results show that 4% H_2SO_4, 0.33% v/v alcohol/oil ratio, 1 hr reaction time and 65°C temperature are the optimum conditions for esterification. Optimum conditions for the production of biodiesel from Mahua oil are 8% Sodium Methoxide, 0.33% v/v alcohol/oil ratio, 1 hr reaction time, 65°C temperature and 150% v/v excess alcohol.

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

Table 4: Properties of MOME and its blends with diesel

Property	MO	MOME	Diesel	B20
Flash point (°C)	212	129	65	103
Kinematic viscosity at 40 °C (cst)	28.58	5.10	2.4	4.04
Copper strip corrosion	1.5	1	1	1
Cloud point (°C)	17	4	−6	−3
Density at 15 °C (kg/m^3)	897	876	821	838
Calorific value (MJ/kg)	35.614	36.914	42.960	41.750

E.I Bello and A Makanju [22] analyzed castor oil methyl ester as possible alternative fuel for diesel engines. To overcome the high kinematic viscosity of the neat oil, a high molar ratio of 6:1 was used to produce the methyl ester. The viscosity of the ester was high and further reduced by blending with diesel fuel to reduce it to within the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D6751 limits for biodiesel. The biodiesel was characterized and tested in a single cylinder diesel engine. The results obtained gave properties, torque outputs and specific fuel consumption that are close to those of diesel fuel thus confirming that it can be used as alternative fuel for diesel engines. While Deshpande D.P. *et al.* [23], studied transesterification reaction on castor oil in a batch reactor using potassium hydroxide as a catalyst. The variables chosen for the study were reaction time, Oil to methanol ratio, catalyst concentration; and reaction temperature. The effects of these variables on the viscosity of biodiesel were studied, since this is one of the important specifications in ASTM standard. Apart from viscosity other properties like specific grravity, acid value, and sap value were also determined for the biodiesel product. From the study it was concluded that the optimum operating condition are oil to methanol mole ratio 1:9, temperature 30oC, catalyst concentration 1 wt % and run time 45 min. The variation of viscosity, specific gravity, acid value with time is shown in table 5.

Table 5: Variation of viscosity, specific gravity, acid value with time

Time of Run (min)	**30**	**45**	**60**	**90**
Kinematic viscosity, (cSt) for	16.56	11.28	12.44	13.93
Kinematic viscosity, (cSt) for Sodium Hydroxide as Catalyst	21.57	13.10	15.33	18.11
Specific gravity for Sulfuric Acid as	0.9018	0.9006	0.9012	0.9019
Specific gravity for Sodium	0.9106	0.889	0.9016	0.9039
Acid value for Sulfuric Acid as	0.90	0.58	0.562	0.541
Acid value for Sodium Hydroxide as Catalyst	0.92	0.37	0.40	0.52

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

Afterwards Widayat *et al.* [24], presented work on biodiesel from the rubber seed by situ method. The researcher focused on influence of reaction time, concentration of acid catalyst and ratio of raw material to methanol. This process took 120 minutes at 60°C with maximum yield of FAME 91.05% at HR2RSOR4R 0.25% (v/v) and ratio of raw material to methanol (1:3). Based on the results, ratio of raw material to methanol was quite important to increase yield of FAME significantly. The experimental setup used by the researcher is shown in Fig. 6.

Further, the team of researchers Ali Hasan Md. *et al.* [25] produced biodiesel from neem seeds, its properties were close to diesel. The methodology of esterification process was selected and carried out by

1000 ml raw neem oil, 300ml methanol and sodium hydroxide on mass basis as a catalyst usually kept in oven to form methyl ester, and initially to reach equilibrium condition at temperature 55-66°C. The ester and glycerine were separated by stimulating continuously and allow settling under gravity for 24 h. Thus the separated ester contains

3% to 6% methanol and soap agents. The methanol was removed by vaporization. The biodiesel had some catalyst; it was removed by warm water mix with ester. Kinematic viscosity lay between 1.9 to 6.0 according to the ASTM D6751 specification. Hence, 0.95 ltr. biodiesel was production from 1 ltr neem oil.



