Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016 www.ijarse.com



HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF P-VALENT FUNCTION ASSOCIATED WITH RUSCHWEYH DERIVATIVE OPERATOR

Sunita M. Patil¹ and S. M. Khairnar²

^{1.} Department Of Applied Sciences, Ssvps B.S. Deore College Of Engineering, Deopur, Dhule,

Maharashtra, (India)

ABSTRACT

The object of this paper is to use Toeplitz deter-minant to obtain a sharp upper bound of the second Hankel determinant $ja_{p+1}a_{p+3}$ a a_{p+2}^2j for the p-valent functions be-longing to the class $S(\lambda, \beta)$

1. Introduction, Definition and Motivation

Let A_p (p is a fixed integer ≥ 1) denote the class of analytic functions f(z) of the form,

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
 (1.1)

defined on the open unit disk:

$$\mathbb{U} = \{ Z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \} \tag{1.2}$$

and let $A_1 = A$. Let S be the subclass of A consisting of univalent function \mathbb{U} . A function $f(z) \in A_p$ is said to be p-valent starlike function $(\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0)$ if it satisfies the condition,

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)}\right\} > 0 \quad (\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.3}$$

Key words and phrases: P-Valent Function, Upper Bound, Hankel Determinant, Toeplitz Determinant.

² Professor & Head, Department Of Engineering Sciences, Mit Academy Of Engineering, Alandi, Pune, Maharashtra, (India)

Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016 www.ijarse.com



The set of all these function is denoted by S_p^* . If is observed that for P = 1 then S_p^* reduces to S^* . For

$$f_z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m z^m$$

$$g_z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m z^m$$
(1.4)

are analytic in \mathbb{U} then the Hadamard product or convolution f(z) * g(z) of f(z) and g(z) is defined by,

$$f(z) * g(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} a_m b_m z^m$$
 (1.5)

Let,

$$D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{p+\lambda}} * f(z)$$

$$= z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\lambda + k}{\Gamma\lambda + p(k-p)!} a_k z^k \quad \lambda > -p, \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{U}$$
(1.6)

This symbol $D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z)$ is called the Ruscheweyh derivative of f(z) and was introduced by Ruscheweyh [4].

The qth determinant for $q \ge 1$ and $n \ge 1$ is stated by Noonan and Thomas [23] as,

$$H_{q}(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{n} & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q+1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}$$
(1.7)

This determinant has also been considered by several authors. For example, Noorin [24] determinant the rate of growth of $H_q(n)$ as $n \to \infty$ for function f(1.1) with bounded boundary. Ebrenbary in [6] studied the Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials. The Hankel transform of an integer sequence and some of its properties were deicussed by Layman's article[9]. It is well known that [5] for

Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016 www.ijarse.com



 $f \in S$ and given by (1.2) the sharp inequality $|a_3a_2^2| \le 1$ holds. This corresponds to the hankel determinant with q = 2 and k = 1.

After that, Fekete-Szego further generalized the estimate $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ with real μ and $f \in S$ for a given class of functions in A the sharp bound for the non linear function $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$ is known as the Second Hankel Determinant.

Second Hankel determinant for various subclass of analytic functions were obtained by different researchers including Janteng et al. [12], Mishra and Gochhyay [21] and Murugusundaramoorthy and Mangesh [22] for some more works are [2][3][4].

Recently Trailokya Panigrahi consider the Hankel determinant in the case q = z, n = p+1 denoted by $H_2(p+1)$ given by,

$$H_2(p+1) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{p+1} & a_{p+2} \\ a_{p+2} & a_{p+3} \end{vmatrix} = a_{p+1}a_{p+3} - a_{p+2}^2$$
 (1.8)

Motivated by the above mentioned results obtained by different researchers in this direction. In this paper we obtain as sharp upper bounds to functional $|a_{p+1}a_{p+3} - a_{p+2}^2|$ for function f belonging to certain subclass of p-valent functions defined as follows,

Definition 1.1. A function $f(z) \in A_p$ is said to be in the class $S_p(\lambda, \beta)$ if it satisfies the condition,

$$\Re\left\{ (1-\beta) \left(\frac{D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)}{z^p} \right) + \beta \left(\frac{(D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z))'}{pz^{p-1}} \right) \right\} > 0$$

$$(\beta \le 1, \quad \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{U}, \quad \lambda > -p)$$

$$(1.9)$$

Note that, for p = 1, $\lambda = 0$, reduce the class,

$$(1 - \beta)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \beta f'(z) > 0 \tag{1.10}$$

it is class $R(\beta)$ studied by Murugusundramurthi and Magesh [22].

Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016 www.ijarse.com



2. Preliminary Lemmas

Let P denote the class of function of the form,

$$P(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$
(2.1)

which are regular in \mathbb{U} and satisfy R(p(z)) > 0 for any $\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{U}$. Here p(z) is called Caratheodory function [8]. To prove our main result, we need the following lemma,

Lemma 2.1. [5] If $p \in P$ then,

$$|C_k| \le 2$$
 for each $k \in N$ (2.2)

Lemma 2.2. [18][19] Let $p \in P$, then

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)$$

$$4c_3 = \left\{ c_1^3 + 2c_1(4 - c_1^2)x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2 z) \right\}$$

For some values of x,z such that $|x| \le 1$ and $|z| \le 1$.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. If $f(z) \in S_p(\lambda, \beta)$ $(\beta \le 1, \lambda > -p)$ then,

$$|a_{p+1}a_{p+3} - a_{p+2}^2| \le \frac{16p^2}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2}$$
 (3.1)

Proof. Let f(z) given by (1) be the class of $S_p(\lambda, \beta)$. Then there exist an analytic function $p \in P$ in the unit disk \mathbb{U} with p(0) = 1 and $R\{p(z)\} > 0$ such that,

$$(1-\beta)\left[\frac{D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z)}{z^p}\right] + \beta\left[\frac{(D^{\lambda+p-1}f(z))'}{pz^{p-1}}\right] = p(z)$$
 (3.2)

Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016 www.ijarse.com



$$[1 - \beta] \left[1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma \lambda + k}{\Gamma \lambda + p(k-p)!} a_k z^{k-p} \right]$$

$$+ \beta \left[1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma \lambda + k}{\Gamma \lambda + p(k-p)!} \frac{k}{p} a_k z^{k-p} \right]$$

$$= 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots$$
(3.3)

After simplification equating the coefficient of the like powers z, z^2 , z^3, \ldots respectively on both sides we get,

$$a_{p+1} = \frac{pc_1}{(p+\beta)(\lambda+p)} \tag{3.4}$$

$$a_{p+2} = \frac{2pc_2}{(p+2\beta)(\lambda+p)(\lambda+p+1)}$$
(3.5)

$$a_{p+3} = \frac{6pc_3}{(p+3\beta)(\lambda+p)(\lambda+p+1)(\lambda+p+2)}$$
(3.6)

It is easily established that,

$$|a_{p+1}a_{p+3} - a_{p+2}^2| = \left[\frac{6p^2c_1c_3}{(p+\beta)(p+3\beta)(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+2)} - \frac{4p^2c_2^2}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2} \right]$$
(3.7)

Applying Lemma, substituting for c_2 and c_3 and since $|c_1| \le 2$ by Lemma 2.1, let $c_1 = c$ assume without restriction $\in [0,2]$ we obtain,

Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016 www.ijarse.com



(3.9)

$$|a_{p+1}a_{p+3} - a_{p+2}^2| = \left| \frac{6p^2c \left[\frac{c^3 + 2c(4-c^2)x - c(4-c^2)x^2 + 2(4-c^2)(1-|x|^2z)}{4} \right]}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2} - \frac{4p^2 \left[(\frac{c^2 + x(4-c^2)}{2})^2 \right]}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2)} \right|$$

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{6p^2c^4 + 12c(4-c^2)x - 6c(4-c)^2x^2 + 12(4-c^2)(1-|x|^2z)}{4(p+\beta)(p+3\beta)(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)(\lambda+p+2)} + \frac{p^2[c^4 + 2c^2x(4-c^2) + x^2(4-c^2)^2]}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2} \right\}$$

$$|x| = \rho \quad |z| < 1 \tag{3.8}$$

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{6p^2c^4 + 12c(4-c^2)\rho - 6c(4-c^2)^2\rho^2 + 12(4-c^2)(1-\rho^2)}{4(p+\beta)(p+3\beta)(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)(\lambda+p+2)} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{p^2[c^4 + 2c^2\rho(4-c^2) + \rho^2(4-c^2)^2]}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2} \right\} = F(\rho)$$

$$F(\rho) = \frac{6p^2c^4 + 12c(4-c^2)\rho - 6\rho^2(4-c^2)(c-2) + 12(4-c^2)}{4(p+\beta)(p+3\beta)(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)(\lambda+p+2)} + \frac{p^2[c^4 + 2c^2\rho(4-c^2) + \rho^2(4-c^2)^2]}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2}$$

$$(3.11)$$

$$F'(\rho) = \frac{12(4-c^2) - 2\rho \times 6(4-c^2)(c-2)}{4(p+\beta)(p+3\beta)(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)(\lambda+p+2)} + \frac{p^2[2c^2(4-c^2) + 2\rho(4-c^2)^2)]}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2}$$
(3.12)

Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016 www.ijarse.com



П

with elementary calculus we can show that, $F'(\rho) > 0$ for $\rho > 0 \Rightarrow$ that F is an increasing function and thus for upper bound for (3.11) corresponds to $\rho = 1$, c = 0 gives,

$$|a_{p+1}a_{p+3} - a_{p+2}^2| \le \frac{16p^2}{(p+2\beta)^2(\lambda+p)^2(\lambda+p+1)^2}$$
 (3.13)

Corollary 3.1. For $\lambda = \theta$, and p = 1,

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{4}{(1+2\beta)^2} \tag{3.14}$$

result obtain by G. Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [22].

Corollary 3.2. If
$$\lambda = 0$$
, $p = 1$, $\beta = 1$, then
$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \le \frac{4}{9}$$
 (3.15)

the sharp result obtain by A. Janteng [13].

Conclusion. In this paper we have obtained the sharp upper bounds for the functional $|a_{p+1}a_{p+3}-a_{p+2}^2|$ for functional for $a_{p+1}a_{p+3}$. Further we are working on to find the upper bounds for convex function and also find the third Hankel determinant.

References

- Trilokya Panigrahi, Hankel determinant for certain subclass of pvalent function, electronic J.of MahematicalAnalysisand application . 4 (2016),202-208.
- [2] A. Abubaker and M. Darus, Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions involving a generalized linear differential operator, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 69(4) (2011), 429-435.
- [3] O. AL-Refai and M. Darus, Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by a fractional operator, European J. Scientific Research, 28(2)(2009), 234-241.
- [4] St.Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent function, Proe, ,Amer,Math,soc 491975, 109-115.

Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016 www.ijarse.com



- [5] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983).
- [6] R. Ehrenborg, The Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials, Amer. Math. Monthly, 107(6)(2000), 557-560.
- [7] W. K. Hayman, On the second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3 (1968), 77-94.
- [8] Grenander, U, Szego, Toeplitz forms and their application, Berkely and LosA :Univ.of californiya Press, 1958.
- [9] Layman J.W, The Hankel transform and some of its properties, J.Intiger seq 4(1)2001,1-11.
- [10] R. W. Ibrahim, Upper bound of partial sums determined by matrix, Turkish J. Anal. Number Theory, 3(6) (2015) 149-153.
- [11] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has positive real part, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 7(2)(2006), 1-5.
- [12] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions, Int. J. Math. Anal., 1(13) (2007), 619-625.
- [13] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Estimate on the second Hankel functional for functions whose derivative has a positive real part, J. Quality Measurement Anal., 4 (2008), 189-195.
- [14] D. V. Krishna and T. Ramreddy, Hankel determinant for p-valent starlike and convex functions of order α, Novi Sad J. Math., 42(2) (2012), 89-102.
- [15] D. V. Krishna and T. Ramreddy, Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions of order alpha, Tbilisi Math. J., 5(1) (2012), 65-76.
- [16] D. V. Krishna and T. Ramreddy, Coefficient inequality for certain subclass of p-valent functions, Palestine J. Math., 4(1)(2015), 223-228.
- [17] D. V. Krishna and B. Venkateswarlu and T. Ramreddy, Third Hankel determinant for certain subclass of p-valent functions, Complex Var. Elliptic Equations (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2015.1012162.
- [18] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Early coefficient of the inverse of a regular convex function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,85(2)(1982), 225-230.
- [19] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Coefficients bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in P, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 87(2)(1983), 251-257.
- [20] T. H. Mac Gregor, Functions whose derivative have a positive real part, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 104(3) (1962), 532-537.

Vol. No.5, Issue No. 09, September 2016

www.ijarse.com



- [21] A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by fractional derivative, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2008, Art. ID 153280(2008).
- [22] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic functions associated with Hankel determinant, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., 1(3) (2009), 85-89.
- [23] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p-valent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 223(2) (1976), 337-346.
- [24] K. I. Noor, Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Et Appl., 28(8) (1983), 731-739.
- [25] S. Verma, S. Gupta and S. Singh, Bounds of Hankel determinant for a class of univalent function, Int. J. Math. Soc. (2012), Art. ID:147842, 1-6.

SUNITA M. Patil,

Department of Applied Sciences,

S. S. V. P. S B. S Deore College of Engineering,

Deopur, Dhule, INDIA.

sun it a shelar 1973@gmail.com

S. M. KHAIRNAR,

Professor & Head,

Department of Engineering Sciences,

MIT Academy of Engineering,

Alandi, Pune-412105, INDIA.

smkhairnar 2007@gmail.com.