Vol. No.4, Special Issue No. (02), September 2015

www.ijarse.com



THE EFFECTS OF MAGNETIC FIELD ON E. COLI BACTERIA ACCUMULATION AND DISINFECTION IN WATER ADVANCED TREATMENT

B. Ahmari¹, L. Salimi², J. Valentin³

^{1,2}Tehran University of Azad, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Iran

³CTU in Prague, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

As we know there are a lot of effects of magnetism on animals like Arctic navigation by birds and the water circles in vortex flows. It is also known that the people in north part of the Earth have bigger tendency to turn their head to the right and also there is more than 80 % probability that they choose the object on the right side if you give them an opportunity to choose an object between two choices on right side and on the left side. The performed research observes the influence of geographic poles while growing the E. Coli Bacteria in the medium placed at incubator. The growing of bacteria based on 0.5mcfarland standard was conducted in EMB (Eosin Methylene Blue). Another standard based on direct view by its accumulation in medium was observed too. Both of them were induced repeatedly for 6-7 times and the results showed that the bacteria while growing in the medium had tendency to accumulate on the right side (East Side) after bringing out of the incubator.

Keywords: Disinfection, E. Coli Bacteria, Magnetic Fields, Sheath, KAP

I. INTRODUCTION OF KAP (KARMANIA AQUA PURIFIER)

One of the systems used in disinfection of bacteria (in this case E. Coli) is a sheathing made in England and Switzerland that covers the pipe while disinfection in both large and small size. We use one of the small one in laboratory to cover around the pipe in circulation system. The accounts of bacteria before accounting is $650x10^4$ and after 2.5 - 3 hour circulation it will decrease to $30x10^4$ by imposing 300 Gs of magnetic field directly on bacteria.

We use our Modified model KAP (at first stage impose 60 - 70 Gs and then impose 300 Gs while we switch the faucet of both sides to maintain hydraulic detention for 1.5 hour). The time required at first stage (imposing the 60 - 70 Gs) while the faucet in the bucket with internal engine for water circulation is closed is 40 minutes and the time required for the second stage (imposing 300 Gs) is 50 minutes. Here is the result of the 2 forms based on 0.5mcfarland standard for accounting. The number of E. Coli Bacteria before imposing Magnetic field was 650×10^4 .

A model (created by modeling software like MATLAB) is a simple presentation of a complex system as we know in the cases of prediction and analyzing of the behavior of pollutants etc. Finally the environmental issues can be converted into the mathematic formula:

Vol. No.4, Special Issue No. (02), September 2015

www.ijarse.com

$$Q_b = T_{\frac{1}{\mu \cdot D}} (M_{\text{max}} - M_{\text{min}})$$
 (1)

Variables:

- Temperature (*T*)
- Volumetric flow rate (Q)
- Viscosity of liquid (μ)
- Differences in the intensity of magnetic field based on Gauss (M)
- Diameter of pipe (D)

II. EXPERIMENTAL PART

The presented figures depict some parts of the performed experiments.



Figure 1: Process of the Performed Experiments

Vol. No.4, Special Issue No. (02), September 2015

www.ijarse.com





Figure 2: Process of the Performed Experiments (Continued)

III. RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the gained results concluding from the performed experiments.

Table 1: The Results of Performed Experiments

Numbers of E. Coli Bacteria crossed	Numbers of E. Coli Bacteria	Time
from KAP (at first stage impose 60 -	crossed from magnetic sheath	
70 Gs and then 300 Gs)	(300 Gs)	
[DC/mL]	[DC/mL]	[hr]
×10 ⁴	$\times 10^4$	
	= 550	0.5 hr, 1 st
< 40	= 400	0.5 hr, 2 nd
	= 300	0.5 hr, 3 rd
	= 150	0.5 hr, 4 th
	< 30	0.5 hr, 5 th

IV.CONCLUSION

The gained results showed that the bacteria growing in medium had tendency to accumulate in right side (East Side) after bringing out of the incubator. The number of E. Coli Bacteria before imposing magnetic field was 650×10^4 DC/mL and the number of E. Coli Bacteria after the procedure was less than 30 DC/mL.

This research has been done in laboratory conditions and small diameter pipe was used. As I have already mentioned in large portions we use large diameter pipe with larger sheathes and much more magnetic intensity is imposed but it is more expensive than smaller diameter pipe. If we impose lower levels of magnetic field by

Vol. No.4, Special Issue No. (02), September 2015

www.ijarse.com

IJARSE ISSN 2319 - 8354

applying detention and hydraulic pause in the system, we can reach the same results and it will be economically less expensive. In order to prevent from hydraulic hammer impact we should close the faucet gradually.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my mother for her unwavering emotional and financial supports. Further this work was supported by Mr. Amir Jalal Kamali (Phd), Mrs. Sahel Moradiyan (MSc), Mr. Ehsan Azadravesh (MEng), Mr. Behnam Jaberinasab (MSc) and Mr. Mohammad Rabani (Phd).

REFERENCE

- [1] M. Zmyslony, J. Palus, J. Jajte, E. Dziubaltowska, E. Rajkowska, DNA damage in rat lymphocytes treated in vitro with iron cations and exposed to 7 mT magnetic fields (50 Hz), Mutat Res, 453:89-96, 2000.
- [2] J. L. Phillips, W. Haggren, W. J. Thomas, T. Ishida-Jones, W. R. Adey, Magnetic field-induced changes in specific gene transcription, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 112, 140-144, 1992.
- [3] R. P. Liburdy, D. E. Callahan, J. Harland, E. Dunham, T. R. Sloma, P. Yaswen, Experimental evidence for 60 Hz magnetic fields operating through the signal transduction cascade: effects on calcium influx and c-MYC mRNA induction, FEBS. Lett., 334, 301-308, 1993.
- [4] M. F. Kohno, Z. A. Yama, I. Kimura, M. C. Wafa, Effect of static magnetic field on bacteria streptococcus mutans and Escheriachia coli. J Am pathophysiology, 17 (2): 143-148, 2000.
- [5] E. Piatti, M.C. Albertini, W. Baffone, D.Fraternale, B. Citterio, M.P. Piacentini, M. Dacha, F. Vetrano, A. Accorsi, Antibacterial effect of a magnetic field on Serratiamarcescens and related virulence to Hordeumvulgare and Rubs fruticosuscallus cells, Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, B, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 132(2), 359–365, 2002.
- [6] A. A. Mohamed, F.M. Ali, E.A. Gaafar, H.R. Magda, Effects of magnetic field on the biophysical, biochemical properties and biological activity of Salmonella typhi, Master thesis submitted for Biophysics department, Faculty of science, Cairo University, Egypt, 1997.
- [7] R. Ceon, J. T. Martin, Low level magnetic field induced growth modification of Bacillus subtilis, J Bioelectromagnetics, 8 (3):275-282, 2005.
- [8] A. S. Mandronero, Influence of magnetic fields on calcium salts crystal formation, J Bio Med Res, 12:627-634, 1990.
- [9] A. A. Stepanian, Z. H. Barsegian, G. G. Alaverdian, L. S. Oganesian, S. N. Markosian, B. K. Airapetion, The effect of magnetic fields on the growth and division of Escherichia coli, J Clin Bio, 40 (3): 319-322, 2000.