Figure 6: Experimental Setup

In an advance production method of biodiesel Riadi Lieke *et al.* [26], produced biodiesel from karanja oil by two step process. The first step process which was designed for 3 hours reaction time at 30°C and 5.8% of ozone using either 1 or 1.5 weight % KOH at various percent weight of supporting catalyst had proved simultaneous reaction for both ozonolysis and transesterification. The short chain methyl esters (methyl hexanoate, methyl octanoate and methyl nonanoate) were effectively produced for the first step process using 5.8% mole ozone at 30°C for 3 hours either for 1 or 1.5 weight % KOH at various percent weight of extracted supporting catalyst. From this reaction, the esters predicted by ozone reaction were sufficiently produced. The highest short chain methyl esters and long chain methyl esters produced in the first step process was 85.722 mg/litre and 655.286 mg/ltr respectively, which used 17.3 weight % ash and 1.5 weight % KOH. The presence of extracted ash in methanol as supporting catalyst enhanced the production of total methyl esters compared to that without the presence of ash in the first step process. Higher temperature (60°C) in the second step process without the

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

presence of ozone gave enough vibration of energy, to increase rate of transesterification and decrease the viscosity.In the recent work Hotti R Siddalingappa and Hebbal D Omprakash [27], presented work on non-edible champaca seed oil biodiesel (CBD). The biodiesel was produced by two step process i.e. acid pretreatment process followed by base-catalyzed transesterification process as the free fatty acid (FFA) content found to be 5.30% (corresponding to acid value of 10.55, mg KOH/g). The first step of process was carried out with methanol and sulphuric acid as catalyst, followed by second step, base-catalyzed transesterification process with methanol and sodium hydroxide as catalyst the biodiesel yield was found to be 83.50 %.

Previous work done on production of biodiesel from waste cooking oil.

Zheng S. *et al.* [28] analyzed the reaction kinetics of acid-catalyzed transesterification of waste frying oil. They found that at the methanol/oil molar ratio of 250:1 at 70 ºC or in the range 74:1-250:1 at 80ºC, the reaction was a pseudo-first-order reaction. High yield of 99±1% could be achieved at both 70 ºC and 80 ºC temperatures while stirring at rate of 400 rpm, using a feed molar ratio oil:methanol:acid of 1:245:3.8. A year later Wang Yong *et al.* [29] investigated a two- step catalyzed processes for synthesis of bio-diesel by using WCO from Chinese restaurants. In the first step, ferric sulphate-catalyzed methanolysis was carried out, while potassium hydroxide catalysis was performed in the second step. The authors concluded that compared with one-step sulphur acid catalysis the two-step catalyzed process provided a simpler and more economic method to produce bio-diesel from WCO. The conversion rate is shown in fig. 7. In the further research work Issariyakul Titipong *et al.* [30] also used the two-step process to transesterify waste cooking oil, except that sulphuric acid was selected as acid catalyst and mixtures of methanol and ethanol were used for transesterification in order to use the better solvent properties of ethanol and a more rapid equilibrium using methanol. More than 90% ester was obtained by using the two-stage method compared with yield of ~50% ester by using the single stage alkaline catalyst.



Figure 7: Conversion of FFA VS. Amount of Catalyst

Lin Fen-Ya *et al.* [31] also used WCO to prepare bio-diesel and then conducted a study in which the exhaust tail gas of bio-diesels was compared when the engine was operated using different fuel types, including neat bio-diesel, bio-diesel/diesel blends, and normal diesel fuels. Among the collected data, the authors found that B20 and B50 were the optimum fuel blends. The properties of diesel and biodiesel used by the researcher is shown in table 6. Later Hossain A.B.M.S. and Boyce A.N. [32] in their work compared optimum conditions of alkaline- catalyzed transesterification process for biodiesel production from pure sunflower cooking oil (PSCO) and waste sunflower cooking oil

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

(WSCO) through transesterification process. The highest approximately 99.5% biodiesel yield acquired under optimum conditions of 1:6 volumetric oil-to-methanol ratio, 1% KOH catalyst at 40ºC reaction temperature and 320 rpm stirring speed. Result of the test showed that the biodiesel production from PSCO and WSCO exhibited no considerable differences. Afterwards Bakir T. Emaad and Fadhil B. Abdelrahman [33] presented work on single step transesterification and two step transesterification, namely acid-base and base–base catalyzed transesterification process for production of biodiesel from Chicken fried oil. For this purpose, hydrochloric acid and potassium hydroxide with methanol were used. The results disclosed that two step base catalyzed transesterification was better compared to other methods. It resulted in higher yield and better fuel properties.

Table 6: Major properties of premium diesel and biodiesel used

	Premium diesel	B100	Test method
Density at 20 °C (g/mL)	0.826	0.86	ASTM D 1298
Kinematic viscosity at 40 °C (cSt)	2.73	4.49	ASTM D 445
Cetane index	46.2	44.28	ASTM D 976
		48.05	EN ISO 4264
Flash point (°C)	89	122	ASTM D 93
Water and sediment (vol.%)	<1	0.22	ASTM D 2709
Gross Heating Value (cal/g)	11411.4	9850.6	ASTM D 240

Prafulla D. Patil *et al.* [34] carried out comparative study on biodiesel production from waste cooking oil using sulfuric acid (Two-step) and microwave-assisted transesterification (One-step). The two-step transesterification process was used to produce bio-diesel (alkyl ester) from high free fatty acid (FFA) waste cooking oil. While Microwave- assisted transesterification was done by using catalytic BaO and KOH in biodiesel production from waste cooking oil. The study shown that that the microwave-heating method consumes less than 10% of the energy to achieve the same yield as the conventional heating method. Further, fuel properties of biodiesel produced were compared with ASTM Standards for biodiesel and regular diesel. In important study Suvendu Mohanty *et al.* [35] observed that from Waste cooking oil CO emissions are increased with increase in engine load. Further it was found that volume of CO initially decreases but increase at full load indicating better burning conditions at higher temperature assisted by improved spraying qualities with uniform charge preparations of biodiesel. The emissions of unburnt hydrocarbon for biodiesel exhaust due to lower than that of diesel fuel the increased gas temperature and higher cetane number of biodiesel could be responsible for this decrease. Higher temperature of burnt gases in biodiesel fuel helps in preventing condensation of higher hydrocarbon reducing. While Canesin Antonio Edmilson *et al.* [36] produced biodiesel from Residual oils and also check the viability and degradation level of production process. Residual bovine, chicken and soybean oils were used for biodiesel production process. They used four transesterification methods, using acidic and basic catalysis and, gas chromatography with flame ionization detector (GC–FID). They concluded use of acidic catalysis at a lower temperature were the most efficient in the biodiesel production process. In the recent study Farooq Muhammad and Ramli Anita [37] prepared catalysts from raw chicken bones for transesterification reaction of waste cooking oil for biodiesel production. The study revealed that heterogeneous catalyst calcined at

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

900°C exhibited good catalytic activity in the transesterification of WCO, providing maximum biodiesel yield of 89.33% at 5.0 g of catalyst loading, 15:1 methanol to oil molar ratio at temperature of 65°C in reaction time of 4 h. The effect of molar ratio, catalyst amount and temperature observed by the researcher on the yield of biodiesel is shown in fig. 8.



Figure 8: Effect Of (A) Molar Ratio (B) Catalyst Amount And (C) Temperature On Biodiesel Yield

IV. CONCLUSION

This work has provided a comprehensive literature review of the previous research work carried out in past years on production of biodiesel from edible, non-edible and waste cooking oil. An effort has been made to comprise all the important contributions and highlighting the most pertinent literature available for investigating the feedstocks of biodiesel. The conclusion from the current literature survey are as follows: -

a) Biodiesel is an important alternative transportation fuel and it possess properties like renewability, biodegradability, non- toxicity and environmentally friendly benefits.
b) Biodiesel can be produced from different feedstock containing fatty acids such as animal fats, edible oils, non-edible oils, and waste cooking oils and by products of the refining vegetables oils.

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

c) Transesterification is a commonly employed method for its production. The purpose of this method is to reduce the viscosity of oil or fat using acid or base catalyst in the presence of methanol or ethanol.

d) Transesterification with alkali catalyst (KOH and NaOH) is more economical than acid catalyst and enzyme catalyst.

e) The biodiesel production is strongly affected by parameters such as molar ratio of alcohol, reaction temperature, reaction time and catalyst concentration.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The review presented in this work is by no means complete but it gives a comprehensive review of production of biodiesel from various feedstocks. The author wishes to apologize for the unintentional exclusions of missing references and would appreciate receiving comments and pointers to other relevant literature for a future update.

REFERENCES

[1] Mohammed H. Chakrabarti, Rafiq Ahmad. Trans esterification studies on castor oil as a first step towards its use in bio diesel production. Pakistan Journal of Botany 2008;40:1153-1157.

[2] Georgogianni K.G., Katsoulidis A.K., Pomonis P.J., Manos G., Kontominas M.G. Transesterification of rapeseed oil for the production of biodiesel using homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis. Fuel Processing Technology. 2009;90(7-8):1016-1022.

[3] Gerald Kafuku, Man Kee Lam, Jibrail Kansedo, Keat Teong Lee, Makame Mbarawa. *Croton megalocarpus* oil: A feasible non-edible oil source for biodiesel production. Bioresource Technology. 2010;101(18):7000-7004.

[4] Sinha Shailendra, Agarwal Kumar Avinash, and Garg Sanjeev. Biodiesel development from rice bran oil: transesterification process optimization and fuel characterization. Energy Conversion and Management. 2008; 49):1248–1257.

[5] Issariyakul Titipong and Dalai K. Ajay. Biodiesel production from greenseed canola oil. Energy Fuels 2010;24: 4652–4658.

[6] Giovanilton F. Silva, Fernando L. Camargo, Andrea L.O. Ferreira. Application of response surface methodology for optimization of biodiesel production by transesterification of soybean oil with ethanol. Fuel Processing Technology 2011;92:407–413.

[7] Ying Tang, Gang Chen, Jie Zhang, Yong Lu. Highly active CaO for the transesterification to biodiesel production from rapeseed oil. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Ethiopia 2011;25(1):37-42.

[8] D.Y.C. Leung, Y. Guo. Transesterification of neat and used frying oil:Optimization for biodiesel production. Fuel Processing Technology 2006;87:883-890.

[9] Lingfeng Cui, Guomin Xiao, Bo Xu, and Guangyuan Teng. Transesterification of cottonseed oil to biodiesel by using heterogeneous solid basic catalysts. Energy & Fuels 2007;21:3740–3743.

[10] Oguntola J ALAMU, Opeoluwa DEHINBO, Adedoyin M SULAIMA. Production and testing of coconut oil biodiesel fuel and its blend. Leonardo Journal of Sciences 2010;16:95-104.

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

[11] Md. Abdul Wakil, Z.U. Ahmed, Md. Hasibur Rahman, Md. Arifuzzaman. Study on fuel properties of various vegetable oil available in Bangladesh and biodiesel production. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering 2012;2(05):10-17.

[12] Eman N. Ali, Cadence Isis Tay. Characterization of biodiesel produced from palm oil via base catalyzed transesterification. Procedia Engineering 2013;53:7-12.

[13] Madhuchanda Banerjee, Binita Dey, Jayanta Talukdar, Mohan Chandra Kalita. Production of biodiesel from sunflower oil using highly catalytic bimetallic gold-silver core-shell nanoparticles. Energy. 2014;30:1-5.

[14] Ruamporn Nikhom, Chakrit Tongurai. Production development of ethyl ester biodiesel from palm oil using a continuous deglycerolisation process. Fuel 2014;117:926-931.

[15] Azam Mohibbe M., Amtul Waris, N.M. Nahar. Prospects and potential of fatty acid methyl esters of somenon-traditional seed oils for use as biodiesel in India. Biomass and Bioenergy 2005;29:293–302.

[16] Rakesh Sarin, Meeta Sharma, S. Sinharay, R.K. Malhotra. Jatropha–Palm biodiesel blends: An optimum mix for Asia. Fuel 2007;86: 1365–1371.

[17] A.K. Tiwari, A. Kumar, H. Raheman. Biodiesel production from Jatropha (*Jatropha curcas*) with high free fatty acids: an optimized process. Biomass and Bioenergy 2007;31:569-575.

[18] Hanny Johanes Berchmans, Shizuko Hirata. Biodiesel production from crude *Jatropha curcas* L. seed oil with a high content of free fatty acids. Bioresource Technology 2008;99:1716–1721.

[19] Kapilan N, Reddy R.P. Evaluation of methyl esters of Mahua oil (*Madhuca indica*) as Diesel Fuel. Journal of American Oil Chemists Society. 2008;85:185-188.

[20] Kansedo Jibrail, Lee Teong Keat and Bhatia Subhash. *Cerbera odollam* (Sea Mango) oil as a promising non-edible feedstock for biodiesel production. Fuel 2009;88:1148-1150.

[21] Padhi S.K and Singh R.K. Optimization of esterification and transesterification of Mahua (*Madhuca indica*) oil for production of biodiesel. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research. 2010;5: 599-608.

[22] Bello E.I., A. Makanju. Production, characterization and evaluation of castor oil biodiesel as alternative fuel for diesel engines. Emerging Trends in Engineering and Applied Science 2011;2:525-530.

[23] Deshpande D.P., Urunkar Y.D., Thakare P.D. Production of Biodiesel from Castor Oil using acid and Base catalysts. Research Journal of Chemical Sciences 2012;2:51-56.

[24] Widayat, Agam Duma Kalista Wibowo, Hadiyanto. Study on production process of biodiesel from rubber seed (hevea brasiliensis) by in situ (trans) esterification method with acid catalyst. Energy Procedia. 2013;32:64-73.

[25] Hasan Ali Md., Mohammad Mashud, Rowsonozzaman Rubel Md., Rakibul Hossain Ahmad. Biodiesel from Neem oil as an alternative fuel for Diesel engine. ,Procedia Engineering 2013; 56:625- 630.

[26] Lieke Riadi, Edy Purwanto, Hendrik Kurniawan and Ria Oktaviana. Effect of Bio-Based Catalyst in Biodiesel Synthesis. Procedia Chemistry, 2014; 9:172-181.

[27] Hotti R Siddalingappa and Hebbal D Omprakash). Biodiesel production and fuel properties from non-edible Champaca (*Michelia champaca*) seed oil for use in diesel engine. Journal of Thermal Engineering. 2015;1330-336.

IJARSE
ISSN (O) 2319 - 8354
ISSN (P) 2319 - 8346

[28] S. Zheng, M. Kates, M.A. Dube, D.D. McLean. Acid-catalyzed production of biodiesel from waste frying oil. Biomass and Bioenergy 2006;30:267–272.

[29] Yong Wang, Shiyi Ou, Pengzhan Liu, Zhisen Zhang. Preparation of biodiesel from waste cooking oil via two-step catalyzed process. Energy Conversion and Management 2007;48:184–188.

[30] Issariyakul, T., Kulkarni, M.G., Dalai, A.K., Bakhshi, N.N. Production of biodiesel from waste fryer grease using mixed methanol/ethanol system. Fuel Process Technol 2007;88:429-436.

[31] Ya-fen Lin, Yo-ping Greg Wu, Chang-Tang Chang. Combustion characteristics of waste-oil produced bio-diesel/diesel fuel blends. Fuel 2007;86:1772-1780.

[32] Hossain A.B.M.S. and Boyce A.N. Biodiesel production from waste sunflower cooking oil as an environmental recycling process and renewable energy. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2009;15: 312-317.

[33] Bakir T. Emaad, Fadhil B. Abdelrahman. Production of biodiesel from chicken frying oil. Pak. J. Anal. Environ. Chem. 2011;12: 95-101.

[34] Patil D. Prafulla, Gude Gnaneswar Veera, Reddy K. Harvind, Muppaneni Tapaswy and Deng Shuguang . Biodiesel production from waste cooking oil using sulfuric acid and microwave irradiation processes. Journal of Environmental Protection. 2012;3107-113.

[35] Suvendu Mohanty, Dr. Om Prakash. Analysis Of Exhaust Emission Of Internal Combustion Engine Using Biodiesel Blend. International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering 2013;3(05):731-742.

[36] Edmilson Antonio Canesin, Cláudio Celestino de Oliveira, Makoto Matsushita, Lucia Felicidade Dias, Mayka Reghiany Pedrao, Nilson Evelazio de Souza. Characterization of residual oils for biodiesel production. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology 2014;17:39-45.

[37] Farooq Muhammad and Ramli Anita. Biodiesel production from low FFA waste cooking oil using heterogeneous catalyst derived from chicken bones. Renewable Energy. 2015;76:362-368.